

**IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON HYDROLOGICAL
RESPONSE AND URBAN FLOODING FOR ADAPTATION STRATEGIES
(CASE OF LAI NULLAH, PAKISTAN)**

By

Hammayun Zulfiqar Rana

(NUST201463441MSCEE1514F)

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of
the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

in

Water Resources Engineering and Management



DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT

NUST INSTITUTE OF CIVIL ENGINEERING (NICE)

SCHOOL OF CIVIL AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING (SCEE)

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY (NUST)

SECTOR H-12, ISLAMABAD, PAKISTAN

(2016)

THESIS ACCEPTANCE CERTIFICATE

Certified that final copy of MS/MPhil thesis written by Mr Hammayun Zulfiqar Rana, Registration No. 2014-NUST-MS-WRE&M-63441, of MS WRE&M 2014 Batch (NICE) has been vetted by undersigned, found completed in all respects as per NUST Statutes/Regulations, is free of plagiarism, errors, and mistakes and is accepted as partial fulfillment for award of MS/MPhil degree. It is further certified that necessary amendments as pointed out by GEC members of the scholar have been incorporated in the said thesis.

Signature_____

Name of Supervisor Dr. Shakil Ahmad

Date:

Signature (HoD)_____

Date:

Signature (Dean/Principal)_____

Date:

DISCLAIMER

The views expressed in this work are those of the creators and do not necessarily represent those of the UK Government's Department for International Development, the International Development Research Centre, Canada or its Board of Governors.

DEDICATION

This work is dedicated to my beloved parents and family. It is their love and support that enabled me not only to complete this task but taught me to walk every step of life with confidence and commitment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praise to Almighty Allah who gave the courage and power for completing this research work.

This acknowledgement will hardly justify my sense of profound veneration for my revered supervisor Dr. Shakil Ahmad for his indelible help, unprecedented enthusiasm, constructive criticism and perceptive encouragement. Without his technical and moral support the completion of this work was impossible.

I am highly honored and thankful to Dr. Christopher Goodell (WEST Consultants) for his expert guidance, valuable suggestions, thoughtful criticism and sustained encouragement during pursuance of my research program.

I express my utmost gratitude to GEC members Dr. Sajjad Haider (NICE), Dr. Mohammad Azmat (IGIS), Dr. Bashir Ahmad (NARC) and Dr. Ghulam Rasool (PMD) for their valuable help, technical acumen and moral support.

I would like to thank Dr. Arthur Lutz (Futurewater), Dr. Liaqat Ali (NICE), Dr. Tahir Shamshad (NESPAK), Dr. Ejaz Hussain (IGIS), Mr. Farhan Khaliq (PMD), Mr. Burhan (PMD), Engineer Aziz (RDA), Mr. Kamal (SUPARCO) and Mr. Mohammad Rizwan (IGIS) for their valuable feedbacks and support.

I extend special thanks to my parents and family for their help and strength owing to which I could work peacefully.

This work was carried out by the Himalayan Adaptation, Water and Resilience (HI-AWARE) consortium under the Collaborative Adaptation Research Initiative in Africa and Asia (CARIAA) with financial support from the UK Government's Department for International Development and the International Development Research Centre, Ottawa, Canada.

ABSTRACT

Varying hydrological regimes caused due to intensive land use changes and high intensity rainfalls has significantly increased the frequency of extreme flood events in Lai Nullah, Pakistan. The current study involves application of a rainfall-runoff model through spatial modeling within GIS environment, frequency analysis for annual instantaneous peak flow and annual max daily rainfall series, estimation of Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) and Probable Maximum Flood (PMF), development of rainfall intensity duration frequency (IDF) and depth duration frequency (DDF) curves, application of a suitable hydraulic model for flood plain inundation mapping, analysis of future climate scenarios and identification of various adaptation strategies. Rainfall-runoff model was successfully calibrated and validated using 10 mins interval rainfall data against stream flow gauge data at Kattarian and Gawalmandi. PMF values for Kattarian and Gawalmandi suggests an annual return period of 500 years. IDF curves based on 3 hr interval rainfall data showed credible results for use in design purposes. DDF curves represent efficient operational forecast guide for different storm durations for various stakeholder and policy makers. Delta downscaling technique was applied for bias correction for conversion from grid rainfall GCM data to point rainfall data. Frequency analysis was also carried out for projected annual maximum rainfall data under ensembled model conditions. Results of calibrated and validated hydraulic model showed good consistency with observed stage values. The integrated approach encompassing hydrological and hydraulic modelling under changing climate scenarios was used and it was found that 100 year return period flood expected to increase by 11% with flood extent increase of 0.506 Km². Further, adaptation strategies like ponds, flow diversion and forestation were also explored to mitigate the flood hazards impacts. This study will facilitate various policy makers and stakeholders in deciding and formulating the mitigation and adaptation strategies to improve the existing flood risk management and relief plans.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
ABSTRACT.....	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	xvi
<i>Chapter 1</i>	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 GENERAL.....	1
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT.....	1
1.3 RATIONALE OF THE STUDY	2
1.4 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY.....	3
1.5 SCOPE OF THE RESEARCH WORK.....	3
1.6 BENEFITS OF THE RESEARCH WORK.....	4
<i>Chapter 2</i>	5
LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 GENERAL.....	5
2.2 PREVIOUS STUDIES ON LAI NULLAH.....	5
2.3 SIMILAR STUDIES ON LAI NULLAH.....	8
<i>Chapter 3</i>	11
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	11
3.1 STUDY AREA	11
3.2 DATA	13
3.2.1 Precipitation Data.....	13
3.2.2 Stream Flow Data	14
3.2.3 Remote Sensing Data.....	14
3.2.4 Soil Data.....	14
3.2.5 Land Use Map & Urban Master Plan	14

3.2.6	Downscaled GCMs Dataset	14
3.2.7	Flood Plain Topography & Channel Geometry Data	14
3.3	METHODOLOGY	15
3.3.1	Hydrological Modelling.....	15
3.3.1.1	Terrain Processing in ArcGIS / HEC-GeoHMS	15
3.3.1.2	Land Use Analysis	16
3.3.1.3	Preparation of Soil Map and Curve Number Grid	17
3.3.1.4	Preparation of Spatial Precipitation Data.....	18
3.3.1.5	Hydrological Modeling System	18
3.3.1.6	HEC-HMS Model	18
3.3.1.6.1	Loss Methods	20
3.3.1.6.1.1	SCS Curve Number.....	20
3.3.1.6.1.2	Initial and Constant Rate.....	20
3.3.1.6.1.3	Green and Ampt.....	20
3.3.1.6.2	Transformation Methods.....	21
3.3.1.6.2.1	SCS Unit Hydrograph.....	21
3.3.1.6.2.2	Clark Unit Hydrograph	22
3.3.1.6.2.3	Snyder Unit Hydrograph.....	22
3.3.1.6.3	Routing Method	22
3.3.1.6.3.1	Muskingum Routing Method.....	22
3.3.1.6.3.2	Lag Routing Method.....	23
3.3.1.7	Model Calibration & Validation	23
3.3.1.8	Model Performance.....	24
3.3.2	Frequency Analysis.....	24
3.3.2.1	Annual Instantaneous Peak Flow Analysis.....	25
3.3.2.2	Annual Maximum Daily Rainfall Analysis	27
3.3.2.3	Comparison with JICA Study	29

3.3.3	Calculation of Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP).....	30
3.3.4	Calculation of Probable Maximum Flood (PMF).....	31
3.3.5	Intensity Duration Frequency (IDF) & Depth Duration Frequency (DDF) Curves...	31
3.3.6	Hydraulic Modelling.....	32
3.3.6.1	General	32
3.3.6.2	Full Saint Venant or Diffusion Wave Equations in 2D	33
3.3.6.3	Implicit Finite Volume Solution Algorithm	33
3.3.6.4	Unstructured or Structured Computational Meshes.....	34
3.3.6.5	Detailed Hydraulic Table Properties for Computational Cells and Cell Face	34
3.3.7	HEC-RAS Model.....	35
3.3.7.1	Terrain Processing	35
3.3.7.2	Addition of Structure Elevation Data	36
3.3.7.3	Generation of 2D Computational Mesh	37
3.3.7.4	Analysis in RAS Mapper	38
3.3.7.5	Model Calibration & Validation.....	39
3.3.7.6	Manning's n Roughness Coefficient.....	40
3.3.7.7	Simulation for Future Scenarios	41
3.3.8	Assessment of Climate Change Scenarios	41
3.3.9	Identification of Various Adaptation Strategies	43
<i>Chapter 4</i>		45
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS		45
4.1	LAND USE ANALYSIS	45
4.2	HYDROLOGICAL ANALYSIS	46
4.2.1	Model Calibration and Validation	46
4.3	FREQUENCY ANALYSIS	50
4.3.1	Annual Instantaneous Peak Flow (AIPF)	50
4.3.2	Annual Maximum Daily Rainfall (AMDR).....	52
4.3.3	Comparison of Standard Flood Discharges	54
4.3.4	Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP)/ Probable Maximum Flood (PMF).....	55
4.3.5	Intensity Duration and Depth Duration Frequency Curves	56
4.4	HYDRAULIC MODELLING AND FLOOD EXTENTS	58

4.4.1	Model Calibration and Validation	58
4.4.2	Simulation for Various Return Periods	61
4.4.3	Climate Change Assessment	71
4.4.3.1	Bias Correction	71
4.4.3.2	Comparison of Top-30 AMDR Values	77
4.4.3.3	Frequency Analysis of Projected AMDR Data	79
4.4.3.4	Climate Models Efficiency Analysis	80
4.4.3.5	Simulation in HEC-HMS & HEC-RAS	81
4.4.4	Simulation for Various Adaptation Strategies	83
4.4.4.1	Formulation of Community Pond	83
4.4.4.2	Impact of Flood Mitigation Dam	83
4.4.4.3	Impact of Flow Diversion	85
4.4.4.4	Impact of Forestation	85
4.4.4.5	Combined Effect of Community Pond and Flood Mitigation Dam	88
4.4.4.6	Analysis of Various Adaptation Strategies	88
4.4.4.7	Other Possible Adaptation Measures	92
<i>Chapter 5</i>		93
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		93
5.1	CONCLUSIONS	93
5.2	RECOMMENDATIONS	96
REFERENCES		99
APPENDICES		102
<i>Appendix 1</i>		102
<i>Appendix 2</i>		103
<i>Appendix 3</i>		104
<i>Appendix 4</i>		105
<i>Appendix 5</i>		106
<i>Appendix 6</i>		107
<i>Appendix 7</i>		108
<i>Appendix 8</i>		109
<i>Appendix 9</i>		110
<i>Appendix 10</i>		111

Appendix 11 113
Appendix 12 115
Appendix 13 116

LIST OF TABLES

Table - 3.1:	Precipitation Data.....	13
Table - 3.2:	Stream Flow Data.....	13
Table - 3.3:	Flood Plain Topography & Channel Geometry Data	14
Table - 3.4:	Methods used in HEC-HMS	23
Table - 3.5:	Details of HI-AWARE GCMs	41
Table - 4.1:	Land Use Classification – Lai Basin	45
Table - 4.2:	Performance Evaluation – Calibration & Validation	50
Table - 4.3:	Results - Goodness of Fit Tests	51
Table – 4.4:	Standard Flood Discharges – AIPF	51
Table - 4.5:	AMDR at Various Return Periods	53
Table - 4.6:	Standard Flood Discharges – AMDR	54
Table - 4.7:	Values of K_m Factor	55
Table - 4.8:	24-Hr PMP Values	55
Table - 4.9:	Performance Evaluation – Calibration & Validation	60
Table - 4.10:	Flood Inundated Areas	61
Table - 4.11:	Frequency Analysis Projected AMDR Values – 100 Yr Return Period	80
Table - 4.12:	Model Efficiency Analysis	81
Table - 4.13:	Projected Flows – AMDR	81
Table - 4.14:	Effects of Adaptation Strategies	88

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig - 3.1:	Lai Nullah Basin	12
Fig - 3.2:	Elevation Range – Lai Basin	12
Fig - 3.3:	Conceptual Flow Chart	15
Fig - 3.4:	Sub basins Delineation	16
Fig - 3.5:	Land Use Classification	17
Fig - 3.6:	Lai Soil Map	17
Fig - 3.7:	Curve Number Grid	17
Fig - 3.8:	Division of Basin through Thiessen Polygons	18
Fig - 3.9:	Annual Instantaneous Peak Flows – (1986 - 2015).....	26
Fig - 3.10:	Annual Maximum Daily Rainfall Series	29
Fig - 3.11:	Triangular Irregular Network (TIN) – Lai Basin	36
Fig - 3.12:	Refined TIN – Lai Basin	37
Fig - 3.13:	Generation of a 2D Computational Mesh	37
Fig - 3.14:	Computational Grid Cells of 2D Mesh	38
Fig - 3.15:	RAS Mapper Terrain	39
Fig - 3.16:	Spatially Varying Manning Layer	40
Fig - 4.1:	Comparison of Land Use Assessment	45
Fig - 4.2:	Hydrological Model Calibration	48
Fig - 4.3:	Hydrological Model Validation	49
Fig - 4.4:	Best Fit Distributions.....	51
Fig - 4.5:	Best Fit Distributions	53

Fig - 4.6:	Comparison of Standard Flood Discharges	54
Fig - 4.7:	Spatial Representation of PMP Values	56
Fig - 4.8:	IDF Curves – 6 Stations	57
Fig - 4.9:	DDF Curves	58
Fig - 4.10:	Model Calibration – 2001 Event	59
Fig - 4.11:	Model Validation Results	59
Fig - 4.12:	Flood Extent Map	60
Fig - 4.13:	Comparison of 2001 Flood Extent Map & JICA Map	61
Fig - 4.14:	Flood Extent Map – 2 Year Return Period	62
Fig - 4.15:	Flood Extent Map – 5 Year Return Period	63
Fig - 4.16:	Flood Extent Map – 10 Year Return Period	64
Fig - 4.17:	Flood Extent Map – 25 Year Return Period	65
Fig - 4.18:	Flood Extent Map – 50 Year Return Period	66
Fig - 4.19:	Flood Extent Map – 100 Year Return Period	67
Fig - 4.20:	Flood Extent Map – 200 Year Return Period	68
Fig - 4.21:	Flood Extent Map – 500 Year Return Period	69
Fig - 4.22:	Flood Extent Map – 1000 Year Return Period	70
Fig - 4.23:	Comparison of Observed Vs Baseline Data	71
Fig - 4.24:	Bias Corrected Data – 2025s	72
Fig - 4.25:	Bias Corrected Data – 2055s	73
Fig - 4.26:	Bias Corrected Data – 2085s	74
Fig - 4.27:	Bias Corrected Data (RCP - 4.5).....	75
Fig - 4.28:	Bias Corrected Data (RCP-8.5).....	76
Fig - 4.29:	Comparison Top 30 AMDR Values – Chaklala	77

Fig - 4.30:	Comparison Top 30 AMDR Values – PMD	78
Fig - 4.31:	Comparison Top 30 AMDR Values – RAMC	78
Fig - 4.32:	Comparison Top 30 AMDR Values – Saidpur	79
Fig - 4.33:	Impact of Climate Change – 100 Yrs Return Period	82
Fig - 4.34:	Adaptation Strategies	84
Fig - 4.35:	Impact of Community Pond	85
Fig - 4.36:	Impact of Flood Mitigation Dam	87
Fig - 4.37:	Impact of Flow Diversion	89
Fig - 4.38:	Impact of Forestation	90
Fig - 4.39:	Combined Effect of Community Pond & Flood Mitigation Dam	91

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AD	Anderson-Darling
AIPF	Annual Instantaneous Peak Flow
AMDR	Annual Maximum Daily Rainfall
ASTER	Advance Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection
AWCI	Asia Water Cycle Initiative
CF	Correction Factor
CI	Confidence Interval
CN	Curve Number
DDF	Depth Duration Frequency
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DHM	Distributed Hydrological Model
D/S	Downstream
FAO	Food and Agricultural Organization of United Nations
GCM	General Circulation Model
GEOSS	Global Earth Observation System of Systems
GIS	Geographic Information System
HEC	Hydrologic Engineering Center
HI-AWARE	Himalayan Adaptation Water and Resilience Research
HKH	Himalaya Karakoram Hindukush
HMS	Hydrologic Modeling System
HR	Hour
HSPF	Hydrological Simulation Program - Fortran
IDF	Intensity Duration Frequency

IGIS	Institute of Geographical Information System
IWRM	Integrated Water Resource Management
JICA	Japan International Cooperation Agency
MAE	Mean Absolute Error
Max	Maximum
MODFLOW	Modular Finite Difference Flow Model
NCEP	National Centers for Environmental Prediction
NS	Nash-Sutcliffe Coefficient
KS	Kolmogorov-Smirnov
PARC	Pakistan Agricultural Research Council
PMD	Pakistan Meteorological Department
PMF	Probable Maximum Flood
PMP	Probable Maximum Precipitation
RAMC	Rawalpindi Agromet Centre
RAS	River Analysis System
RDA	Rawalpindi Development Authority
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
RS	Remote Sensing
SCS	Soil Conservation Service
SDO	Small Dams Organization
SPI	Standardized Precipitation Index
SPOT	Satellite Pour l'Observation de la Terre, lit
SUPARCO	Space and Upper Atmosphere Research Commission
SWAT	Soil and Water Assessment Tool
TIN	Triangular Irregular Network

TMA	Tehsil Municipal Administration
UH	Unit Hydrograph
USGS	United States Geological Survey
U/S	Upstream
WAPDA	Water and Power Development Authority
WASA	Water And Sanitation Agency
WEB	Water and Energy Budget
WEST	Water Environmental Sedimentation Technology
WMO	World Meteorological Organization
WSE	Water Surface Elevation
WSM	Water Shed Management
YR	Year