PHENOTYPIC AND GENOTYPIC ANALYSIS OF A NOVEL 4TH GENERATION CEPHALOSPORIN RESISTANT *SALMONELLA ENTERICA* SER. TYPHI CLINICAL ISOLATE



By

Danish Gul

(NUST201463573MASAB92714F)

Atta-ur-Rahman School of Applied Biosciences,

National University of Sciences and Technology,

Islamabad, Pakistan.

2017

PHENOTYPIC AND GENOTYPIC ANALYSIS OF A NOVEL 4TH GENERATION CEPHALOSPORIN RESISTANT *SALMONELLA ENTERICA* SER. TYPHI CLINICAL ISOLATE

A thesis submitted as a final year project as a requirement of MS

in

Industrial Biotechnology

By

Danish Gul

(NUST201463573MASAB92714F)

Supervised by: Dr. Saadia Andleeb

Atta-ur-Rahman School of Applied Biosciences,

National University of Sciences and Technology,

Islamabad, Pakistan.

2017

THESIS ACCEPTANCE CERTIFICATE

Certified that final copy of MS/MPhil thesis written by Mr. **Danish Gul** Registration No. **NUST201463573MASAB92714F** of **_ASAB_** has been vetted by undersigned, found complete in all aspects as per NUST Statutes/Regulations, is free of plagiarism, errors, and mistakes and is accepted as partial fulfillment for award of MS/MPhil degree. It is further certified that necessary amendments as pointed out by GEC members of the scholar have also been incorporated in the said thesis.

Signature with stamp: _____

Name of Supervisor: _____Dr. Saadia Andleeb______

Date: _____

Signature of HoD with stamp: _____

Date: _____

Countersign by

Signature (Dean/Principal): _____

Date: _____

Declaration

I certify that this research work titled "Phenotypic and Genotypic Analysis of a Novel 4th Generation Cephalosporin Resistant *Salmonella enterica* ser. Typhi Clinical isolate" is my own work. The work has not been presented elsewhere for assessment. The material that has been used from other sources it has been properly acknowledged / referred.

Danish Gul

Dedicated to

Maa, Papa & Tabish

Acknowledgements

To begin with, I would not be writing down these words at 7:20 PM, August 14, 2017 if it were not for God and His countless blessings. I might have stumbled over repeatedly but I know, He has been watching over me all this time. Thank You God for I know You are always by my side.

I am extremely grateful and thankful to my supervisor, Dr. Saadia Andleeb, Assistant Professor ASAB, NUST. She is my mentor and was a constant support during these years of research. Her dedication and keen interest in making her students better scientists, not just for the sake of science, but to understand the impact of research on the global community, is a quality few researchers nurture. She ensured that not only should I do a quality research, but also understand the importance of making every decision in our work ethically and the impact that a wrong decision in research can have on the whole community. This would be a beacon to guide all my future endeavors in research and I do not have words to express my gratitude.

I would also like to acknowledge Dr. Amjad Ali, my GEC member for his constant guidance through every step of the computational biology work. He taught us computational biology during coursework and was the first professor to introduce to me a completely new dimension of research.

I'm grateful to Dr. Najam us Sahar Sadaf Zaidi, HOD Industrial Biotechnology, for providing this extraordinary lab facility, and Dr. Peter John, Principal ASAB, for his relentless efforts in ensuring the smooth operation of this institute.

I would also like to acknowledge Dr. Gautam Dantas and Dr. Carey-Ann Burnham, Associate Professors at Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Robert F. Potter, and Meghan Wallace for their help in genome sequencing that was a major part of this research. I would also like to acknowledge Miss Shifa Tariq for genome annotation.

I acknowledge the efforts of Miss Hafsa Khan (:-D) for being my dost through one of the most difficult years of my life. If it wasn't for her concern and counseling, this thesis wouldn't have been possible. She taught me one of the biggest life lessons, that you, yourself, are important, if you want to serve others. Oh, and she also helped me with research work too.. Not! I am also extremely thankful to Hurmat Riaz (my first and best Lab Partner), Uzair Hashmi (bhai bhai), Zain ul Arfeen (your awesomeness is unexplainable), Marriam Khursheed (choti behan) and Tahir Ghori (mera yaar). All these people have helped me go through a lot and in becoming a much better person than I was before I met them.

I would also like to mention my seniors, Dr. Tahir Hussain, Mr. Ibrahim Rashid and Sidra Irum for their guidance when needed. I would also like to acknowledge my juniors, Zeeshan Mustafa, Aneeqa Rehman, Arslan Sarwar, Syeda Javeria Khalid, Ifrah Tahir, Mishal Amjad and Umm-e-Swaiba for discussing their research projects with me every day and asking questions through which I have gained and learned a lot.

The portion of acknowledgement would not be complete without acknowledging Miss Shakira who by far is the best lab demonstrator ever and her dedication to her work is a quality that we all wish to achieve.

I take this opportunity to acknowledge my Maa and Papa for their constant devotion in ensuring that my brother and I get the best education, no matter how strenuous it got for them. Thank you Papa g for being the best father ever and ensuring that we stay focused on our education. Thank you Maa g for being my rock and my support. Everything that I am today is a reflection of your never-ending efforts. I remember all those times when we struggled financially but you never backed down from sending us to expensive colleges and university. Thank you Tabish for looking out for me and being patient with tasks at home when I was having difficulty in completing my research work. I would also like to thank Moon g and Shoon g who have always looked out for us whenever the times were difficult.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	In	tro	troduction1		
2 Literature Review		iter	ature Review	2	
	2.1	Antibiotics		2	
	2.2	(Classification of Antibiotics	2	
 2.3 Antibiotics Prescribed for Typhoid Infection 2.4 Resistance to Antibiotics		1	Antibiotics Prescribed for Typhoid Infection		
		Resistance to Antibiotics	4		
		Biochemical Aspects	5		
	2.	4.2	Target modification	6	
	2.	4.3	Efflux pumps and permeability changes		
	2.	4.4	Target bypass	8	
	2.5	(Genetic Aspects	8	
	2.	5.1	Horizontal gene transfer	8	
	2.6	1	Antibiotic Resistance Trends	10	
	2.7]	Recent resistance trends in Salmonella typhi	10	
	2.8	(Genes conferring resistance to Cephalosporin	11	
	2.9	1	Antibiotic Resistant Genes involved in Fluroquinolone resistance	12	
3	Μ	late	rials and Methods	14	
	3.1		Sample Collection	14	
	3.2]	Patient History	14	
3.3 Sample Processing3.4 Glycerol Stock Preparation		Sample Processing	14		
		(Glycerol Stock Preparation	15	
	3.5	1	Antimicrobial Susceptibility Assays	15	
	3.	5.1	Kirby Bauer Disk Diffusion Assay	15	
	3.	5.2	Minimum Inhibitory Concentration using Broth Microdilution	17	
	3.6	,	Total DNA Extraction by boiling Method	20	
3.7 DNA Extraction]	DNA Extraction	20	
	3.8]	PCR for identification of Resistance Gene	21	
	3.	8.1	Primer Designing	21	
	3.8.2 PCR reaction mixture for identification of β -lac		PCR reaction mixture for identification of β -lactamses	22	
	3.9]	Plasmid Curing	22	
3.10 Whole Genome Seq) '	Whole Genome Sequencing	23	
	3.11	. (Genome Alignment	23	

	3.1	1.1	Obtaining Reference Sequence	.23
3.11.2		1.2	Contig Rearrangement using Mauve	.23
3.11.3		1.3	Creating single FASTA file using Artemis	.24
	3.12	Ger	nome Annotation	.24
3.12.1		2.1	Genome Annotation Using Prokka	.24
	3.1	2.2	Genome Annotation using Rapid Annotation Subsystem Technology (RAST))24
3.12.3		2.3	Genome Annotation using PATRIC ver 3.3.5	.25
	3.1	2.4	Genome Annotation using Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP)	26
3.13 Gei		Ger	notypic Characterization using Center for Genomic Epidemiology	.26
3.13.1 Multi Locus Sequence Typing using CGE		.26		
	3.1	3.2	PlasmidFinder-CGE	.26
	3.1	3.3	SNP Determination	.26
	3.14	Wh	ole Genome Comparison	.28
	3.15	Ide	ntification of Antibiotic Resistance Genes (ARGs)	.29
3.15.1 Identification of ARGs by Antibiotic Resistance Database (ARDB)		Identification of ARGs by Antibiotic Resistance Database (ARDB)	.29	
3.15.2 Identification of ARGs by ResFinder		.29		
3.15.3 Identification of ARGs by Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Datab (CARD) 29			ase	
3.15.4 Identification of ARGs by ResFams			.30	
	3.16	Ger	nomic Island Prediction by IslandViewer 4	.30
4 Results		.31		
4.1 <i>S. typhi</i> isolation and Purification		<i>yphi</i> isolation and Purification	.31	
	4.2	Ant	imicrobial Susceptibility Assays	.31
	4.2	.1	Kirby-Bauer Disk Diffusion Assay	.31
	4.2	.2	Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) using Broth Microdilution Assay.	.32
	4.3	PCI	R for identification of β-lactamases	.34
	4.4	Plas	smid Curing Assay	.34
	4.5	Wh	ole Genome Sequencing	.36
	4.6	Anı	notation Overview	.36
	4.7 Whole Genome Comparison		.39	
	4.8	Ger	notypic Characterization using CGE	.41
	4.8	.1	Multi Locus Sequence Typing (MLST)	.41
4.8.2		.2	SNP Determination	.41
	4.9	Ide	ntification of Antibiotic Resistance Determinants	.44

	4.9.1	Antibiotic Resistance genes identified by ARDB	44
	4.9.2	Antibiotic resistance genes identified by CARD	47
	4.9.3	Resistance Genes identified by ResFinder-2.1 Server (CGE)	54
	4.9.4	Antibiotic Resistance genes identified by ResFams	54
	4.9.5	Genes conferring resistance to β-lactams	57
	4.9.6	Fluroquinolone Resistance Genes	57
	4.9.7	Genetic Environment of Antibiotic Resistance Genes	57
	4.9.8	Genetic Environment of β-lactamases	59
4.	10 Gen	omic Island Prediction	60
	Discussi	on	62
	Conclusion		
	Future P	Future Prospects74	
References		75	

List of Figures

List of Figures

Figure. 1 Mode of action of different classes of antibiotics (Neu, 1992)
Figure. 2 Antibiotic Resistance Mechanisms: Biochemical and Genetic Aspects (Dzidic,
Suskovic, & Kos, 2008)
Figure 3 Biochemical mechanism of antibiotic resistance (Tanwar, 2014)5
Figure 4 Trend between the number of beta-lactamases identified since 1970 (Davies &
Davies, 2010)
Figure 5 Schematic Representation of different MDR efflux pump and their mechanism of
efflux (Blanco et al., 2016)7
Figure 6: Gel Electrophoresis for PCR to detect <i>bla</i> _{CTX-M15} . Lane 1 shows 100bp+ DNA
Ladder; Lane 2, 3 and 4 show CTX-M positive results (592 bp) using CTX-MU primer34
Figure 7 Circular plot of S. typhi RWP1_PK1 Genome (Accession No. NIFP01000000)
depicting annotation using PATRIC, The All-Bacterial Bioinformatics Database and Analysis
Resource Center v.3.3.5. Image drawn using DNA Plotter Release 1.11. Track Order
outwards toward the center of the circular genome depiction is: Forward Strand CDS;
Reverse Strand CDS; G-C Plot (%); G-C Skew (%); ■ Above Average; ■ Below Average.37
Figure 8: Alignment file using FNA files of Reference and Contig files
Figure 9 Alignment file showing the contig file rearranged and aligned with reference
genome. "a" shows the aligned region; b Region not present in the reference genome
Figure 10: Whole Genome sequence comparison of S. typhi RWP1_PK1 with 3 reference
strains using Brig v0.95: str. CT18 (NC_003198.1, NC_0033384, NC_003385); str. Ty2
(NC_004631); str. P-Stx-12 (NC_016832.1, NC_016825)40
Figure 11: Evolutionary Relationship based on SNP calling by Center of Genomic
Epidemiology. Reference used for generation of Phylogenetic tree was S. typhi CT18. The
clinical isolate was related to S. Ty P-Stx-12 as can be inferred from the phylogenetic tree42

List of Figures

Figure 12 Evolutionary relationships of taxa. The evolutionary history was inferred using the UPGMA method (Sneath & Sokal, 1973). The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 3.67056819 is shown. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths (next to the branches) in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method (Tamura, Nei, & Kumar, 2004) and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. The proportion of sites where at least 1 unambiguous base is present in at least 1 sequence for each descendent clade is shown next to each internal node in the tree. The analysis involved 4 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were a total of 456 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7 (Kumar et al., 2016); S. typhi RWP_PK1 represented by SF.S. Ty_OC_CT18/1-Figure 13 Evolutionary Relationship based on SNP calling with 44 whole genome sequences of S. typhi RWP1 PK1 from Pakistan predicted by RealPhy. Reference used for generation of Phylogenetic tree was S. typhi P-Stx-12. The clinical isolate was related to S. Figure 14 RGI Wheel showing Antibiotic Resistance genes identified in the sequence with Figure 15 Heatmap showing antibiotic resistance genes hit against dataset hosted by CARD Figure 16: CDS plot of S. typhi isolate as presented by DNA Plotter 1.11. The plot shows location of various Antibiotic Resistance genes and mobile element proteins along with other CDSs. This could be a putative resistance island. Track Order: CDS; Forward Strand; CDS

List of Figures

Reverse Strand; All CDSs (Mobile Element Proteins; Antibiotic Resistance Genes); GC
<i>Content (%); GC-Skew (%).</i> ■ Above Average; ■ Below Average
Figure 17 Genetic environment of TEM-1B gene in Genome; TnAs1, TnAs2 – Transposases;
IsPa38, IS 5075- Insertion Sequence; PRP – Prophage, PRP.IS – Prophage Insertion Sequence
Figure 18 Genetic environment of blaCTX-M-15 gene within the genome: Orf -Orf 477;
QnrS- Quniolone Resistance Determinant; MEP -Mobile Element Protein; TinR -
Resolvase/Integrase; Trns-InsD - Transposon, Trps - Transposases, ISEcp1, IS2 - Insertion
Sequence; Trns – Transposons, Rec – Recombinase
Figure 19 Genomic islands for S. typhi RWP1_PK1 as predicted by IslandViewer4 using
Integrated, IslandPath-DIMOB, SIGI-HMM, IslandPick, and Islander programs as depicted
by different colors. The innermost cirlcle shows GC Skew the outermost circle solid grey line
represents the total genome length60
Figure 20Position of putative genomic islands predicted for S. typhi. RWP1-PK1 against 6
complete genome sequences of S. typhi using IslandViewer4. Alignment against 6 compete
genome sequences show a putative resistance island (represented in grey highlight). (a) str.
CT18 (NC_003198.1, NC_0033384, NC_003385) (b) str. Ty2 (NC_004631); (c) str. Ty21a
(NC_021176.1), (d) str. P-Stx-12 (NC_016832.1, NC_016825); (e) str. B/SF/13/03/195
(CP012151.1) (f) str. PM016/13 (NZ_CP012091.1)61

List of Tables

List of Tables

Table 1 Antibiotic Disks and their concentration used for KB Disk Diffusion Antibiotic
Susceptibility Assay16
Table 2: Antibiotics used for determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)
Assay17
Table 3: Protocol followed for preparation of required Antibiotic Solutions. Final
Concentration represents the antibiotic concentration in the reaction mixture
Table 4 Primers used for identification of β-lactamases21
Table 5 PCR reaction mixture and concentrations used 22
Table 6 Biochemical Characteristics of S. typhi Isolate
Table 7 Average zone diameters of antibiotics and their susceptibility based on EUCAST
Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 7.0, 2017. *
represents Cephamycin; + indicates susceptibility; - indicates resistance
Table 8 Average Minimum Inhibitor Concentration of antibiotics and their susceptibility
based on EUCAST Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version
7.0, 2017. + indicates susceptibility; - indicates resistance
Table 9 Plasmid Curing at 43 °C. D represents the dilution factor at which colonies were
suitable for replica plating to an antibiotic susbsituted media. (a) CFU at the dilution factor
from which it was subsequently plated; (b) CFU on the cefepime (128 μ g/ml) substituted
media35
Table 10 Plasmid Curing at 37 °C. D represents the dilution factor at which colonies were
suitable for replica plating to an antibiotic susbsituted media. (a) CFU at the dilution factor
from which it was subsequently plated; (b) CFU on the cefepime (128 μ g/ml)35

List of Tables

Table 11 Overview of genome annotation using different annotation servers. CDS- coding
sequences; tRNA- transfer RNA genes; rRNA- rRNA genes; CRISPR- Clustered Regularly
interspaced palindromic repeats
Table 12 Alleles and sequence types of S. typhi RWP1_PK1 revealed by MLST-1.8 hosted by
CGE
Table 13 SNP score index obtained from CSI Phylogeny tool available at CGE. The highest
number of SNPs was observed between S. typhi isolate and S. typhi str. CT18 sequence while
the minimum number of SNPs was observed between S. typhi RWP1_PK1 and S. typhi P-
Stx-12 strain
Table 14Antibiotic Resistance determinants identified by Antibiotic Resistance Database
(ARDB). Resistance genes were identifed by blastx algorithm with a cutoff value of 0.0001
and percent identity of 40% (Liu & Pop, 2009)45
Table 15: Antibiotic resistance genes identified by RGI by using DNA sequence as the data
type with default Perfect and Strict hits only49
Table 16: Antibiotic Resistance Determinants identified by ResFinder 2.1 (CGE); Threshold
for %ID was 90 % with 60% minimum length54
Table 17 Antibiotic Resistance genes identified by ResFams using Hidden Markov Model
(HMM). The Accession number of sequence along with it's E-value, score and bias is shown
in the table along with the Target name and description

List of Acronyms

Acronym	Generic Name
µg/mL	Micrograms per Milliliter
μg/L	Micrograms per Liter
w/v	Weight per unit volume
ESBL	Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamase
WGS	Whole Genome Sequencing
rRNA	Ribosomal RNA
tRNA	Transfer RNA
mRNA	Messenger RNA
Mb	Mega bases
Kb	Kilo bases
Вр	Base pairs
CDS	Coding Sequences
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
bla	Beta-lactamase
S83F	Serine substituted by Phenylalanine at position 83
gyrA	Gyrase A
qnrS	Quinolone Resistance Protein S
SDS	Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate
EtBr	Ethidium Bromide

<u>Abstract</u>

ABSTRACT

A case of Typhoid fever was reported at a tertiary care hospital in Rawalpindi that was caused by an extended spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL) producing Salmonella enterica servar Typhi (S. typhi) exhibiting resistance to ceftriaxone (3rd generation cephalosporin). Phenotypic analysis by antimicrobial susceptibility assay using KB Disk Diffusion and MIC assay by Broth Microdilution revealed the isolate to be exhibiting resistance to all commonly used antibiotics for treatment of Typhoid fever. The isolate showed susceptibility to Carbapenem class of antibiotics and was resistant to the rest of clinically prescribed antibiotics including Cefepime (4th generation Cephalosporin) and several fluroquinolone antibiotics suggesting the isolate to be classified as Extensively Drug Resistant (XDR). Genotypic analysis was done by identification of β -lactamases by PCR revealing the presence of *bla*_{CTX-M}. Alkaline Lysis and Plasmid Curing Assay confirmed the isolate to be lacking plasmids. Whole Genome Sequencing and downstream analysis was employed for further genotypic analyses. Whole Genome Annotation revealed the genome to be ~4.8Mb having 4,975 CDSs, 69 tRNA genes and 4 rRNA genes. Further downstream analysis revealed the presence of a putative novel resistance island harboring numerous resistance genes (incl. *bla*_{CTX-M} *bla*_{TEM} *qnrS*1), insertion elements and mobile element proteins without presence of an independent plasmid along with S83F gyrA mutation along with other resistance genes that provide resistance to aminoglycosides, sulfonamides, macrolides, isoniazid and mupirocin. These genetic elements explain for the increased antimicrobial resistance by the bacterial isolate. current

xvii

1 INTRODUCTION

Typhoid fever affected roughly 13.5 million individuals worldwide in year 2010 (Buckle, Walker, & Black, 2012). Typhoid is an "acute systemic infection" which spreads via fecal-oral route. The infection sustains in population, which do not have access to clean drinking water and good sanitation. It also spreads through ingestion of contaminated food. *S. typhi* is an invasive pathogen that survives through the gastric acid barrier followed by invasion of intestinal mucosal cells resulting in an inflammatory response. Infection is followed by fever ensued by abdominal symptoms like vomiting and diarrhea, headache and a high pulse. Distinct symptoms of typhoid fever include high fever with rose spots on the chest. If left untreated, in the later stages of infection, bacteria can also passage through the intestine to the blood causing a systemic disease (Anwar, *et al.*, 2014; Dougan & Baker, 2014; Baron, 1996).

Salmonella belongs to the family of Enterobacteriaceae and comprises of the known human pathogens associated with causing enteric fever including typhoid and gastroenteritis (Ohl & Miller, 2001; Baron, 1996). This group contains facultative anaerobic, flagellated gram-negative bacilli divided into two species, *enterica* and *bongori. S. enterica* comprises of six subspecies, namely Enterica, Salamae, Arizonae, Diarizonae, Houtenae and Indica (Mastroeni & Maskell, 2006). Further characterized based on serotyping of O, H and Vi antigen divides these *enterica* subspecies into more than 2500 serovars e.g. Salmonella *enterica* serovar Typhi (*S. typhi*), the causative agent of Typhoid fever (Bangtrakulnonth, *et al.*, 2004).

Apart from clinical symptoms, the conventional method for diagnosis and confirmation of *S. typhi* infection is through traditional microbiological procedures like blood/stool cultures followed by biochemical identification. Certain serological procedures are performed either too look for the presence of *S. typhi* antigens (O and H) or to look for

specific antibodies in the serum against the pathogen (Felix-Widal Test). Although, Widal test was widely used, it gave false positive results and therefore newer diagnostic tests were developed like IDL Tubex®, Thypidot® and Typhidot-M® (World Health Organization, 2003). These tests combined did increase efficiency of detection, still rapid and correct detection could prove vital for affected. With advancement in technology, better diagnostic methods using PCR and similar better technologies have been shown to be used for better detection of *S. typhi* ((Song *et al.*, 1993; Khan *et al.*, 2012).

An estimate of 217,000 mortalities occurred out of 22 million cases of typhoid fever (Crump *et al.*, 2004). Two major endemic regions of typhoid fever are that of Asia and Africa. In Asia, although the disease burden varies across the region on the basis of age and location, most cases of typhoid fever are reported on the Indian Subcontinent with an annual reported cases of 412.9 per 100,000 persons in Pakistan (Ochiai, et al., 2008; Wain *et al.*, 2015).

If left untreated, typhoid could have a fatality rate of 10-30% but appropriate therapy with effective antibiotics can reduce the rate to 1-4% (Buckle, Walker, & Black, 2012). The first line of treatment emerged with "the introduction of chloramphenicol (in 1948), ampicillin (1961), co-trimoxazole" followed by introduction of third generation cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones which significantly reduced the mortality rate (Wain *et al.*, 2015). Due to inappropriate usage of drugs, drug resistant strains were reported in 1970s with emergence of "plasmid-medicated chloramphenicol resistance" (Mannan, *et al.*, 2014) reducing the effectiveness of first line drugs. MDR strains resistant to all three first line drugs were reported by 1980s. Emergence of plasmid-mediated extended spectrum β -lactamases resulting in reports of third generation cephalosporin resistant *S. typhi* that shows resistance to multiple drugs. More studies exhibited increased third generation cephalosporin resistance in other organisms too. This increased resistance, being plasmid mediated can be transferred to *S. typhi* from other organisms, for instance, gut commensal organisms (Park, 2014; Morita, et al., 2010).

The last resort of defense with increasing third generation cephalosporin resistance is 4th generation cephalosporins like Cefepime and Cefpirome due to their low rate of hydrolysis and ineffective ESBLs against these cephalosporins (Giamarellou, 1999). Recently, we isolated a highly resistant clinical isolate exhibiting resistance pattern never reported before.

RESEARCH AIM

The aim of the current study is to identify the molecular basis for increased resistance to Cephalosporins and Fluroquinolones in the current *S. typhi* isolate.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

The objective of the current research are:

- 1. To classify the current isolate (MDR or XDR or PDR) for antibiotic resistance by Antimicrobial Susceptibility Assays
- To identify resistance determinants conferring resistance to antimicrobials via PCR and Whole Genome Sequencing.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 ANTIBIOTICS

Discovery of penicillin by Fleming in 1929 followed by its initial clinical trials in 1941, marked an era in pharmaceutical industry which revolutionized the treatment for bacterial infections in the medical history (Ligon, 2004; Rao, 1998). Since then, numerous antibiotics and classes have been discovered and produced. Different classes of antibiotics act on various target sites within a bacterial cell, inhibiting the cell from its normal metabolism, eventually leading to death.

2.2 CLASSIFICATION OF ANTIBIOTICS

Antibiotics can be classified in two ways; on the basis of their biological function or on the basis of their chemical structure and characteristic(Korzybski, Gindifer, & Kurylowicz, 2013). Although chemical structures vary, there are three major classes of antibiotics based on mode of antibiotic action:

- i. Inhibition of cell wall synthesis
- ii. Inhibition of protein synthesis, and
- iii. Inhibition of DNA synthesis.

Other than the above stated mode of actions, a few classes of antibiotics also either inhibit synthesis or cause damage to the cytoplasmic membrane. Still other antibiotics modify the energy metabolism within bacterial cells, eventually causing death of the cells. Fig. 1 below shows different classes of antibiotics affecting different target sites within a bacterial cell(Neu, 1992).



Figure. 1 Mode of action of different classes of antibiotics (Neu, 1992)

2.3 ANTIBIOTICS PRESCRIBED FOR TYPHOID INFECTION

Early reports for treatment of typhoid fever indicate the research on use of pure crystalline extract from liquid culture of Streptomyces spp., Chloromycetin, which showed "significant therapeutic effects(Woodward, Smadel, Ley Jr., Green, & Mankikar, 1948). Similarly, Woodward et al. (1950) reported chloramphenicol as a highly effective antibiotic in the treatment of typhoid fever with no fatalities and a decreased relapse of typhoid fever. The first line of treatment for typhoid was chloramphenicol, ampicillin and trimethoprim but the emergence of MDR strains made these antimicrobials ineffective causing numerous outbreaks in developing countries(Rowe, Ward, & Threlfall, 1997). Such was the case that early report of chloramphenicol resistance surfaced as early as 1950 and a chloramphenicol resistant typhoid fever outbreak occurred 2 decades later in 1970s (Shohel, Rajia, & Hasan, 2014; Wain & Kidgell, 2004). Since the emergence of multi-drug resistant strains in 1989 exhibiting resistance to all the first line of drugs such as "chloramphenicol, ampicillin, trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole (TMP-SMZ), streptomycin, sulfonamides and tetracycline", other antibiotics were prescribed for treatment of typhoid infections(WHO, 1996). Fluoroquinolones such as ciprofloxacin emerged as the effective choice for treatment of typhoid fever. The use of extended spectrum cephalosporins such as ceftriaxone and cefixime came forward with increased fluoroquinolone resistance(Sen, Bhattacharya, & Niyogi, 2008). Extended spectrum cephalosporin (third generation) antibiotics such as ceftriaxone and next generation Fluroquinolone such as Moxifloxacin are currently prescribed for the treatment of typhoid infections(Shohel et al., 2014).

2.4 RESISTANCE TO ANTIBIOTICS

As seen in the previous sections, resistance to antibiotics emerged after a few years of use, rendering the antibiotic ineffective for the treatment of that infection. Two mechanisms underlie the development of antibiotic resistance; Biochemical Aspects and Genotypic Aspects.



Figure. 2 Antibiotic Resistance Mechanisms: Biochemical and Genetic Aspects (Dzidic, Suskovic, & Kos, 2008)

2.4.1 Biochemical Aspects

Biochemical and functional mechanism which renders an antibiotic drug ineffective can be described on the basis of 4 mechanisms; antibiotic inactivation/modification, target inactivation, efflux pump and permeability barriers, and target bypass.



Figure 3 Biochemical mechanism of antibiotic resistance (Tanwar, 2014)

Antibiotic inactivation/Modification

Major class of resistance determinants involving degradation of antibiotics comprises the inactivation and degradation of β -lactams. These antibiotics are inactivated by hydrolysis of the β -lactam ring by β -lactamases. So far, more than a thousand individual resistance determinants belonging to β -lactamases have been reported (Munita & Arias, 2016). These are classified on two basis; molecular basis (amino acid sequences) and functional classification. Molecularly, β -lactamases are divided into four classes; class A, C and D have a serine amino acid for hydrolysis of β -lactam ring whereas class B comprises a metallo- β lactamase enzymes (Karen Bush & Jacoby, 2010). Recent years have revealed an expedited increase in the emergence of newer resistant determinants of β -lactamases with increased use of antibiotics as can be seen in the Figure 4 (Davies & Davies, 2010).



Figure 4 Trend between the number of beta-lactamases identified since 1970 (Davies & Davies, 2010)

Other determinants of resistance by inactivation/modification of antibiotics include enzymatic degradation of chloramphenicol by chloramphenicol acetyl transferase enzyme, fosfomycin inactivation by thioltransferases, and enzymatic modification of aminoglycosides by adenylation (*O*-nucelotidyltransferases), acetylation (N-acetyltransferases), and by phosphorylation (*O*-phosphotransferases) (Davies & Davies, 2010; Giedraitienė, 2011; Ramirez & Tolmasky, 2010).

2.4.2 Target modification

Antibiotics being specific in nature are affected by slightest of changes in the target thus rendering them ineffective. Fluroquinolone resistance has been one of the most well documented cases of resistance mediated by target modification. Fluroquinolone activity occurs by inhibition of DNA synthesis by inhibition of gyrase and topoisomerase. Mutations arising within gyrase (*gyrA* and *gyrB*) and in topoisomerase IV (*parC-parE*) affects the binding affinity between antibiotic molecule and the target site. These mutations, accumulated overtime will disrupt the binding enough to produce a significant clinical resistance to antibiotics (Giedraitienė, 2011; Munita & Arias, 2016).

2.4.3 Efflux pumps and permeability changes

Among other antibiotic resistance mechanisms, multidrug resistance efflux pumps is also deemed as an important resistance mechanism by exporting the antibiotic molecules from the cell to the outside environment, either into the periplasmic space, or to the outside environment. For instance, drug efflux is considered as one of the major tetracycline resistance mechanism in Gram-negative bacteria (Li & Nikaido, 2004). Ever since the earliest discovery of the efflux pump mediated resistance in *Escherichia coli* by Tet proteins, a growing number of drug efflux pumps have been discovered and reported for their significant role in contribution to antibiotic resistance(Levy, 1992).

Classification of efflux pumps is done on the basis of their phylogenetic classification by protein sequences. Transport proteins especially involved in conferring antibiotic resistance can be classified into 5 groups, RND, MFS (major facilitator superfamily), MATE(multidrug and toxic compound extrusion), SMR (small multidrug resistance), and ABC (ATP-binding cassette) superfamilies or families as represented in Figure 5 (Hernando-Amado et al., 2016; Li X-Z., 2015).



Figure 5 Schematic Representation of different MDR efflux pump and their mechanism of efflux (Blanco et al., 2016)

2.4.4 Target bypass

Target bypass involves replacing the original target site with another molecule that has the same biochemical function but cannot be inhibited by the antibiotic molecule. Such case was observed in resistance to β -lactam by altered peptidoglycan structure of Penicillin Binding Protein. This was observed in Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) where a variant PBP2A was also observed along with PBP. This variant has no/low affinity for binding to all β -lactam antibiotics and thus provides a bypass and resistance to antibiotics (11597450, (Giedraitienė, 2011; Munita & Arias, 2016).

2.5 GENETIC ASPECTS

This section is to determine the genetic insight into the development of resistance and transfer of resistance to other bacteria. Antibiotic resistance could either be intrinsic as a result of mutations occurring within the organism, or acquired by lateral gene transfer from other resistance microbes via phages, conjugation or transformation (Aminov & Mackie, 2007; Dzidic et al., 2008; Marti, Variatza, & Balcazar, 2014b). Such aspects will be viewed in detail below.

2.5.1 Horizontal gene transfer.

Phenomenon of gene transfer between bacteria was discovered around 70 years ago, the impact of which has been seen in full effect with the increasing resistance to antibiotics in pathogens. Although bacterial genomes are stable through generations, they maintain their plasticity for acquisition of genes. Horizontal gene transfer (HGT) is a term used to describe the movement of genetic material from one organism to another. Gene transfer could occur by three mechanism; Transformation, Transduction or Conjugation. (Arber, 2014; Burmeister, 2015; Darmon & Leach, 2014).

2.5.1.1 Transduction

Transduction describes the genetic exchange mediated by the transfer of genes by bacteriophages (Frost, Leplae, Summers, & Toussaint, 2005). Phages mediate this genetic transfer by carrying some portion of genetic traits from a donor bacteria to a recepient bacterial cell following lytic or lysogenic cycle of phage. The transfer could be random or generalized per se where any portion could be transferred to the recepient cell by a lytic or lysogenic bacteriophage. On the other hand, a specialized transduction occurs by activity of lysogenic (temperate) phages only. The specialized transfer of genetic material occurs for some specific genes of the bacterial chromosome. These specialized phages could induce change in the bacetrial phenotype, a process known as lysogenic conversion (Balcazar, 2014; Brabban, Hite, & Callaway, 2005).

Transduction has been seen to be a plausible source of spread of antibiotic resistance genes. Various studies have highlighed the presence of resistance determinants such as quinolone resistance determinants (*qnrA, qnrB, qnrS*), β -lactamases such as *bla*_{TEM}, *bla*_{CTX-M} *and bla*_{SHV}, and *mecA* encoding PBP2 on phage DNA isolated from various sources such as fecally polluted waters, urban sewage samples and activated sludge (Balcazar, 2014; Marti, Variatza, & Balcazar, 2014a; Parsley et al., 2010)

2.5.1.2 Conjugation

Bacteria have an ability to share their genetic information with another bacterial cell by means of direct exchange of genetic material, a process referred to as conjugation, from a donor cell to a recepient cell via pilus, a tube-like structure. The genetic material exchanged could be the double stranded plasmids, or conjugative transposons (Chen, Christie, & Dubnau, 2005; Juhas et al., 2009). Role of conjugation in transfer of antibiotic resistance has been well studied. Mammeri et al. (2005) reported the transfer of fluroquinolone resistance genes, by transfer of quinolone resistant determinant regions from a resistant bacteria to a previously fluoroquinolone susceptible bacteria.

Another study revealed the transfer of $bla_{CTX-M-1}$ from a clinical isolate of *E. coli* was able to transfer resistance to third generation cephalosporin to a previously susceptible *Salmonella* Typhimurium isolate. The plasmid profile did not alter between the susceptible and transconjugant host strain despite the transconjugant strains exhibiting resistant phenotypes, highlights the role of small low copy number plasmids in confering and transfering resistant phenotypes (Vo, van Duijkeren, Fluit, & Gaastra, 2007).

2.6 ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE TRENDS

Globally, antibiotic resistance to higher generation Cephalosporin and Fluoroquniolones has been on the rise. Increased resistance to the third generation cephalosporin has been reported recently. For instance, 87.5% of the clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were resistant to third generation cephalosporin antibiotics (Moremi, Claus, & Mshana, 2016).

2.7 RECENT RESISTANCE TRENDS IN SALMONELLA TYPHI

Resistance to the higher generation cephalosporin drugs is being highlighted in the recent years. A study done over a period of 7 years, an average of 22 isolates per year of *S. enterica* were recovered which were resistant to 3^{rd} generation cephalosporins (Eller et al., 2013). Another recent study by Qamar et al., (2014) reviewed laboratory reports of typhoid between 2009 and 2011 in a Pakistani hospital where a few cases of *S. enterica spp.* were resistant to treatment by third generation cephalosporins such as ceftriaxone. It was also reported that the resistance to fluoroquinolone increased alarmingly from 84.7% to 91.7%.

A study by Gandra et al., (2015) elucidated an increase in resistance to ciprofloxacin from 13% to 22 % of the total isolates of *Salmonella sp.* Similarly, another study by Dutta et al., (2014) on *Salmonella enterica* ser. Typhi isolates tested between 2009 and 2013 revealed an increased resistance to fluroquinolone antibiotics, the current choice of treatment of typhoid fever. This resistance was mostly plasmid mediated with the presence of non-IncHl 1 plasmid in 71.4% of the MDR organisms incorporating various resistance determinants. In another study, 56.3% of clinical *Salmonella* isolates at a tertiary hospital in Tanzania showed resistance to higher generation cephalosporin drugs such as ceftriaxone (Moremi et al., 2016).

2.8 GENES CONFERRING RESISTANCE TO CEPHALOSPORIN

Intrinsic cephalosporinases, belonging to β -lactamases, class C. The group has AmpC or AmpC like enzymes encoded by CMY, DHA or ACC-1 genes but *Salmonella* lack structural AmpC gene. ESBLs belonging to β -lactamases A hydrolyze cephalosporins but are inhibited by commercially available β -lactamase inhibitors such as clavulanate, tazobactam etc. These include penicillinases encoded by SHV, TEM, CTX-M, and PER (V Miriagou, Tassios, Legakis, & Tzouvelekis, 2004).

AmpC penicillinases hydrolyze Aztreonam and are "clinically important cephalosporinases". Their mode of action is similar to Cephalosporins, penicillins, oxyiminoβ-cephalosporins and cephamycins with limited resistance to Cefepime, Ceftriaxone and Carbapenems (Georgopapadakou, Smith, & Skyes, 1982; Jacoby, 2009). Simlarly, CTX-M group penicillinases, with more than 50 variants, hydrolyze cefotaxime and ceftriaxone readily than ceftazidine (Miriagou, Tassios, Legakis, & Tzouvelekis, 2004; Naas, Oxacelay, & Nordmann, 2007).

Increased resistance among genes could be attributed to certain point mutations among genes encoding β -lactamses Naiemi et al., (2008) observed that 3 aminoacids subsitutions in SHV-12 in Enterobacteriacae, caused an increase in hydrolysis of penicillins and extended spectrum cephalosporins, with susceptibility to amoxicillin-clavulanate, ciprofloxacin, meropenem, and piperacillin-tazobactam. Other than that, increased prevalence of CTX-M-15 and TEM-1 has been reported in *S. enterica* isolates conferring resistance to higher generation cephalosporin antibiotic across various regions of the world (Ahmed et al., 2012; Gonzalez-Lopez et al., 2014; Rotimi, Jamal, Pal, Sovenned, & Albert, 2008).

2.9 ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANT GENES INVOLVED IN

FLUROQUINOLONE RESISTANCE

Fluoroquinolones have been widely used owing to their wide spectrum activity against Gram positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Widespread use has also caused development of increased antibiotic resistance such that number of fluoroquinolone resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates rose from 11% to 50% in 7 years in a study conducted in Italy (Redgrave, Sutton, Webber, & Piddock, 2014)

The most notable mechanism of resistance to fluoroquinolones is by target modification of one or more of the target proteins. Changes in type II topoisomerases encoded by *gyrA*, *gyrB* (Gyrase), *parC and parE* (Topoisomerase IV) genes result in amino acid substitutions in the target proteins confer varying level of resistance (Aldred et al., 2013).

Mutations in gyrA gene conferred resistance to first generation drugs such as naldixic acid. This was dealt by using introduction of second generation fluroquinolone antibiotics such as Levofloxacin and Ciprofloxacin which target parC subunit of topoisomerase IV (Patel et al., 2011). By increased use of the second generation antibiotics, bacteria harbored mutations in parC and parE subunits of topoisomerase IV resulting in the development of resistance to these higher generation antibiotics. This increased resistance resulted was countered with increased use of moxifloxacin, a 4th generation Fluroquinolone antibiotic that has broad specificity for both gyrase and topoisomerase IV with equal binding affinity. By use of moxifloxacin, either of the mutations in both of the target region could be countered (Bolon, 2009).

Although major mechanism of fluoroquinolone resistance occurs by changes in resistance encoded chromosomally as described above, plasmid mediated quinolone resistance has been widely reported since 1987, despite the idea being withdrawn in the beginning (Munshi et al., 1987; Poirel, Cattoir, & Nordmann, 2012) until another report of "true PMQR" was reported to be present in a *K. pneumoniae* isolate exhibiting resistance to naldixic acid, ciprofloxacin and other similar antibiotics (Martinez-Martinez, Pascual, & Jacoby, 1998).

Plasmid mediated quinolone resistance is conferred by three mechanisms; *qnr* gene, a variant of aminoglycoside acetyltransferase AAC(6')-Ib and lastly, via plasmid encoded efflux pumps QepAB and OqxAB (Hansen, Jensen, Sorensen, & Sorensen, 2007; Robicsek, Strahilevitz, et al., 2006).

We belive, that mutations or acquisition of novel resistant determinants has resulted in development of such higher resistance to not only third generation, but fourth generation cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones too such as cefepime and moxifoloxacin respectively. We aim to characterise all the resistant determinants present in the genome by identifying any novel resistance determinant which could explain such diverse resistance pattern.

3 MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 SAMPLE COLLECTION

Ethical Review for carrying out the study was obtained from Institutional Review Board (IRB), Atta-ur-Rehman School of Applied Biosciences (ASAB), National University of Science and Technology, Islamabad. The highly resistant MDR *Salmonella enterica* subsp. enterica serovar Typhi strain was obtained from Pathology Lab, Army Medical College in February 2016.

The clinical isolate was cultured on an agar slant, incubated overnight and carried to lab in a sealed container, keeping in lieu with the biosafety guidelines for transport of biological substances.

3.2 PATIENT HISTORY

Patient was a 17 year old, previously healthy male, admitted to Military Hospital, Rawalpindi with a 14 day persistent fever of 39 °C. He was diagnosed with typhoid fever. The patient was initially treated with 2g IV of ceftriaxone but he did not respond to therapy. Blood culture reports indicated MDR *S. typhi* infection exhibiting resistance to Ampicillin, Cotrimoxazole, Chloramphenicol, Ciprofloxacin, Azithromycin, Aztreonam, Gentamicin and Ceftriaxone, was an ESBL producer and sensitive only to Meropenem. The patient was treated with 1g IV Meropenem for 10 days prior to discharge from hospital (Munir, Lodhi, Ansari, Andleeb, & Ahmed, 2016).

3.3 SAMPLE PROCESSING

The *S. typhi* clinical isolate was obtained from AFIP lab in the form of antibiotic susceptibility assay plate exhibiting contamination. Subculturing was performed on Salmonella-Shigella Agar for purification of *Salmonella typhi* isolate. The plates were

incubated for 36-48 hour at 37°C. Biochemical identification of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. enterica ser. *typhi* was done for further confirmation.

3.4 GLYCEROL STOCK PREPARATION

Glycerol stocks were prepared of the MDR isolate. Liquid cultures were prepared by touching 3-4 single centered colonies in LB Media followed by overnight incubation at 37 °C at 180 RPM in a rotary shaker. Growth was indicated by media becoming turbid.

The culture was centrifuged at 4500rpm for 5 min to obtain a pellet, followed by recentrifugation in the same tubes. Supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended in 1 ml fresh LB media.

The contents were transferred to cryovials containing 0.5ml of 80% sterile glycerol. After addition of resuspended culture, the tubes were vortexed at low speed for homogenization of glycerol. The tubes were then snap-freezed in liquid nitrogen before placing them at -80 °C.

3.5 ANTIMICROBIAL SUSCEPTIBILITY ASSAYS

After isolation and storage of *S*. typhi isolate, antibiotic susceptibility assays; Kirby-Bauer Disk Diffusion and Minimum Inhibitory Concentration assays were performed for different antibiotics using EUCAST guidelines to obtain an antibiogram of the MDR Isolate.

3.5.1 Kirby Bauer Disk Diffusion Assay

EUCAST guidelines for media selection and preparation, inoculum preparation, culturing, disk placement and measurement of zone of inhibitions were followed for this assay.

By touching a colony or two, isolated colonies from an overnight culture on a general purpose, non-specific agar were picked. The colony was suspended in 1ml of sterile normal saline to obtain turbidity equal to that of 0.5 McFarland. 100 µl of the prepared suspension
was plated on Muller-Hinton Agar plate. Once the plate dried out, the antibiotic disks were

placed on the culture plate. Following antibiotic disks were used for this purpose.

Antibiotic	Concentration (µg)
Penicillin	
Ampicillin	30
Amoxicillin	30
Augmentin	30
Fluroquinolones	
Levofloxacin	30
Moxifloxacin	30
Ciprofloxacin	30
Carbapenem	
Imipenem	30
Meropenem	30
Cephalosporins	
Cefotaxime	30
Cefepime	30
Cefoxitin	30
Ceftriazone	30
Cefpirome	30
Cefixime	30
Aztreonam	30

Table 1 Antibiotic Disks and their concentration used for KB Disk Diffusion Antibiotic Susceptibility Assay

3.5.2 Minimum Inhibitory Concentration using Broth Microdilution

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration was adapted from the protocol published by Wiegand, Hilpert, and Hancock (2008).

Pure cultures of bacterial isolates were obtained on SS Agar. 4 or 5 colonies were touched and were inoculated in 2 ml LB media under aseptic conditions. The culture was grown for 2-3 hours at 225rpm at 37 °C to obtain turbidity equal to that of McFarland 0.5. MICs were determined for following antibiotics.

Table 2: Antibiotics used for determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) Assay					
Antibiotic	Solvent	Concentration (mg/mL)			
Penicillin					
Ampicillin	Distilled water	0.5-256			
Amoxicillin	Phosphate buffer pH 6.0	0.5-256			
Augmentin	Distilled water	0.5-256			
Fluroquinolone					
Levofloxacin	DMSO	0.5-256			
Ciprofloxacin	DMSO	0.5-256			
Moxifloxacin	DMSO	0.5-256			
Carbapenem					
Imipenem	Distilled water	0.5-256			
Meropenem	Distilled water	0.5-256			
Cephalosporins					
Cephradine	Distilled water	0.5-256			
Cefotetan	Distilled water	0.5-256			
Cefixime	Phosphate Buffer (pH 7.0)	0.5-256			
Ceftriazone	Distilled water	0.5-256			
Cefepime	Distilled water	0.5-256			

Meanwhile media supplemented with Antibiotic was prepared by using the following scheme illustrated in Table 3. Following preparation of antibiotic dilution, 50 μ l of inoculum was inoculated with 50 μ l of antibiotic substituted MH broth media in a 96-well plate. This halved the concentration to Final Concentration as is shown in Table 3.

After inoculation, the plate was placed in a zip-lock back with a moist paper towel and sealed to prevent the media in the wells from drying out. Culture was incubated for 24 hours at 37°C after which, turbidity was visually assessed and confirmed using a micro-titer plate reader for determination of MIC.

 Table 3: Protocol followed for preparation of required Antibiotic Solutions. Final Concentration represents the antibiotic concentration in the reaction

 mixture

Stago	Stock	Sourco	Vol. of Antibiotic	Vol. of Sterile	Concentration	Final Concentration
Stage	SLUCK	Source	Solution (µL)	Broth (µL)	Obtained (µg/mL)	(µg/mL)
1	10 mg/ml	Stock	100	900	1000	512
2	1 mg/ml	Stage 1	512	488	512	256
3	$512 \ \mu g/ml$	Stage 2	500	500 500 2		128
4	256 µg/ml	Stage 3	500	500	128	64
5	128 µg/ml	Stage 4	100	100	64	32
6	128 µg/ml	Stage 4	100	300	32	16
7	128 µg/ml	Stage 4	100	700	16	8
8	16 µg/ml	Stage 7	100	100	8	4
9	16 µg/ml	Stage 7	100	300	4	2
10	16 µg/ml	Stage 7	100	700	2	1
11	2 µg/ml	Stage 10	100	100	1	0.5

3.6 TOTAL DNA EXTRACTION BY BOILING METHOD

Total DNA extraction was done by boiling method. A single bacterial colony from overnight *S. typhi* culture grown on MH Agar was suspended in 100 µl NF (nuclease free) water. The suspension was then centrifuged using by SpinFuge microfuge for 1 min to wash the bacterial colonies. The supernatant was discarded and the colonies were again suspended in 100µl water. The suspension was then given a heat shock at 99 °C for 20 minutes in PCR machine. After 20 minutes, the tubes were quickly placed on ice and then centrifuged by SpinFuge microfuge for 2-3 minutes. The supernatant was then transferred to a new PCR tube and kept at -20°C for later use and the pellet was discarded.

3.7 DNA EXTRACTION

Whole genome DNA extraction was carried out by Salting Out method modified from He. (2011) protocol; 5M NaCl was used for protein precipitation instead of phenol-chloroform method.

1.5 ml of overnight bacteria culture grown in LB media was centrifuged at max speed for 1 min to pellet cells. The supernatant was discarded and cells were resuspended in 600 μ l Lysis Buffer (9.34 ml lysis buffer, 600 μ l of 10% SDS and 60 μ l Proteinase K (20mg/ml)) and vortex. The mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 1 hour, preferably in a shaking water bath. The mixture became viscous followed by lysis.

1-1.5 vol of 5M NaCl was added to the mixture for protein precipitation and slow vortex for 15 sec was done followed by centrifugation at max speed for 5 min. After centrifugation, upper aqueous layer was aspirated and transferred to a new microfuge tube. This can be repeated until complete loss of white layer is seen.

DNA was precipitated by addition of 1ml absolute chilled ethanol, and left in refrigerator for 15-30 min followed by centrifugation at max speed at 4 °C for 15 min. The supernatant was discarded and DNA pellet was rinsed with 1ml 70% ethanol and centrifugation at max speed for 2 min.

The supernatant was discarded and DNA pellet was air dried at room temperature by inverting it on a paper towel.

3.8 PCR FOR IDENTIFICATION OF RESISTANCE GENE

3.8.1 Primer Designing

Primers listed in the recent literature were used for identification of resistance determinants; *bla* CTX-M, *bla* TEM, and *bla* SHV.

Primer	Primer Sequence	Amplicon	Reference	
Timer Sequence		Size (bp)		
TEM				
TEM-F	5'-TTCTTGAAGACGAAAGGGC-3'	1150	Brinas, Zarazaga,	
TEM-R	5'-ACGCTCAGTGGAACGAAAAC-3'	1150	Saenz, Ruiz-Larrea, and Torres (2002)	
SHV				
SHV-F	5'-CACTCAAGGATGTATTGTG-3'	885	(Brinas et al., 2002)	
SHV-R	5'-TTAGCGTTGCCAGTGCTC-3'	005		
СТХ-М				
CTX-MUF	5' -CGA TGT GCA GTA CCA GTA A-3'	502	(Batchelor et al., 2005)	
CTX-MUR	5'-TTA GTG ACC AGAATC AGC GG-3'	574		

Table 4 Primers used for identification of β -lactamases

3.8.2 PCR reaction mixture for identification of β-lactamases

PCR was performed for identification of antibiotic resistance genes; CTX-M, TEM, and SHV at standard PCR conditions.

Table 5 PCR reaction mixture and concentrations used					
Reagent	Volume used (µl)	Concentration			
Taq Buffer	2.5	10X			
dNTP Mix	2.5	0.2 mM			
Forward Primer	1	1 mM			
Reverse Primer	1	1mM			
Template	5	-			
Taq DNA Pol	0.25	5 U/µl			
NF Water	12.75	-			
Total Reaction Mixture (µL)	25				

3.9 PLASMID CURING

Plasmid Curing Assay was performed by using EtBr and SDS as the plasmid curing agent. The concentration of EtBr was tested at 75 μ g/ml, 100 μ g/ml and 125 μ g/ml substituted in Muller Hinton Broth (prepared as per manufacturer's guidelines). Similarly, SDS concentration tested were 0.5 % (*w*/*v*), 0.75% (*w*/*v*) and 1% (*w*/*v*).

100 μ l of overnight culture was used as an inoculum in 10 ml each of EtBr and SDS substituted media. Culturing in SDS and EtBr substituted media were done at 37°C and 43 °C. The cultures were subcultured for 3 days.

After 3 days of sub-culturing in the media supplemented with same concentration of curing agent, cultures were serially diluted from 10^{-4} to 10^{-7} followed by plating on Nutrient Agar. After overnight growth, colonies from plates having 5-100 colonies were replica-plated on MH media substituted with 128µg/ml cefepime.

3.10 WHOLE GENOME SEQUENCING

Whole Genome Sequencing was performed by isolation of whole genomic DNA from 10 colonies using MoBio Bacteremia DNA Kit followed by preparation of library preparation using Illumina Nextera XT kit (Baym et al., 2015; Schmieder & Edwards, 2011). Prepared libraries were sequenced by Illumina NextSeq 2500 which were processed at High Throughput Computing Facility at Washington University School of Medicine sequenced the prepared DNA libraries, St. Louis. Illumina adapters and potentially contaminating human reads were removed using trimmomatic and deconseq (Bolger, Lohse, & Usadel, 2014; Schmieder & Edwards, 2011). The paired end reads were assembled using SPAdes 3.10.0 (Bankevich et al., 2012). The contigs were analyzed by QUAST (Gurevich, Saveliev, Vyahhi, & Tesler, 2013). Generated contigs were then used for further processing.

3.11 GENOME ALIGNMENT

3.11.1 Obtaining Reference Sequence

Reference FASTA sequence for *Salmonella enterica* subsp. enterica ser. Typhi str. CT18 (NC_003198) with its pHCM1 (NC_003384.1) and pHCM2 (NC_003385.1) plasmids was retrieved from <u>ncbi.nlm.nih.gov</u>.

3.11.2 Contig Rearrangement using Mauve

Using the "Move Contigs" tool in Mauve, the file containing contigs was rearranged using the *S. typhi* str. CT18 as the reference. This generated a multi-fasta file with contigs in

the specified order as that of the reference (A. C. Darling, Mau, Blattner, & Perna, 2004; A. E. Darling, Mau, & Perna, 2010).

3.11.3 Creating single FASTA file using Artemis

The generated .FNA sequence containing reordered contigs was retrieved from the alignment folder and opened on Artemis. "Write All Bases" function was then used to create a single sequence FASTA file for further analysis (Carver et al., 2005).

3.12 GENOME ANNOTATION

3.12.1 Genome Annotation Using Prokka

The single FASTA file was annotated using Prokka by using the command line prokka/home/asab/filename.fna. The output files were saved for further analysis (Seemann, 2014).

3.12.2 Genome Annotation using Rapid Annotation Subsystem Technology (RAST)

Single .FASTA file containing *S. typhi* sequence was also annotated using Rapid Annotation Subsytem Technology 2.0 online server available at <u>rast.nmpdr.org</u> (Aziz et al., 2008; Overbeek et al., 2014). FASTA file containing arranged contig was uploaded on the server after registering for an account.

After the file upload was complete, the genome information of the organism was using the Taxonomy ID: 90370. Following that, the rest of the information was made available by "Fill in form based on NCBI taxonomy-ID." button.

Please enter or verify the following information about this organism:

- RAST bases its genome identifiers on NCBI taxonomy-IDs.
 If you provide a valid taxonomy-ID, RAST will attempt to fill in the genome metadata for you.
 If you provide a valid taxonomy-ID field blank, RAST will astegn a meaningless taxonomy-ID, and you will need to fill in the below genome metadata manually.
 If you provide a valid taxonomy-ID field blank, RAST will astegn a meaningless taxonomy-ID, and you will need to fill in the below genome metadata manually.
 If you provide a valid taxonomy-ID field blank, RAST will assign a meaningless taxonomic indentifier and the genome will not be suitable for submission to PATRIC. We discuss the motivation and process for submitting your genome to PATRIC is the discuss the motivation and process for submitting your genome to PATRIC is the second to PATRIC. We discuss the motivation and process for submitting your genome to PATRIC is the second to part the second to

Genome info	rmation :	
Taxonomy ID:	90370 Fill in form based on NCBI taxonomy-ID.	 If you enter a valid NCEI taxonomy-ID and click "Fill in form based on NCEI taxonomy-ID," RAST will attempt to automatically fill in the form below. You may then edit any incorrect field values before going to the next step. If you do not know the taxonomy-ID of your genome, please leave the taxonomy-ID field blank, and fill in the fields manually.
Taxonomy string:	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Enterobacterales; Enterobacteriacea; Salmonella; Salmonella enterica; Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica	If you leave this field blank, RAST will fill in a dummy taxonomy string of the form "Domain; genus species strain.", Dased on the form entries Delow.
Domain:	🖲 Bacteria 🔍 Archaea 🔍 Virus	
Genus:	Salmonella	• E.g., "Escherichia". If you do not know the genus, leave blank, and it will default to "Unknown".
Species:	enterica	• E.g., "coli". If you do not know the species, leave blank, and it will default to "sp.".
Strain:	subsp. enterica serovar Typh	• E.g. "str. K12 substr. MG1655". This field is optional. (May also be used as a comment.)
<u>Genetic</u> <u>Code:</u>	 11 (Archaea, most Bacteria, most Virii, and some Mitochondria) 4 (Mycoplasmaea, Spiroplasmaea, Ureoplasmaea, and Fungal Mitochondria) 	
Use this data	and go to step 3	

After step 2, the settings for Annotation were selected as shown in the figure before

finally submitting the genome for annotation.

Upload a Genome

Complete Upload

Please consider the	Please consider the following options for the RAST annotation pipeline:					
RAST Annotation Se	ttings:					
Choose RAST annotation scheme	Classic RAST •	Choose "Classic RAST" for the current production RAST, or "RASTtk" for the new modular RAST pipeline currently in testing.				
Select gene caller	RAST •	Please select which type of gene calling you would like RAST to perform. Note that using GLIMMER-3 will disable automatic error fixing, frameshift correction and the backfilling of gaps.				
Select FIGfam version for this run	Release70 •	Choose the version of FIGfams to be used to process this genome.				
Automatically fix errors?	✓ Yes	The automatic annotation process may run into problems, such as gene candidates overlapping RNAs, or genes embedded inside other genes. To automatically resolve these problems (even if that requires deleting some gene candidates), please check this box.				
Fix frameshifts?	Yes	If you wish for the pipeline to fix frameshifts, check this option. Otherwise frameshifts will not be corrected.				
Build metabolic model?	Yes	If you wish RAST to build a metabolic model for this genome, check this option.				
Backfill gaps?	🗹 Yes	If you wish for the pipeline to blast large gaps for missing genes, check this option.				
Turn on debug?	Yes	If you wish debug statements to be printed for this job, check this box.				
Set verbose level	0	Set this to the verbosity level of choice for error messages.				
Disable replication	Yes	Even if this job is identical to a previous job, run it from scratch.				
Finish the upload						

Afterwards, RAST annotation settings page was displayed. The genome was annotated using default settings i.e. Classic RAST was used as annotation scheme, RAST was used as gene caller and FIGfam Release 70 was used for this job. The options "Automatically fix errors" and "Backfill gaps" were also checked. Verbose level was also kept to 0.

3.12.3 Genome Annotation using PATRIC ver 3.3.5

Genome annotation was also done using PATRIC, the all-bacterial Bioinformatics Database and Analysis Resource Center ver. 3.3.5. PATRIC employs RASTtk for genome annotation which is an updated RAST server which is still under trial. The RASTtk server allowed calling of CRISPR sequences and also uses PhiSpy algorithm for identifying prophage insertion sequences (Brettin et al., 2015; Wattam et al., 2017).

3.12.4 Genome Annotation using Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP)

Genome Annotation was also performed using Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) hosted by NCBI database when the draft genome sequence was submitted in GenBank.

3.13 GENOTYPIC CHARACTERIZATION USING CENTER FOR GENOMIC EPIDEMIOLOGY

The Center for Genomic Epidemiology offers various tools for phenotyping and typing such as Identification of acquired antibiotic resistance genes Multi Locus Sequence Typing, PlasmidFinder, serovar identification using SeqSero, and SNP analysis using available at http://www.genomicepidemiology.org/.

3.13.1 Multi Locus Sequence Typing using CGE

Multilocus Sequence Typing was also performed using MLST 1.8 tool available at <u>https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/MLST/</u>. MLST configuration was used for *Salmonella enterica* and data type was Assembled Genome/Contigs in .FASTA format (Larsen et al., 2012).

3.13.2 PlasmidFinder-CGE

PlasmidFinder 1.3 available at <u>https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/PlasmidFinder/</u> was used to identify plasmid sequences in the assembled genome annotation. Database Plasmid-Enterobacteriaceae was selected as the database with a threshold for ID% set at 95%. FNA file was uploaded to identify the plasmid sequences (Carattoli et al., 2014).

3.13.3 SNP Determination

Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) were detected against three genomes using . The genomes are available at NCBI. *S. typhi* CT18 (AL513382) was used as the reference

genome and two other Strains, Ty2 (AE014613)and P-stx-12 (CP003278) were used along with sequenced isolate to identify the SNPs present within the genome. CSI Phylogeny hosted by Center of Genomic Epidemiology was used to identify the SNP presents within genome (Kaas, Leekitcharoenphon, Aarestrup, & Lund, 2014) Following Input Parameters were used for computation of SNP:

Minimum depth at SNP positions:	10
Relative depth at SNP positions:	10
Minimum distance between SNPs (prune):	10
Minimum SNP quality:	30
Minimum read mapping quality:	25
Minimum Z-score:	1.96

The SNP data was downloaded in Newick format and was opened in MEGA 7 to obtain a phylogenetic tree. The alignment file in .FASTA format was obtained from SNP calling was opened in MEGA 7 and realigned using ClustalW algorithm. The alignment file was then used to determine the evolutionary relationship of the taxa by constructing UPGMA tree with bootstrap value of 500(Kumar, Stecher, & Tamura, 2016).

SNP analysis was also done using RealPhy with default settings. The input included *S. typhi* str. P-Stx-12 as reference, *S. typhi* str. RWP1_PK1 and 44 Whole Genome Sequences available on PATRIC of *S. typhi* genomes reported from Pakistan. The output was available in the form of a phylogenetic tree.

3.14 WHOLE GENOME COMPARISON

3.14.1.1 Whole Genome Comparison by using Mauve

Annotated *S. typhi* genome was also aligned with *S. typhi* str. CT18 and *S. typhi* P-Stx-12 using Mauve Multiple Genome Alignment Visualization ver. 20150226. Using "Align with Progressive Mauve" function, the .gbk files were aligned.

Mauve 20150226 - Ge	nome Alignment Visi	ualization	Align sequences —
File View Tools Hel	D		Files Parameters Scoring
Open alignment	Ctrl-O		Sequences to align:
Align sequences			F:\Mauve Alignment\CT18.gbk
Align with progressive	lauve		F:\Mauve Alignment\S_Ty.gbk
<u>P</u> rint	Ctrl-P AI	lign sequences with progressiveMauve (more accurate)	
Page Setup			
Print Preview	Ctrl+Shift-P		
<u>C</u> lose	Ctrl-W		
Quit	Ctrl-Q		Add Sequence Remove Sequence
		2	Output: hment/Alignment.Genome_CT18_Typhi
			Cancel alignment Align

The log file along with alignment files was saved. DCJ, GAP and SNP analysis was also performed for alignment using Mauve Matrix Alignment.

3.14.1.2 Whole Genome Comparison with BRIG v0.95

Genome was also aligned using BRIG v0.95 (Alikhan, Petty, Ben Zakour, & Beatson, 2011) using NCBI BLAST+ (v. 2.6.0) with three complete *S. typhi* genomes available: str. CT18 (NC_003198.1, NC_003384, NC_003385); str. Ty2 (NC_004631); str. P-Stx-12 (NC_016832.1, NC_016825). Upper and Lower BLAST threshold value was placed at 90% and 70% respectively.

3.15 IDENTIFICATION OF ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE GENES (ARGS)

3.15.1 Identification of ARGs by Antibiotic Resistance Database (ARDB)

Antibiotic Resistance Genes were also identified using Antibiotic Resistance Database available at <u>http://ardb.cbcb.umd.edu/index.html</u> by using the Genome Annotation and Comparison tool. Blastx program was used with 40% identity and E-Value of 0.0001.

The Multiple Sequences Annotation and Resistance Profile Comparison tool was used along with the Multiple Sequence Annotation program. *S.* Ty str. CT18 and *S.* Ty 2 were used for comparison of resistance profiles (Liu & Pop, 2009).

3.15.2 Identification of ARGs by ResFinder

ResFinder 2.1 was also used for identifying acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. 90% threshold was used for identification of resistance genes with a minimum length of 60%. The type of reads used were Assembled Genome/Contigs (Zankari et al., 2012).

3.15.3 Identification of ARGs by Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database (CARD)

The Comprehensive resistance database is available at <u>https://card.mcmaster.ca/</u>. By using the Resistance Gene Identifier (RGI) tool available at the server, all the resistance determinants in the genome were identified. The input file was .FNA, DNA sequence was the data type and Selection criteria was "Discovery-Perfect, Strict and Loose hits" (Jia et al., 2017; McArthur et al., 2013).

3.15.4 Identification of ARGs by ResFams

ResFams was also used for identifying the antibiotic resistance determinants. Using Hidden Markov Model, the putative resistance determinants were identified from the ResFams database (Gibson, Forsberg, & Dantas, 2015).

3.16 GENOMIC ISLAND PREDICTION BY ISLANDVIEWER 4

Genomic Islands in the *S. typhi* genome were predicted using IslandViewer 4. Genomic Islands were predicted using Island Viewer 4 against various *S. typhi* genomes available on the database (Bertelli et al., 2017). Input file for the analysis was the FASTA file containing contigs as IslandViewer4 can reassemble and arrange contigs using the reference genome. Genomic islands were predicted by using 6 complete genomes of *S. typhi* as a reference separately: str. CT18 (NC_003198.1, NC_0033384, NC_003385); str. Ty2 (NC_004631); str. Ty21a (NC_021176.1), str. P-Stx-12 (NC_016832.1, NC_016825); str. B/SF/13/03/195 (CP012151.1) and str. PM016/13 (NZ_CP012091.1).

4 **RESULTS**

4.1 S. TYPHI ISOLATION AND PURIFICATION

After incubation at 37°C for 24 hours, round colonies were observed on the SS agar plates. Some colonies were pink in color and some were opaque. On further incubation for 48 hours, *S. typhi* colonies became opaque with black centers. The isolated colonies were further confirmed using biochemical identification listed in Table 6.

Table 6 Biochemical Characteristics of S. typhi Isolate					
Test	+ve/-ve				
Gram Staining	-ve rods				
Catalase	+ve				
H_2S	+ve				
Oxidase	-ve				

4.2 ANTIMICROBIAL SUSCEPTIBILITY ASSAYS

4.2.1 Kirby-Bauer Disk Diffusion Assay

Antibiotic susceptibility using disk diffusion assay revealed the strain to be resistant to all major β -lactam antibiotics and Fluroquinolones. The isolate exhibited susceptibility only to Carbapenem and Cephamycin class of antibiotics such as meropenem and Cefoxitin respectively. The mean zone of inhibition diameters are listed in Table 7.

Table 7 Average zone diameters of antibiotics and then susceptionity based on							
EUCAST Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version							
7.0, 2017. * represents Cephamycin; + indicates susceptibility; - indicates resistance							
Antibiotic	Zone Diameter (mm)		Average (mm)	EUCAST	Susceptibility		
Fluroquinolone	s						
Ciprofloxacin	11.00	11.00	10.00	10.67	<24	-	
Levofloxacin	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00	<19	-	
Moxifloxacin	13.00	12.00	10.00	11.67	<22	-	
Carbapenem							
Meropenem	26.00	24.00	26.00	25.33	<16	+	
Imipenem	31.00	32.00	33.00	32.00	<16	+	
Cephalosporin							
Cefixime	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<17	-	
Cefotaxime	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<17	-	
Ceftriazone	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<22	-	
Cefpirome	8.00	0.00	0.00	2.67		-	
Cefepime	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<21	-	
Cefoxitin*	25.00	26.00	21.00	24.00	<19	+	
Monobactam							
Aztreonam	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<21	-	

Table 7 Average zone diameters of antibiotics and their suscentibility based on

4.2.2 Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) using Broth Microdilution Assay

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration was determined using the Broth Microdilution Assay. The results exhibited high level of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration for all the higher generation of antibiotics (Table 8). All antibiotics proved ineffective at inhibiting the growth of bacterial cells except those belonging to Carbapenem class.

Version 7.0, 2017. + indicates susceptibility; - indicates resistance								
		N	/IIC (mg/	1)		EUCAST		
Antibiotic	Conc.				Avg. MIC	resistance	Suscentibility	
Antibiotic	(mg/mL)				(mg/L)	breakpoint	Susceptionity	
		1	2	3		(mg/L)		
Pencillin								
Amoxicillin	0.5-256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>8	-	
Augmentin	0.5-256	16	16	16	16	>8	-	
Clavulanate	0.5-256	>256	>256	>256	>256	N/A	N/A	
Piperacillin +	0.5-256	128	128	128	128		-	
Tazobactam								
Fluroquinolones								
Levofloxacin	0.5-256	4	4	4	4	>1	-	
Ciprofloxacin	0.5-256	2	2	2	2	>.06	-	
Moxifloxacin	0.5-256	4	4	4	4	>0.25	-	
Carbapenem								
Imipenem	0.5-256	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	>8	+	
Meropenem	0.5-256	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	>8	+	
Cephalosporins								
Cephradine	0.5-256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>2	-	
Cefotetan	0.5-256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>2	-	
Cephazolin	0.5-256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>8	-	
Cefixime	0.5-256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>1	-	
Ceftriazone	0.5-256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>2	-	
Cefepime	0.5-256	256	256	256	256	>4	-	

Table 8 Average Minimum Inhibitor Concentration of antibiotics and their susceptibility based on EUCAST Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 7.0. 2017 + indicates susceptibility: - indicates resistance

4.3 PCR FOR IDENTIFICATION OF B-LACTAMASES

PCR was carried out for bla CTX-M using universal primers and CTX-M class specific primers; CTM-M1, CTX-M2, CTX-M8, CTX-M9, and CTX-M25. All except CTX-M using universal primer showed a result with an amplicon size of 592 bp. PCR for amplification of bla-TEM and bla-SHV showed negative results



Figure 6: Gel Electrophoresis for PCR to detect *bla*_{CTX-M15}. Lane 1 shows 100bp+ DNA Ladder; Lane 2, 3 and 4 show CTX-M positive results (592 bp) using CTX-MU primer.

4.4 PLASMID CURING ASSAY

It was observed that no growth occurred in media grown at 37 °C in SDS at 0.5 % w/v SDS substituted Muller Hinton media. Plasmid Curing Assay revealed no loss of plasmids in any of the colonies after 3 days of subculturing and plating on antibiotic containing media in EtBr substituted media and SDS substituted media grown at both 37 °C and 43 °C.The

results are as shown in Table 9 and Table 10.

Table 9 Plasmid Curing at 43 °C. D represents the dilution factor at which colonies were suitable for replica plating to an antibiotic substituted media. (a) CFU at the dilution factor from which it was subsequently plated; (b) CFU on the cefepime (128 μ g/ml) substituted media.

S. No	SDS (%w/v)	D	a	В
1	0.1	10 ⁻⁴	6	6
2	0.25	10 ⁻⁵	105	105
3	0.50	10 ⁻³	97	97
S. No	EtBr (µg/ml)	D	a	В
S. No 1	EtBr (μg/ml) 75	D 10 ⁻⁵	a 3	B 3
S. No 1 2	EtBr (μg/ml) 75 100	D 10 ⁻⁵ 10 ⁻⁵	a 3 4	B 3 4
S. No 1 2 3	EtBr (μg/ml) 75 100 125	D 10 ⁻⁵ 10 ⁻³	a 3 4 58	B 3 4 58

Table 10 Plasmid Curing at 37 °C. D represents the dilution factor at which colonies were suitable for replica plating to an antibiotic susbsituted media. (a) CFU at the dilution factor from which it was subsequently plated; (b) CFU on the cefepime (128 μ g/ml)

r-8,)				
S. No	SDS (%w/v)	D	а	В
1	0.1	10 ⁻⁴	24	24
2	0.25	10 ⁻⁴	79	79
3	0.5	-	-	-
S. No	EtBr (%w/v)	D	a	В
S. No 1	EtBr (%w/v) 075	D 10 ⁻⁵	a 7	B 7
S. No 1 2	EtBr (%w/v) 075 100	D 10 ⁻⁵ 10 ⁻³	a 7 13	B 7 13
S. No 1 2 3	EtBr (%w/v) 075 100 125	D 10 ⁻⁵ 10 ⁻³ 10 ⁻⁴	a 7 13 20	B 7 13 20

4.5 WHOLE GENOME SEQUENCING

10,307,350 paired-end 150bp reads were generated from an Illumina NextSeq 2500 and processed using the High Throughput Computing Facility at Washington University School of Medicine (St. Louis, USA). Removal of Illumina adapters and potentially contaminating human reads using trimmomatic and deconseq generated 10,288,264 paired-end reads which were assembled into scaffolds using SPAdes 3.10.0. Contig Analysis by QUAST revealed that the assembly produced 95 contigs > 500 bp, with an N50 of 144,739 bp. The longest contig was 393,347 bp. The genome coverage was 161X.

4.6 ANNOTATION OVERVIEW

Whole Genome sequencing was done using 4 different genome annotation tools: , Genome was annotated using Prokka (Seemann, 2014), RAST, Rapid Annotation Subsystem Technology ver 2.0 (Aziz et al., 2008; Overbeek et al., 2014), and PATRIC, the all-bacterial Bioinformatics Database and Analysis Resource Center ver. 3.3.5 (Wattam et al., 2017). Overview of the genome annotation using different annotation tools is is shown in Table 11.

This Whole Genome Shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank and as *Salmonella enterica* subsp. enterica serovar Typhi str. RWP1_PK1 under the accession NIFP00000000. The version described in this thesis is version NIFP01000000.



Figure 7 Circular plot of *S. typhi* RWP1_PK1 Genome (Accession No. NIFP01000000) depicting annotation using PATRIC, The All-Bacterial Bioinformatics Database and Analysis Resource Center v.3.3.5. Image drawn using DNA Plotter Release 1.11. Track Order outwards toward the center of the circular genome depiction is: Forward Strand CDS; Reverse Strand CDS; G-C Plot (%); G-C Skew (%); Above Average; Below Average

Table 11 Overview of genome annotation using different annotation servers. CDS- coding sequences; tRNA- transfer RNA genes;rRNA- rRNA genes; CRISPR- Clustered Regularly interspaced palindromic repeats

	Genome Length	CDS	G-C %	tRNA	rRNA	Repeat region	CRISPR_ repeat	CRISPR_ spacer	CRISPR_array
Prokka	4826797	4,661	52	69	3	1	-	-	-
RAST	4826797	4,988	52	75	8	-	-	-	-
PATRIC	4826797	5,074	52.02	74	4	112	7	6	1
PGAP	4826797	4,975	52	69	4	-	-	-	1

4.7 WHOLE GENOME COMPARISON

Alignment by mapping contigs to CT18 reference assembly yielded an alignment comprising of 4809037 bp of which 29421 bases were extra in assembly while 141490 bases were missed in the reference nucleotide sequence. Data yielded by alignment revealed that 61 contigs were extra in the sequenced assembly.



Figure 8: Alignment file using FNA files of Reference and Contig files.



Figure 9 Alignment file showing the contig file rearranged and aligned with reference genome. "a" shows the aligned region; b Region not present in the reference genome

PATRIC which uses RASTtk gave a highly detailed annotation and identified more regions within the genome whereas annotation using Prokka identified fewer regions as compared to the rest two servers. The difference between annotation using RAST and RASTtk was observed in the identification of CRISP elements such as CRISPR Repeats, CRISPR Spacer and CRISPR Array in the latter.

Whole genome of rearranged contig was also aligned using BRIG v0.95 against three complete genomes: str. CT18 (NC_003198.1, NC_003384, NC_003385; str. Ty2 (NC_004631; and str. P-Stx-12 (NC_016832.1, NC_016825)



Figure 10: Whole Genome sequence comparison of *S. typhi* RWP1_PK1 with 3 reference strains using Brig v0.95: str. CT18 (NC_003198.1, NC_003384, NC_003385); str. Ty2 (NC_004631); str. P-Stx-12 (NC_016832.1, NC_016825).

4.8 GENOTYPIC CHARACTERIZATION USING CGE

4.8.1 Multi Locus Sequence Typing (MLST)

MLST Typing by MLST-1.8 revealed the sequence type to be ST-1. The output was revealed in alignment and tabular format. The results are as follows:

Table 12 Alleles and sequence types of S. typhi RWP1_PK1 revealed by MLST-1.8										
hosted by	CGE.									
Locus	% Identity	HSP Length	Allele Length	Gaps	Allele					
Aroc	100.00	501	501	0	aroc-1					
Dnan	100.00	501	501	0	dnan-1					
hemd	100.00	432	432	0	hemd-1					
Hisd	100.00	501	501	0	hisd-1					
Pure	100.00	399	399	0	pure-1					
Suca	100.00	501	501	0	suca-1					
Thra	100.00	501	501	0	thra-5					

4.8.2 SNP Determination

The SNP analysis revealed that 97.33% of the reference *S. typhi* CT18 genome was covered by all three subject sequences; including P-Stx-12, Ty2 and the clinical isolate. The clinical isolate had 4779606 valid positions as compared to CT18 reference sequence. The SNP Matrix index is shown in Table 13.

Table 13 SNP score index obtained from CSI Phylogeny tool available at CGE.								
The highest number of SNPs was observed between S. typhi isolate and S. typhi								
str. CT18 sequence while the minimum number of SNPs was observed								
between <i>S. typhi</i> R	WP1_PK1 and	S. typhi P-Stx-1	2 strain.					
	CT18 (ref)	RWP1_PK1	P-Stx-12	Ty2				
CT18 (ref)	0	367	354	332				
RWP1_PK1	367	0	35	195				
P-Stx-12	354	35	0	178				
Ty2	332	195	178	0				
min: 35 max: 367								

		3.36867		reference
9.94181 9.586 0.586	0.05904 59 SF.S.Ty OC CT18 0.0289 0.55 Stx-12			Tereferee
0	1	2	3	

Figure 11: Evolutionary Relationship based on SNP calling by Center of Genomic Epidemiology. Reference used for generation of Phylogenetic tree was *S. typhi* CT18. The clinical isolate was related to S. Ty P-Stx-12 as can be inferred from the phylogenetic tree.



Figure 12 Evolutionary relationships of taxa. The evolutionary history was inferred using the UPGMA method (Sneath & Sokal, 1973). The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 3.67056819 is shown. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths (next to the branches) in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method (Tamura, Nei, & Kumar, 2004) and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. The proportion of sites where at least 1 unambiguous base is present in at least 1 sequence for each descendent clade is shown next to each internal node in the tree. The analysis involved 4 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were a total of 456 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7 (Kumar et al., 2016); *S. typhi* RWP_PK1 represented by SF.S. Ty_OC_CT18/1-456

SNP analysis was also performed against 44 *S. typhi* genome sequences from Pakistan available at Patric. *S. typhi* isolate is more phylogenetically related to *S. typhi* MDUST 156 (GID: 90370.1801) based on SNP analysis than *S. typhi* P-Stx-12.



Figure 13 Evolutionary Relationship based on SNP calling with 44 whole genome sequences of *S. typhi* RWP1_PK1 from Pakistan predicted by RealPhy. Reference used for generation of Phylogenetic tree was *S. typhi* P-Stx-12. The clinical isolate was related to S. Ty P-Stx-12 as can be inferred from the phylogenetic tree.

4.9 IDENTIFICATION OF ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE

DETERMINANTS

Different databases were used for identification of Antibiotic Resistance Determinants present within the annotated assembly. The results of the antibiotic determinants identified by different databases are shown in the subsequent sections.

4.9.1 Antibiotic Resistance genes identified by ARDB

24 anitbiotic Resistance genes were identified by Antibiotic resistance database. The genes included all resistance determinants that had a cut-off value above 0.0001 (Liu & Pop, 2009). Results of the ARDB database search are shown in Table 14.

blastx algori	thm with a cutoff value of 0.0001 and percent identity of 40% (Li	u & Pop, 2009).	
Туре	Definition	Resistance	Query Genome
acra	Resistance-nodulation-cell division transporter system. Multidrug	aminoglycoside	fig 90370.2042.peg.439
	resistance efflux pump.	glycylcycline macrolide	
		beta_lactam acriflavin	
acrb	Resistance-nodulation-cell division transporter system. Multidrug	aminoglycoside	fig 90370.2042.peg.438
	resistance efflux pump.	glycylcycline macrolide	fig 90370.2042.peg.3393
		beta_lactam acriflavin	
ant3ia	Aminoglycoside O-nucleotidylyltransferase, which modifies aminoglycosides by adenylylation.	spectinomycin streptomycin	fig 90370.2042.peg.4914
aph33ib	Aminoglycoside O-phosphotransferase, which modifies	Streptomycin	fig 90370.2042.peg.4954
	aminoglycosides by phosphorylation.		
aph6id	Aminoglycoside O-phosphotransferase, which modifies	Streptomycin	fig 90370.2042.peg.4955
	aminoglycosides by phosphorylation.		
baca	Undecaprenyl pyrophosphate phosphatase, which consists in the sequestration of Undecaprenyl pyrophosphate.	Bacitracin	fig 90370.2042.peg.3202
bl2be_ctxm	Class A beta-lactamase. This enzyme breaks the beta-lactam	monobactam penicillin	fig 90370.2042.peg.4872
	antibiotic ring open and deactivates the molecule's antibacterial	cephalosporin_iii	
	properites.	ceftazidime cephalosporin_ii	
		cephalosporin_i	
cata1	Group A chloramphenicol acetyltransferase, which can inactivate	Chloramphenicol	fig 90370.2042.peg.4919
	chloramphenicol.		
emrd	Multidrug resistance efflux pump.	NA	fig 90370.2042.peg.3803
ksga	Specifically dimethylates two adjacent adenosines in the loop of a	Kasugamycin	fig 90370.2042.peg.38
	conserved hairpin near the 3'-end of 16S rRNA in the 30S particle.		
	Its inactivation leads to kasugamycin resistance.		

Table 14Antibiotic Resistance determinants identified by Antibiotic Resistance Database (ARDB). Resistance genes were identifed by blastx algorithm with a cutoff value of 0.0001 and percent identity of 40% (Liu & Pop, 2009).

Chapter 4	4
-----------	---

maca	Resistance-nodulation-cell division transporter system. Multidrug resistance efflux pump. Macrolide-specific efflux system.	Macrolide	fig 90370.2042.peg.849
macb	Resistance-nodulation-cell division transporter system. Multidrug resistance efflux pump. Macrolide-specific efflux system.	Macrolide	fig 90370.2042.peg.850
mdtg	Major facilitator superfamily transporter. Multidrug resistance efflux pump.	deoxycholate fosfomycin	fig 90370.2042.peg.1082
mdth	Major facilitator superfamily transporter. Multidrug resistance efflux pump.	deoxycholate fosfomycin	fig 90370.2042.peg.1096
mdtk	Major facilitator superfamily transporter. Multidrug resistance efflux pump.	enoxacin norfloxacin	fig 90370.2042.peg.1578
mdtl	Major facilitator superfamily transporter. Multidrug resistance efflux pump.	Chloramphenicol	fig 90370.2042.peg.3758
mdtm	Major facilitator superfamily transporter. Multidrug resistance efflux pump.	chloramphenicol acriflavine norfloxacin	fig 90370.2042.peg.4716
pbp2	The enzyme has a penicillin-insensitive transglycosylase N- terminal domain (formation of linear glycan strands) and a penicillin-sensitive transpeptidase C-terminal domain (cross- linking of the peptide subunits)	Penicillin	fig 90370.2042.peg.1919 fig 90370.2042.peg.1918
qnrs	Pentapeptide repeat family, which protects DNA gyrase from the inhibition of quinolones.	Fluoroquinolone	fig 90370.2042.peg.4866
sul1	Sulfonamide-resistant dihydropteroate synthase, which can not be inhibited by sulfonamide.	Sulfonamide	fig 90370.2042.peg.4913
sul2	Sulfonamide-resistant dihydropteroate synthase, which can not be inhibited by sulfonamide.	Sulfonamide	fig 90370.2042.peg.4953
tolc	Resistance-nodulation-cell division transporter system. Multidrug resistance efflux pump.	aminoglycoside glycylcycline macrolide beta_lactam acriflavin	fig 90370.2042.peg.3183

4.9.2 Antibiotic resistance genes identified by CARD

Resistance Gene Identifier was used to identify resistance determinants present within the assembled and annotated genome. Various Antibiotic Efflux pumps were also identified in the sequence which could be contributing to the increased antibiotic resistance (Jia et al., 2017; McArthur et al., 2013; McArthur & Wright, 2015). Table 15 summarizes the genes involved in conferring antibiotic resistance in the isolate as identified by CARD database. The resistance genes identified are also shown in the form of an RGI wheel and heatmap as shown in the Figure 14 and Figure 15.



Figure 14 RGI Wheel showing Antibiotic Resistance genes identified in the sequence with Perfect and Loose Hits



Figure 15 Heatmap showing antibiotic resistance genes hit against dataset hosted by CARD

Table 15: Antibiotic resistance genes identified by RGI by using DNA sequence as the data type with default Perfect and Strict hits only.

Perfect hit	ts						
PEG ID	ARO_name	Model_type	SNP	AR0_category	bit_score	Best_Hit	Best_I
						_evalue	d
peg.4919	catI	protein homolog model	n/a	antibiotic inactivation enzyme; phenicol	465.692	4.27E-	100
				resistance protein		169	
peg.4913	sul1	protein homolog model	n/a	antibiotic target replacement protein;	553.132	0	100
				sulfonamide resistance protein			
peg.4872	CTX-M-15	protein homolog model	n/a	antibiotic inactivation enzyme; beta-lactam	593.578	0	100
				resistance protein			
peg.4968	TEM-1	protein homolog model	n/a	antibiotic inactivation enzyme; beta-lactam	591.267	0	100
				resistance protein			
Strict hits							
PEG ID	ARO_name	Model_type	SNP	AR0_category	bit_score	Best_Hit	Best_I
						_evalue	d
peg.1146	mfd	protein homolog model	n/a	antibiotic target protection protein;	2258.41	0	95
				fluoroquinolone resistance protein			
peg.3815	E. coli mutant	protein variant model	E350	antibiotic resistant gene variant or mutant;	868.226	0	95
	UhpT		Q	fosfomycin resistance protein			
peg.2773	alaS	protein homolog model	n/a	aminocoumarin resistance protein	1723.75	0	95
neg 3202	bac A	protein homolog model	n/a	gene conferring antibiotic resistance via	532 717	0	97
peg.5202	buerr	protein noniolog moder	11/ u	molecular hypass: pentide antibiotic	552.111	0	71
				resistance protein			
peg.2328	GlpT	protein variant model	E448	antibiotic resistant gene variant or mutant:	887.486	0	96
r •8.2020	<u>r</u> -	r	K	fosfomvcin resistance protein	2011.00	÷	
peg.4954	APH(3")-Ib	protein homolog model	n/a	aminoglycoside resistance protein: antibiotic	537.339	0	98
10				inactivation enzyme			

peg.2114	PmrE	protein homolog model	n/a	gene altering cell wall charge; polymyxin resistance protein	709.909	0	88
peg.1838	PBP2	protein variant model	A510 V	antibiotic resistant gene variant or mutant; beta-lactam resistance protein	351.673	7.11E- 114	36
peg.2316	gyrA	protein variant model	\$83F	antibiotic resistant gene variant or mutant; fluoroquinolone resistance protein in <i>S.</i> <i>enterica</i>	1766.9	0	99
peg.67	leuO	protein homolog model	n/a	gene modulating antibiotic efflux; sulfonamide resistance protein	584.719	0	87
peg.4955	APH(6)-Id	protein homolog model	n/a	aminoglycoside resistance protein; antibiotic inactivation enzyme	563.148	0	99
peg.2343	PmrF	protein homolog model	n/a	gene altering cell wall charge; polymyxin resistance protein	590.497	0	87
peg.4332	PmrC	protein homolog model	n/a	gene altering cell wall charge; polymyxin resistance protein	937.947	0	82
peg.1228	cysB	protein homolog model	n/a	aminocoumarin resistance protein	565.844	0	94
peg.1331	AAC(6')-Iy	protein homolog model	n/a	aminoglycoside resistance protein; antibiotic inactivation enzyme	300.442	7.46E- 106	97
peg.4953	sul2	protein homolog model	n/a	antibiotic target replacement protein; sulfonamide resistance protein	526.554	0	99
peg.4330	PmrB	protein homolog model	n/a	gene altering cell wall charge; polymyxin resistance protein	630.172	0	85
peg.3573	M. tuberculosis katG	protein variant model	Q224 EA13 9VA2 43S	antibiotic resistant gene variant or mutant; isoniazid resistance protein	761.911, 761.911, 761.911	0	56

peg.662	kdpE	protein homolog model	n/a	aminoglycoside resistance protein	421.779	1.08E- 151	91
Peg.4846	ileS	protein homolog model	n/a	antibiotic resistant gene variant or mutant; mupirocin resistance protein	228.409	4.64E-63	24
Efflux Pu	nps						
peg.1189	H-NS	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance; gene modulating antibiotic efflux	232.261	1.02E-79	94
peg.983	TaeA	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	352.058	1.78E- 112	32
peg.849	macA	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	186.422	2.02E-55	35
peg.4773	TaeA	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	359.377	2.18E- 116	39
peg.1578	hmrM	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	404.831	1.17E- 137	46
peg.850	macB	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	650.203	0	50
peg.458	rosA	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	512.301	0	70
peg.3758	mdtL	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	610.527	0	78
peg.2344	arnA	protein homolog model	n/a	gene altering cell wall charge; polymyxin resistance protein	1125.15	0	79
peg.4334	adiY	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	409.068	5.91E- 146	79
peg.4778	robA	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance; gene modulating antibiotic efflux	508.834	0	82
peg.2170	mdtD	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	774.622	0	85
----------	------	-----------------------	-----	--	---------	----------	----
peg.540	ramA	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance; gene modulating antibiotic efflux; protein modulating permeability to antibiotic	212.231	1.66E-72	85
peg.439	acrA	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	666.766	0	85
peg.2167	mdtA	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	624.78	0	86
peg.4716	mdtM	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	708.753	0	86
peg.811	mdfA	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	726.087	0	87
peg.2171	baeS	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance; gene modulating antibiotic efflux	828.165	0	88
peg.2762	emrA	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	702.205	0	89
peg.3392	acrF	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	1684.46	0	89
peg.3183	tolC	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	863.988	0	89
peg.1082	mdtG	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	697.967	0	90
peg.2308	YojI	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	1009.21	0	90
peg.1096	mdtH	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	717.613	0	91
peg.2169	mdtC	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	1857.03	0	91
peg.2168	mdtB	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	1870.9	0	91
peg.3803	emrD	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	645.58	0	92

peg.438	acrB	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	1975.67	0	93
peg.2761	emrR	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance; gene modulating antibiotic efflux	337.806	4.46E- 120	93
peg.2536	acrD	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	2020.74	0	94
peg.2763	emrB	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	944.495	0	95
peg.1425	marA	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance; gene modulating antibiotic efflux; protein modulating permeability to antibiotic	251.136	1.37E-87	95
peg.3629	cpxR	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance; gene modulating antibiotic efflux	456.062	5.71E- 165	96
peg.890	msbA	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance	1160.59	0	96
peg.3630	cpxA	protein homolog model	n/a	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance;	908.286	0	96
				gene modulating antibiotic efflux			
peg.2172	baeR	protein homolog model	n/a	gene modulating antibiotic efflux efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance; gene modulating antibiotic efflux	467.233	3.92E- 169	96
peg.2172 peg.4163	baeR CRP	protein homolog model protein homolog model	n/a n/a	gene modulating antibiotic efflux efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance; gene modulating antibiotic efflux efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance; gene modulating antibiotic efflux	467.233 431.409	3.92E- 169 6.08E- 156	96 98
peg.2172 peg.4163 peg.1959	baeR CRP sdiA	protein homolog model protein homolog model protein homolog model	n/a n/a n/a	gene modulating antibiotic efflux efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance; gene modulating antibiotic efflux efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance; gene modulating antibiotic efflux efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance; gene modulating antibiotic efflux	467.233 431.409 499.59	3.92E- 169 6.08E- 156 0	96 98 98

4.9.3 Resistance Genes identified by ResFinder-2.1 Server (CGE)

Antibiotic resistance genes were also identified by ResFinder 2.1 hosted by Center of

Genomic Epidemiology identified Resistance determinants are as listed in Table 16.

Table 16: Antibiotic Resistance Determinants identified by ResFinder 2.1 (CGE);Threshold for %ID was 90 % with 60% minimum length.							
Resistance				Position		Accession	
gene	Identity	Query/HSP	Contig	in contig	Phenotype	no.	
					Phenicol		
catA1	99.85	660/660	peg.4919	1660	resistance	V00622	
					Aminoglycoside		
strA	99.88	804/804	peg.4954	1804	resistance	AF321551	
					Sulphonamide		
sul2	100	816/816	peg.4953	1816	resistance	FN995456	
					Aminoglycoside		
strB	100	837/831	peg.4955	1831	resistance	M96392	
					Sulphonamide		
sul1	100	840/840	peg.4913	1840	resistance	AY224185	
					Beta-lactam		
blaTEM-1B	100	861/861	peg.4968	1861	resistance	JF910132	
					Beta-lactam		
blaCTX-M-15	100	876/876	peg.4872	1876	resistance	DQ302097	

4.9.4 Antibiotic Resistance genes identified by ResFams

Antibiotic resistance genes were also identified using ResFams using Hidden Markov Model. The antibiotic resistance genes identified include class A and Class B β -lactamases, aminoglycoside resistance enzymes along with quinolone resistance protein and antibiotic efflux genese like RND, Mex, and ABC efflux genes. The detailed description of antibiotic resistance determinants is listed in Table 17.

phoQ

RF0112

1.90E-262

863.8

sequence along with r	t's E-value, sco	re and blas is	snown in	the table	along with the Target name and description
Target Name	Accession	E-value	score	bias	description of target
					resistance-nodulation-cell division (RND) antibiotic efflux pump
RND_efflux	RF0115	0	1053.7	35.3	[ARO:0010004]
					resistance-nodulation-cell division (RND) antibiotic efflux pump
RND_efflux	RF0115	0	1024.5	19.8	[ARO:0010004]
					Subclass B3 (metallo-) beta-lactamase hydrolize penicillins,
SubclassB3	RF0125	8.30E-107	349.4	0	cephalosporins and carbapenems [ARO:3000571]
ClassB	RF0054	7.40E-55	178.9	0	Class B beta-lactamase [ARO:3000004]
					tolC: subunit of efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance
tolC	RF0147	5.60E-195	641	28.8	[ARO:3000237]
					resistance-nodulation-cell division (RND) antibiotic efflux pump
RND_efflux	RF0115	0 1211.6	9.4		[ARO:0010004]
					soxR: mutant efflux regulatory protein conferring antibiotic
soxR	RF0121	5.20E-72	233.3	0	resistance [ARO:3000836]
					ATP-binding cassette (ABC) antibiotic efflux pump
ABC_efflux	RF0007	1.40E-77	254.3	1	[ARO:0010001]
					resistance-nodulation-cell division (RND) antibiotic efflux pump
RND_efflux	RF0115	0 1133.0	14		[ARO:0010004]
					mexX: subunit of efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance
MexX	RF0101	5.70E-84	275	11.2	[ARO:3001214]
					mexE: membrane fusion protein of the MexEF-OprN multidrug
MexE	RF0098	2.20E-56	184.3	7.3	efflux complex [ARO:3000803]
					msbA: ATP-binding cassette (ABC) antibiotic efflux pump
msbA	RF0107	8.00E-189	621.3	9.8	[ARO:3000460]
					ATP-binding cassette (ABC) antibiotic efflux pump
ABC_efflux	RF0007	9.20E-125	410	1.6	[ARO:0010001]
_					macB: subunit of efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance
macB	RF0089	6.20E-213	701	6.8	[ARO:3000535]

1 [ARO:3000835]

phoQ: subunit of gene modulating antibiotic efflux

Table 17 Antibiotic Resistance genes identified by ResFams using Hidden Markov Model (HMM). The Accession number of sequence along with it's E-value, score and bias is shown in the table along with the Target name and description

					emrB: subunit of efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance
emrB	RF0065	1.60E-200	660	19.8	[ARO:3000074]
					msbA: ATP-binding cassette (ABC) antibiotic efflux pump
msbA	RF0107	3.60E-213	701.7	6.5	[ARO:3000460]
					ATP-binding cassette (ABC) antibiotic efflux pump
ABC_efflux	RF0007	1.90E-141	465	0.1	[ARO:0010001]
					quninolone resistance protein (Qnr): antibiotic target protection
Qnr	RF0113	5.90E-123	401.4	8.6	protein [ARO:3000419]
CTXM	RF0059	1.50E-192	631.1	4.4	CTX-M beta-lactamase (class a) [ARO:3000016]
ClassA	RF0053	6.40E-129	421.9	0.4	Class A beta-lactamase [ARO:3000078]
Chlor_Acetyltrans_CAT	RF0050	2.20E-85	278.6	5.8	chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) [ARO:3000122]
APH3	RF0033	2.50E-43	141.2	0	aminoglycoside phosphotransferase (APH3) [ARO:3000126]
APH6	RF0034	2.40E-150	492.5	1.6	aminoglycoside phosphotransferase (APH3) [ARO:3000151]
TEM	RF0126	1.80E-205	673.4	0	TEM beta-lactamase (class a) [ARO:3000014]
ClassA	RF0053	1.70E-130	427.1	0	Class A beta-lactamase [ARO:3000078]

4.9.5 Genes conferring resistance to β-lactams

All 4 databases, namely ARDB, CARD, ResFams and CGE were able to identify the resistance determinants which confer resistance to β -lactam antibiotics. The resistance determinants were namely β -lactamase CTX-M-15 and β -lactamase TEM-1B.

The identified genes exhibited 100% similarity to the already reported sequences in other organisms.

4.9.6 Fluroquinolone Resistance Genes

Resistance determinants known to cause fluroquinolone resistance were identified, namely *qnr*S1, *mfd* and *gyrA*. *gyrA* encoding gyrase exhibited 99% identity to the already reported *S. enterica* with S83F mutation detected in the sequenced genome. *Mfd* gene encodes antibiotic target protection protein; providing protection from fluroquinolone antibiotics. *qnr*S1 was also identified in the sequence. Various efflux pumps that confer resistance to fluroquinolone antibiotics were identified in the sequence. These *included mdtK*, *acrD*, *acrF* and *acrA*.

4.9.7 Genetic Environment of Antibiotic Resistance Genes

Antimicrobial resistance elements including *qnrS1*, *bla*_{CTX-M15}, *bla*_{TEM1}, *catA1*, *dfrA7*, *sul1*, *sul2*, *strA*, and *str* were concentrated in one region within the genome. In this region, the resistance genes were flanked by various mobile elements including Transposases, Transposons, Insertion sequences, Recombinases,



Figure 16: CDS plot of *S. typhi* isolate as presented by DNA Plotter 1.11. The plot shows location of various Antibiotic Resistance genes and mobile element proteins along with other CDSs. This could be a putative resistance island. *Track Order: CDS; Forward Strand; CDS Reverse Strand; All CDSs* (Mobile Element Proteins; Antibiotic Resistance Genes); GC Content (%); GC-Skew (%). Above Average; Below Average

4.9.8 Genetic Environment of β-lactamases

Genetic environment of β -lactamases as assessed by manual visualization on Artmeis revealed the presence of various mobile elements flanking β -lactamases genes. TEM 1 was flanked by Insertion Sequence, ISPa38, Tn3, and TnAs1 along withvarious prophage sequences including prophage insertion sequences. The genetic environment of TEM-1 gene is shown in Figure 17.



Figure 17 Genetic environment of TEM-1B gene in Genome; TnAs1, TnAs2 – Transposases; IsPa38, IS 5075- Insertion Sequence; PRP – Prophage, PRP.IS –Prophage Insertion Sequence

Similarly, $bla_{CTX-M15}$ was also flanked by various transposes and recombinases. Quinolone resistance protein, *qnrS1*, was also present on the same coding frame downstream of $bla_{CTX-M15}$ gene (Figure 18).



Figure 18 Genetic environment of blaCTX-M-15 gene within the genome: Orf -Orf 477; QnrS- Quniolone Resistance Determinant; MEP –Mobile Element Protein; TinR – Resolvase/Integrase; Trns-InsD -Transposon, Trps – Transposases, ISEcp1, IS2 – Insertion Sequence; Trns –Transposons, Rec – Recombinase

4.10 GENOMIC ISLAND PREDICTION

Genomic Islands were predicted using IslandViewer4 with 6 reference complete genomes: : str. CT18 (NC_003198.1, NC_0033384, NC_003385); str. Ty2 (NC_004631); str. Ty21a (NC_021176.1), str. P-Stx-12 (NC_016832.1, NC_016825); str. B/SF/13/03/195 (CP012151.1) and str. PM016/13 (NZ_CP012091.1). The analysis revealed the presence of a ~300Kb genomic island that was not present in either of the 6 reference genomes (Figure 19 and Figure 20).



Figure 19 Genomic islands for *S. typhi* RWP1_PK1 as predicted by IslandViewer4 using Integrated, IslandPath-DIMOB, SIGI-HMM, IslandPick, and Islander programs as depicted by different colors. The innermost circle shows GC Skew the outermost circle solid grey line represents the total genome length.



Figure 20Position of putative genomic islands predicted for *S. typhi*. RWP1-PK1 against 6 complete genome sequences of *S. typhi* using IslandViewer4. Alignment against 6 compete genome sequences show a putative resistance island (represented in grey highlight). (a) str. CT18 (NC_003198.1, NC_003384, NC_003385) (b) str. Ty2 (NC_004631); (c) str. Ty21a (NC_021176.1), (d) str. P-Stx-12 (NC_016832.1, NC_016825); (e) str. B/SF/13/03/195 (CP012151.1) (f) str. PM016/13 (NZ_CP012091.1)

5 DISCUSSION

The present work aimed at identifying various resistance determinants present in the highly resistant *Salmonella enterica* subsp. enterica ser. Tyhi isolate confering high level of antibiotic resistance.

The clinical isolate was recovered from a previously healthy patient, who showed no imporvement in symptoms by the administration of 3^{rd} generation cephalosporin (ceftriaxone) and 2^{nd} generation fluroquinolone (ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin) antibiotics. The blood culture report not only reported resistance to 3^{rd} , but the 4^{th} generation (cefepime and moxifloxacin) of the above mentioned classes of antibiotics, which was alarming since ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin are the antibiotic empirically and commonly used for the treatment of *Salmonella typhi* infection. This limited the treatment option to only Carbapenem class of antibiotics, resistance to which has been seen to be rising due to rapid spread of genes imparting carbapenem resistance among pathogens which are globally spread (Hsu et al., 2017; Pesesky et al., 2015).

Antibiotic susceptibility assays, KB Disk Diffusion and Minimum Inhibitory Concentraiton Assay of the anitbiotics especially, to the cephalosporin and fluoroquinolone antibiotics were performed. MIC assay was performed since it provides a quantitative information for resistance as compared to simple classfication by KB disk diffusion into susceptible, intermediate or resistant phenotypes. Broth Microdilution assay was performed for MIC determination to test the maximum number of anitbiotcs in minimum time using minimum volumes to make the procedure cost, time and labor efficient as compared to agar dilution and broth macrodilution method (Jorgensen & Ferraro, 2009; Mayrhofer et al., 2008; Wiegand et al., 2008). Similar approach to determine the extent of reistance in a clinical isolate exhibiting, rather high level of resistance has been done by Sjolund-Karlsson, Howie, Crump, and Whichard (2014) where *S. typhi* isolate exhibited resistance to fluoroquinlone. Another research by Morita et al. (2010) also used broth microdilution assay to determine extent of cephalosporin resistance among 48 *S. typhi* clinical isolates.

Antimicrobial susceptibility assasys are also a used to clasifiy an organism as either Multidrug Resistance, Extensively Drug Resistant or Pan Drug Resistant depeneding on the number of classes of antibiotics to which the bacterial isolate is resistant (Magiorakos et al., 2012). The current isolate was resistant to more than three classes of antibiotics tested i.e. Penicllins, Cephalosporins, Monobactams and Fluoroquinolones.while it showed susceptibility only to Carbapenem class of antibiotics. This highlights the first incidence of the emergence of an extensively drug resistance *Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica* ser. Typhi.

High minimum inhibitory concentration also highlights the inefficacy of currently tested antibiotics even at a higher dose (Andrews, 2001). The mean plasma concentration after a 1g dose of cefepime reaches a maximum of 243 mg/L (μ g/mL) after 3 days of 2g IV infusion every 12 hours in burn patients. In another study, the concentration of cefepime reached a maximum of 3.2 mg/L (3.2 μ g/mL) in critically ill patients with normal serum creatinine clearance (Endimiani, Perez, & Bonomo, 2008; Lipman, Wallis, & Rickard, 1999; Sampol et al., 2000). Compared to the current MIC results of the isolate which were 256 μ g/ml for cefepime and higher than 256 μ g/ml for the rest of the cephalosporin antibiotics from the 1st, 2nd and 3rd generation, all the cephalosporin drug could be referred to as entirely ineffective for treatment of Typhoid infection, if infected by a similar microbe. The situation is also alarming since using the higher dose of the IV antibiotic might be able to suppress the infection in limited run but it will not prove effective in reducing the emergence of anitbiotic resistance since the plasma concentration of the antibiotic in blood should exceed by 8-10 times the MIC value in order to suppress the development of antibiotic resistance (Levison & Levison, 2009).

Fewer studies have reported a higher MIC value to cefepime in *S. typhi* isolate have been reported before (Ahmed et al., 2012; Gonzalez-Lopez et al., 2014; Pfeifer, Matten, & Rabsch, 2009; Rotimi et al., 2008). Resistance to cefepime has been widely reported for clinical isolates of nosocomial infectious agents such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Escherichia coli, Acinteobacter baumanii* and *Klebsiella pneumonia*, but fewer instances have been observed in infection by *S. typhi*, a strictly human pathogen (Akhabue, Synnestvedt, Weiner, Bilker, & Lautenbach, 2011; Chong, Yakushiji, Ito, & Kamimura, 2010).

Most of the acquired genes are present on the plasmids especially in the case of *Salmonella typhi* since it carries no intrinsic resistance β -lactamse determinants unlike other *Salmonella enterica* serovars . Antibiotic resistance determinants are mostly acquired via three mechanisms, transduction, transformation or conjugation where most of the gene acquisiton occurs via transduction and conjugation (Bennett, 2008; Verraes et al., 2013). Conjugation experiments have shown how plasmids and other resistance determinants are shared between closely resistant bacterial species such as *E. coli* and *S. enterica*; with transconjugant exhibiting similar resistance as that of donor (Morita et al., 2010; Van Meervenne et al., 2012)

. Our hypothesis was based on this horizontal or vertical gene transfer due to which the clinical isolate has acquired a resistance determinant/s causing an increased resistance to antibiotics. Efforts to isolate plasmid via alkaline lysis method did not yield any genetic material, i.e. the plasmid could not be isolated. A possible explanation lies in that the bacterial isolate does not harbor the plasmid as separate genetic molecules, unlike CT18, and P-Stx-12 strains ((Ong et

al., 2012; Parkhill et al., 2001) but the plasmid is integrated within the chromosome, carrying along the resistance determinants .

To further elucidate this incorportation of plasmid carrying resistance determinants in the chromosome, Plasmid curing assays were performed. Plasmid curing assay was done to see if loss of plasmid by curing agents such as Ethidium Bromide, SDS or high temperature causes a change in susceptibility to antibiotic (Zaman, Pasha, & Akhter, 2010). A similar approach was used by Barman et al. (2010) to distinguish between the resistance determinants carried by chromosome and plasmid in a clinicla isolate of *Shigella flexneri*. It was seen that the loss of a 6.3 kb plasmid an increase in susceptibility and loss of resistance to streptomycin and sulfamethoxazole while the intrinsic resistance genes, which are not carried by plamid, continuted to confer resistance to trimethoprim, tetracycline, ampicillin and chloramphenicol. Another study by (Maheshwari, Yaser, Naz, Fatima, & Ahmad, 2016) revealed the loss of resistance simultaneously to ciprofloxacin and cefotaxime by loss of plasmid curing in ESBL positive E. coli isolates. On the other hand, no loss of plasmid based on loss of antibiotic resistance was observed in plasmid curing experiments with using SDS, EtBr and higher growth temperature as plasmid curing agents. This could also explain why no plasmids could be isolated during plasmid isolation.

To identify the resistant determinants, we performed PCR for detection of betalactamase resistance genes. PCR was performed for identification of $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$, bla_{TEM} and bla_{SHV} . Only $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$ showed positive PCR results. PCR identification of only $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$ gene coincides with the previous reports of β -lactamase CTX-M causing resistance to higher generation cephalosporins (K. Bush & Fisher, 2011; Canton, Gonzalez-Alba, & Galan, 2012; Rawat & Nair, 2010).

Whole Genome sequencing was performed by using Illumina/Solexa to better identify the resistance determinants that could possibly explain the extended antimicrobial resistance in the clinical isolate. We obtained 128 contigs that were annotated using four different annotation systems, Prokka, RAST, PATRIC and PGAP.

Using WGS data, *insilico* Multi Locus Sequence Typing (MLST) was performed using MLST 1.8. to identify the sequence type present in the isolate by identification of the alleles of 7 house keeping gene. The allelic forms reveal that the sequence type of the clinical isolate belongs to ST1 clonal MLST group of *Salmonella typhi*. ST1 clonal group has been attriubted to be prevalent in endemic region of South East Asia. This could also means that the the current isolate has evolved to exhibit such resistance pattern by acquisition of genes from within the endemic region since no allelic variation was observed as compared to the reported MLST sequences for *S. typhi*.

PlasmidFinder-1.3 server hosted by Center of Genomic Epidemiology also found plasmid sequences for IncY and IncQ1 plasmid within the WGS data provided. The IncQ plasmid has been reported as a self-transmissible, highly mobile plasmid among pathogenic bacteira as a vector for transfer of resistance genes with a broad host range (Rawlings & Tietze, 2001). Genomic signature of a recent outbreak in 2012 of typhoid fever was molecularly studied by Hendriksen et al. (2015) in Zambia between 2010 and 2012 also reported the presence of chromosomally translocated plasmids in 4 isolates carrying similar resistance determinants "*catA1, bla*_{TEM-1}, *dfrA7, sul1, sul2, strA*, and *str*" along with IncQ1 plasmid sequences.

SNP analysis was also done in order to determine the closest phylogenetic relative of the *S. typhi* RWP1_PK1 when compared with complete genomes from different regions. SNP

analysis revealed the isolate to be closesly related to *S. typhi* str. P-Stx-12, an MDR *S. typhi* sequence reported from India (Ong et al., 2012). Using these results, SNP analysis using RealPhy was done with 44 complete genomes from Pakistan with *S. typhi* str. RWP1_PKQ and by using P-Stx-12 as a reference since that was the closest relative of the complete genomes. Analysis revealed the closest relative to be *S. typhi* str. MDUST 156, a genome sequence of another MDR *S. typhi* isolate from Pakistan. This analysis thereby confirmed that *S. typhi* str. RWP1_PK1 developed its XDR characteristic within the endemic region of Pakistan. A study by Bakker et al. (2011) carried out SNP analysis to study the outbreak of a food borne pathogen, *Salmonella enterica* serovar Montevideo, using NGS analysis. The results confirmed the outbreak to be background cases, rather than food borne. Similarly, another study by Makendi et al. (2016) geographically segregated *Salmonella enterica* servoar Weltevreden by SNP analysis into two phylogentic clusters; one predominant within South East Asia, while the other was globally disseminated.

Afterwards, various antibiotic resistance determinants were identified within the genome contributing to the high level of resistance to cephalosporin and fluoroquinolone antibiotics via various antibiotic resistance databases. The genes were identified using ResFinder, Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database (CARD) (Jia et al., 2017; McArthur et al., 2013), Antibiotic Resistance Database (ARDB) and ResFams. It was seen that CARD was more efficient than the rest of the databases in this particular senario, where it not only identified all the acquired genes buts also showed drug resistant genes that are chormosomally encoded. CARD also identified the presence of Mercury resistance operon which holds significant value in terms of its co-existence with other antibiotic resistance genes carried by IncQ plasmid. ARDB

and ResFinder also did not identify the chormosomal mutations. Other than that, CARD also identified multiple efflux pump that could confer increased resistance to antibiotics.

Genomic analysis revealed presence of CTX-M-15 and TEM-1 β -lactamase determinants by all antibiotic resistance databases except ARDB which failed to identify TEM-1. ResFams also identified a Subclass B3 metallo- β -lactamase which hydrolizes penicillins, cephalosporins and carbapenems. When the sequence was further confirmed using blastx, the results reported the gene to be 100% identical with no known function in imparting resistance to antibiotics. Other than that, resistance to cephalosporins but not to carbpenem antibiotic (MEM <0.5 mg/L, IMI 1mg/L) confirms the gene to be putative with no function.

The isolate exhibited resistance to cefepime, a 4th generation antibiotic, and all lower generation antibiotics like ceftriaxone (3rd generation cephalosporin) and cefotaxime (2nd generation cephlaospoirn) while showing suceptibility to carbapenems. Presence of CTX-M-15 has been reported to impart higher level of resistance in microbes to 4th generation cephalosporin like cefepime. CTX-M-15 and cefepime resistance. This was also reported by Barguigua et al. (2011) where presence of CTX-M-15 imparted MICs higher than 64 μ g/ml in *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* isolates from Moroccan community. A similar study showed that most of the enterobacteriaceae isolates harboring a similar β-lactamase, CTX-M-14, were resistant to cefepime were also resistant to all lower generation cephalosporins but susceptible to carbapenems only (Chong et al., 2010).

Cefepime resistance has also been highlighed in a few studies previously in clinical isolates of *S. typhi*. Rotimi et al. (2008) conducted a wide surveillance of β -lactamase producting *Salmonella* spp. in Kuwait and UAE. Their results reported the presence of CTX-M type

extended spectrum β -lactamases in numerous of the 407 studied isolates. 14 of the isolates had $bla_{\text{CTX-M-15}}$, 2 of which were *S. typhi* isolates. These 2 isolates exhibited higher level of resistance as compared to the rest of the CTX-M-15 positive isolates.

Another study by Gonzalez-Lopez et al. (2014) reported a similar higher level of resistance to cephalosporin and β -lactam antibiotics in a clinical isolate in Guatemala. The patient was treated with an IV of entrapenem till complete recovery. Phenotypic and genotypic analysis revelaed the isolate to be resistant to cefepime (>256 mg/mL) and carrying *bla*_{CTX-M-15} and *bla*_{TEM-1} gene. The study by Gonzalez-Lopez et al. (2014) therefore reported the 4th case with a CTX-M-15 positive isolated *S. typhi* with Asian origin previously reported in Iraq, India and Bangladesh (Ahmed et al., 2012; Pfeifer et al., 2009; Rotimi et al., 2008). To our best knowledge, our study reports the 5th case in Asia and the first case in Pakistan of *bla*_{CTX-M-15} positive isolate exhibiting resistance to Cefepime 4th generation cephalosporin.

The MIC values of 2^{nd} generation fluroquinolone (ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, and 4^{th} generation fluroquinlone (Moxifloxacin) were higher than the clinical breakpoint standard set by EUCAST. To our knowledge, resistance to Moxifloxacin has not been reported before at all in *S. enterica*. Various resistance elements were identified that could explain the reduced susceptibility of the isolate to fluroqinolones. Computational analysis reveals that a single point mutation in QRDR, S83F, was present in the *gyrA* gene. No point mutations in *parC* in the QRDR was noted although point mutation were observed at other regions in *gyrA* and *parC* genes. Mutations in *gyrA* and *parC* (drug targets of fluroquinolone antibiotics) are the widely attributed cause of resistance/reduced susceptibility to the concernred antibiotic (Ruiz, 2003).

Changes in *gyrA* gene leads to reduced susceptibility for 2^{nd} generation fluroquinolone antibiotics. For instance, a single point mutation of S83F causes an increased MIC value (Biedenbach, Toleman, Walsh, & Jones, 2006). It has been reported that isolates with double mutations occurring at codon 83 and 87 in *gyrA* have higher MICs for quinolone antibiotics. Multiple mutations occurring in either a single or both of the target genes are known to cause significantly higher resistance to the ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin. This was also observed in a study conducted in Korean hospitals where all ciprofloxacin resistant *E. coli* isolates carried double mutations in *gyrA* and a minimum of single mutation in *parC* gene(Komp Lindgren, Karlsson, & Hughes, 2003; Moon et al., 2010; Morgan-Linnell & Zechiedrich, 2007). A study by (Gopal et al., 2016) highlights the presence of Ser83 mutation in 94 % of the *S. typhi* isolates studied which causes a reduced susceptibility to ciprofloxacin antibiotics. Since, a single mutation was observed in this isolate, this points out to the presence of other resistance genes conferring such significantly high resistance level.

Presence of a variant of aminoglycoside acetyltransferase allele, AAC(6')-Ib-cr has also been reported to impart resistance to hydrophilic fluroqinolones such as ciprofloxacin and norfloxacin but not to others such as levofloxacin and moxifloxacin. The mechanism of action relies on modification and reduction of activity of hydrophilic fluroquinolone (Luzzaro, 2008; Robicsek, Jacoby, & Hooper, 2006). This allelic form of aminoglycoside acetyltransferase has often been associated with increased level of resistance but no instance of this resistant gene was identified in our isolate. This also confirms as to why the MIC value of ciprofloxacin, a hydrophilic fluroquinolone antibiotic, was lower in our isolate as compare to levofloxacin and moxifloxacin. *qnrS1* was also identified to be present in the sequence, which confers resistance to fluoroquinolone antibiotics by binding to target site on *gyrA*, thus protecting *gyrA* binding of antibiotic molecule. It was identified as the first fluroquinolone resistance determinant to be transferred by plasmid causing reduced susceptibility to the concerned group (PMC4626314). A transconjugant study where an *E. coli* isolate was transformed with *qnrS* and *qnrB* caused an 8 fold increase in MIC of ciprofloxacin (Cattoir, Poirel, & Nordmann, 2007; Strahilevitz, Jacoby, Hooper, & Robicsek, 2009). In another study, transformation of *Shigella flexneri* 2b strain with *qnrS* caused an 8-fold increase in MIC from 1µg/mL to 8 µg/mL (Hata et al., 2005). Therefore, the increased resistance to fluroquinolone antibiotics could be attributed to the presence of *qnrS* within the genome.

Various other resistance elements were also identified that can explain this enhanced resistance to fluoroquinolone. These include resistance gene making up the AcrAB-TolC efflux pump such as Another study highlights that increased expression of AcrAB-TolC efflux pump with or without single or double mutations in *gyrA* and *parC* mutation were found to be causing ciprofloxacin resistance (Zhang et al., 2017). It is highly probable, that the increased expression of AcrAB-TolC could be the contributing factor in the increased fluroquinolone resistance.

Genome comparison revealed presence of a ~300 Kb of unaligned region when compared with 3 complete genomes of *S. typhi*; str. CT18, str. Ty2, and P-Stx-12. This same region also contained most of the antimicrobial resistance genes identified by various databases including but not limited to *bla*_{CTX-M15}, *bla*_{TEM}, *qnrS1* along with various mobile elements such as insertion sequences, transposons, transposases, and recombinases. This elucidate that the isolate carries a novel putative resistance island (Juhas et al., 2009; V. Miriagou, Carattoli, & Fanning, 2006).

Further downstream analysis using IslandViewer4 when compared with 6 complete reference genomes available on the database confirmed the presence of an unaligned region harboring antimicrobial resistance genes as highlighted in Figure 19. The region can be classified as a Resistance island because it carries various antimicrobial resistance genes such as *bla*_{CTX-M-15}, *bla*_{TEM-1}, *qnr*S1 along with *catA1*, *dfrA7*, *sul1*, *sul2*, *strA*, *strB* along with vairous mobile elements such as insertion sequences, transposons, and recombinases (Davies & Davies, 2010; V. Miriagou et al., 2006). The IncQ1 and IncY plasmid sequeces identified by PlasmidFinder were also present within the same genomic island. Thus, signifying the incorporation of the island from plasmids within the chromosome of the bacterial isolate. The results coincide with earler findings where incorporation of a pathogenomic island within the genome of *Acinetobacter* caused an increase in resistance to various antibiotics (Nigro, Farrugia, Paulsen, & Hall, 2013).

The results have highlighted and elucidated the increased resistance to various contributing factors acquired by the *Salmonella enterica* subsp. enterica serovar Typhi str. RWP1_PK1. These results therefore characterize the strain to be an extensively drug resistant strain of a clonal subtype of *S. typhi* prevalent within the endemic region of Pakistan.

6 CONCLUSION

The present study was focused on characterizing a clinical isolate of highly antibiotic resistant *Salmonella enterica* subsp. enterica ser. *typhi* isolated from a previously healthy patient. The isolate was characterized phenotypically by biochemical testing and antibiotic susceptibility assay using commonly used antibiotics which revealed the isolate to be highly resistant. Susceptibility assays against all commonly used antibiotic belonging to different classes of antibiotics revealed the strain to be resistant to all antibiotics including the higher generation β -lactam and fluroquinolone antibiotics. The resistance level was significantly high for cephalosporin antibiotics, with MIC of 256 µg/mL for Cefepime and >256 µg/ml for other antibiotics of this generation. Similarly, higher MICs for Ciprofloxacin, Levofloxacin and especially Moxifloxacin (2 µg/mL, 4µg/mL and 4µg/mL respectively) was also alarming.

Genotypic characterization was also done by PCR identification of ESBL genes and Whole Genome Sequencing. Plasmid curing assay was also performed to determine the presence of any plasmid carrying antibiotic resistance determinant which were negative for loss of plasmids. WGS data was annotated using Prokka, RAST and PATRIC. The genomic data was subjected to *in silico* MLST analysis, serovar identification and SNP analysis using tools available at CGE. Antibiotic resistance determinants were identified using ARDB, CARD, and ResFams. Various antibiotic resistance genes including β -lactamase and quinolone resistance genes were also present.

7 FUTURE PROSPECTS

Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhi, the etiological agent of Typhoid, is a highly infectious pathogen that is prevalent in developing nations. The current study reports the emergence of a first extensively drug resistant Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhi isolate that showed resistance to all clinically prescribed antibiotics. Resistance to more than three classes of antibiotics, lack of plasmids and presence of a novel antimicrobial resistance island harboring various antibiotic resistance genes were the key highlights of the present study

The present research work can be furthered in various ways. Firstly, the isolate showed resistance to all clinically prescribed antibiotic for treatment of Typhoid. This calls for an extensive surveillance of antimicrobial resistance especially for resistance to higher generation cephalosporin and fluroquinolone drugs in *Salmonella spp*. within the endemic region. Increased resistance or reduced susceptibility to these antimicrobial agents could also indicate presence of novel antimicrobial resistance genes harbored within the genome of *Salmonella spp*. alongside novel virulence determinants.

Secondly lack of plasmid within the genome signifies the shift of dependence from carrying an antibiotic resistance conferring plasmid to chromosomally encoded resistance island. Therefore, the study also signifies conducting a molecular epidemiological surveillance by genotyping plasmids, pathogenicity islands and resistance islands within the *S. typhi* genome.

Most importantly, decreasing antimicrobial options for treatment of such highly infectious pathogens with high mortality also calls for importance of designing appropriate combination therapies for curtailing development of further antimicrobial resistance.

8 **REFERENCES**

- Ahmed, D., Hoque, A., Mazumder, R., Nahar, K., Islam, N., Gazi, S. A., & Hossain, M. A. (2012). Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi strain producing extended-spectrum betalactamases in Dhaka, Bangladesh. [Case Reports Letter Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. J Med Microbiol, 61(Pt 7), 1032-1033. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.044065-0
- Akhabue, E., Synnestvedt, M., Weiner, M. G., Bilker, W. B., & Lautenbach, E. (2011). Cefepime-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Emerg Infect Dis, 17*(6), 1037-1043. doi: 10.3201/eid/1706.100358
- Aldred, K. J., Schwanz, H. A., Li, G., McPherson, S. A., Turnbough, C. L., Jr., Kerns, R. J., & Osheroff, N. (2013). Overcoming target-mediated quinolone resistance in topoisomerase IV by introducing metal-ion-independent drug-enzyme interactions. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural]. ACS Chem Biol, 8(12), 2660-2668. doi: 10.1021/cb400592n
- Alikhan, N. F., Petty, N. K., Ben Zakour, N. L., & Beatson, S. A. (2011). BLAST Ring Image Generator (BRIG): simple prokaryote genome comparisons. [Comparative Study Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. BMC Genomics, 12, 402. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-12-402
- Aminov, R. I., & Mackie, R. I. (2007). Evolution and ecology of antibiotic resistance genes. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't Research Support, U.S. Gov't, Non-P.H.S. Review]. *FEMS Microbiol Lett*, 271(2), 147-161. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2007.00757.x
- Andrews, J. M. (2001). Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations. [Comparative Study]. *J Antimicrob Chemother, 48 Suppl 1*, 5-16.
- Arber, W. (2014). Horizontal Gene Transfer among Bacteria and Its Role in Biological Evolution. [Review]. *Life (Basel)*, 4(2), 217-224. doi: 10.3390/life4020217
- Aziz, R. K., Bartels, D., Best, A. A., DeJongh, M., Disz, T., Edwards, R. A., . . . Zagnitko, O. (2008). The RAST Server: rapid annotations using subsystems technology. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Research Support, U.S. Gov't, Non-P.H.S.]. BMC Genomics, 9, 75. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-9-75
- Bakker, H. C., Switt, A. I., Cummings, C. A., Hoelzer, K., Degoricija, L., Rodriguez-Rivera, L. D., . . . Wiedmann, M. (2011). A whole-genome single nucleotide polymorphism-based approach to trace and identify outbreaks linked to a common Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Montevideo pulsed-field gel electrophoresis type. [Comparative Study Evaluation Studies Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't Research Support, U.S. Gov't, Non-P.H.S.]. Appl Environ Microbiol, 77(24), 8648-8655. doi: 10.1128/AEM.06538-11
- Balcazar, J. L. (2014). Bacteriophages as vehicles for antibiotic resistance genes in the environment. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *PLoS Pathog*, 10(7), e1004219. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004219
- Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A. A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A. S., . . . Pevzner, P. A. (2012). SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. J Comput Biol, 19(5), 455-477. doi: 10.1089/cmb.2012.0021

- Barguigua, A., El Otmani, F., Talmi, M., Bourjilat, F., Haouzane, F., Zerouali, K., & Timinouni, M. (2011). Characterization of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates from the community in Morocco. J Med Microbiol, 60(Pt 9), 1344-1352. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.032482-0
- Barman, S., Chatterjee, S., Chowdhury, G., Ramamurthy, T., Niyogi, S. K., Kumar, R., & Koley, H. (2010). Plasmid-mediated streptomycin and sulfamethoxazole resistance in Shigella flexneri 3a. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*, 36(4), 348-351. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2010.06.037
- Batchelor, M., Hopkins, K., Threlfall, E. J., Clifton-Hadley, F. A., Stallwood, A. D., Davies, R. H., & Liebana, E. (2005). blaCTX-M Genes in Clinical Salmonella Isolates Recovered from Humans in England and Wales from 1992 to 2003. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 49(4), 1319-1322.
- Baym, M., Kryazhimskiy, S., Lieberman, T. D., Chung, H., Desai, M. M., & Kishony, R. (2015). Inexpensive multiplexed library preparation for megabase-sized genomes. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't Research Support, U.S. Gov't, Non-P.H.S.]. *PLoS One, 10*(5), e0128036. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0128036
- Bennett, P. M. (2008). Plasmid encoded antibiotic resistance: acquisition and transfer of antibiotic resistance genes in bacteria. [Review]. Br J Pharmacol, 153 Suppl 1, S347-357. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjp.0707607
- Bertelli, C., Laird, M. R., Williams, K. P., Lau, B. Y., Hoad, G., Winsor, G. L., & Brinkman, F. S. (2017). IslandViewer 4: expanded prediction of genomic islands for larger-scale datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx343
- Biedenbach, D. J., Toleman, M., Walsh, T. R., & Jones, R. N. (2006). Analysis of Salmonella spp. with resistance to extended-spectrum cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones isolated in North America and Latin America: report from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (1997-2004). [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis*, 54(1), 13-21. doi: 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2005.06.013
- Blanco, P., Hernando-Amado, S., Reales-Calderon, J. A., Corona, F., Lira, F., Alcalde-Rico, M., . Martinez, J. L. (2016). Bacterial Multidrug Efflux Pumps: Much More Than Antibiotic Resistance Determinants. [Review]. *Microorganisms*, 4(1). doi: 10.3390/microorganisms4010014
- Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Bioinformatics*, 30(15), 2114-2120. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
- Bolon, M. K. (2009). The newer fluoroquinolones. [Review]. *Infect Dis Clin North Am*, 23(4), 1027-1051, x. doi: 10.1016/j.idc.2009.06.003
- Brabban, A. D., Hite, E., & Callaway, T. R. (2005). Evolution of foodborne pathogens via temperate bacteriophage-mediated gene transfer. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Review]. *Foodborne Pathog Dis*, 2(4), 287-303. doi: 10.1089/fpd.2005.2.287
- Brettin, T., Davis, J. J., Disz, T., Edwards, R. A., Gerdes, S., Olsen, G. J., . . . Xia, F. (2015). RASTtk: a modular and extensible implementation of the RAST algorithm for building custom annotation pipelines and annotating batches of genomes. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Research Support, U.S. Gov't, Non-P.H.S.]. Sci Rep, 5, 8365. doi: 10.1038/srep08365

- Brinas, L., Zarazaga, M., Saenz, Y., Ruiz-Larrea, F., & Torres, C. (2002). Beta-lactamases in ampicillin-resistant Escherichia coli isolates from foods, humans, and healthy animals. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 46(10), 3156-3163.
- Burmeister, A. R. (2015). Horizontal Gene Transfer. *Evolution, Medicine & Public Health,* 2015(1), 193-194. doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/emph/eov018
- Bush, K., & Fisher, J. F. (2011). Epidemiological expansion, structural studies, and clinical challenges of new beta-lactamases from gram-negative bacteria. [Review]. Annu Rev Microbiol, 65, 455-478. doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-090110-102911
- Bush, K., & Jacoby, G. A. (2010). Updated Functional Classification of B-Lactamases. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 54(3), 969-976.
- Canton, R., Gonzalez-Alba, J. M., & Galan, J. C. (2012). CTX-M Enzymes: Origin and Diffusion. *Front Microbiol*, *3*, 110. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2012.00110
- Carattoli, A., Zankari, E., Garcia-Fernandez, A., Voldby Larsen, M., Lund, O., Villa, L., . . . Hasman, H. (2014). In silico detection and typing of plasmids using PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 58(7), 3895-3903. doi: 10.1128/AAC.02412-14
- Carver, T. J., Rutherford, K. M., Berriman, M., Rajandream, M. A., Barrell, B. G., & Parkhill, J. (2005). ACT: the Artemis Comparison Tool. *Bioinformatics*, 21(16), 3422-3423. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bti553
- Cattoir, V., Poirel, L., & Nordmann, P. (2007). In-vitro mutagenesis of qnrA and qnrS genes and quinolone resistance in Escherichia coli. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Clin Microbiol Infect*, 13(9), 940-943. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2007.01778.x
- Chen, I., Christie, P. J., & Dubnau, D. (2005). The ins and outs of DNA transfer in bacteria. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Review]. *Science*, *310*(5753), 1456-1460. doi: 10.1126/science.1114021
- Chong, Y., Yakushiji, H., Ito, Y., & Kamimura, T. (2010). Cefepime-resistant Gram-negative bacteremia in febrile neutropenic patients with hematological malignancies. *Int J Infect Dis*, 14 Suppl 3, e171-175. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2010.01.004
- Darling, A. C., Mau, B., Blattner, F. R., & Perna, N. T. (2004). Mauve: multiple alignment of conserved genomic sequence with rearrangements. [Comparative Study Research Support, U.S. Gov't, P.H.S.]. Genome Res, 14(7), 1394-1403. doi: 10.1101/gr.2289704
- Darling, A. E., Mau, B., & Perna, N. T. (2010). progressiveMauve: multiple genome alignment with gene gain, loss and rearrangement. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Research Support, U.S. Gov't, Non-P.H.S.]. *PLoS One*, 5(6), e11147. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0011147
- Darmon, E., & Leach, D. R. (2014). Bacterial genome instability. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't Review]. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev*, 78(1), 1-39. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00035-13
- Davies, J., & Davies, D. (2010). Origins and evolution of antibiotic resistance. [Review]. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev*, 74(3), 417-433. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00016-10
- Dzidic, S., Suskovic, J., & Kos, B. (2008). Antibiotic Resistance Mechanisms in Bacteria: Biochemical and Genetic Aspects. *Food Technology and Biotechnology*, 46(1), 11-21.
- Eller, C., Simon, S., Miller, T., Frick, J.-S., Prager, R., Rabsch, W., . . . Pfeifer, Y. (2013). Presence of B-lactamases in Extended-Spectrum-Cephalosporin-Resistant Salmonella

enterica of 30 different serovars in Germany 2005-2011. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 68, 1978-1981.

- Endimiani, A., Perez, F., & Bonomo, R. A. (2008). Cefepime: a reappraisal in an era of increasing antimicrobial resistance. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't Research Support, U.S. Gov't, Non-P.H.S. Review]. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther, 6(6), 805-824. doi: 10.1586/14787210.6.6.805
- Frost, L. S., Leplae, R., Summers, A. O., & Toussaint, A. (2005). Mobile genetic elements: the agents of open source evolution. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov'tmResearch Support, U.S. Gov't, Non-P.H.S. Review]. *Nat Rev Microbiol*, 3(9), 722-732. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1235
- Gibson, M. K., Forsberg, K. J., & Dantas, G. (2015). Improved annotation of antibiotic resistance determinants reveals microbial resistomes cluster by ecology. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't Research Support, U.S. Gov't, Non-P.H.S.]. *ISME J*, 9(1), 207-216. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2014.106
- Giedraitienė, A. V., A.; Naginienė, R.; Pavilonis, A. (2011). Antibiotic Resistance Mechanisms of Clinically Important Bacteria. [Review]. *Medicina (Kaunas)*, 47(3), 137-146.
- Gonzalez-Lopez, J. J., Piedra-Carrasco, N., Salvador, F., Rodriguez, V., Sanchez-Montalva, A., Planes, A. M., . . . Larrosa, M. N. (2014). ESBL-producing Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi in traveler returning from Guatemala to Spain. [Case Reports Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Emerg Infect Dis*, 20(11), 1918-1920. doi: 10.3201/eid2011.140525
- Gopal, M., Elumalai, S., Arumugam, S., Durairajpandian, V., Kannan, M. A., Selvam, E., & Seetharaman, S. (2016). GyrA ser83 and ParC trp106 Mutations in Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhi Isolated from Typhoid Fever Patients in Tertiary Care Hospital. J Clin Diagn Res, 10(7), DC14-18. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2016/17677.8153
- Gurevich, A., Saveliev, V., Vyahhi, N., & Tesler, G. (2013). QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Bioinformatics*, 29(8), 1072-1075. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086
- Hansen, L. H., Jensen, L. B., Sorensen, H. I., & Sorensen, S. J. (2007). Substrate specificity of the OqxAB multidrug resistance pump in Escherichia coli and selected enteric bacteria. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. J Antimicrob Chemother, 60(1), 145-147. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkm167
- Hata, M., Suzuki, M., Matsumoto, M., Takahashi, M., Sato, K., Ibe, S., & Sakae, K. (2005). Cloning of a novel gene for quinolone resistance from a transferable plasmid in Shigella flexneri 2b. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 49(2), 801-803. doi: 10.1128/AAC.49.2.801-803.2005
- Hendriksen, R. S., Leekitcharoenphon, P., Lukjancenko, O., Lukwesa-Musyani, C., Tambatamba, B., Mwaba, J., . . . Mwansa, J. C. (2015). Genomic signature of multidrugresistant Salmonella enterica serovar typhi isolates related to a massive outbreak in Zambia between 2010 and 2012. [Historical Article Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *J Clin Microbiol*, 53(1), 262-272. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02026-14
- Hernando-Amado, S., Blanco, P., Alcalde-Rico, M., Corona, F., Reales-Calderon, J. A., Sanchez, M. B., & Martinez, J. L. (2016). Multidrug efflux pumps as main players in intrinsic and

acquired resistance to antimicrobials. [Review]. Drug Resist Updat, 28, 13-27. doi: 10.1016/j.drup.2016.06.007

- Hsu, L. Y., Apisarnthanarak, A., Khan, E., Suwantarat, N., Ghafur, A., & Tambyah, P. A. (2017). Carbapenem-Resistant Acinetobacter baumannii and Enterobacteriaceae in South and Southeast Asia. [Review]. *Clin Microbiol Rev, 30*(1), 1-22. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00042-16
- Jia, B., Raphenya, A. R., Alcock, B., Waglechner, N., Guo, P., Tsang, K. K., . . . McArthur, A. G. (2017). CARD 2017: expansion and model-centric curation of the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 45(D1), D566-D573. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1004
- Jorgensen, J. H., & Ferraro, M. J. (2009). Antimicrobial susceptibility testing: a review of general principles and contemporary practices. [Review]. *Clin Infect Dis*, 49(11), 1749-1755. doi: 10.1086/647952
- Juhas, M., van der Meer, J. R., Gaillard, M., Harding, R. M., Hood, D. W., & Crook, D. W. (2009). Genomic islands: tools of bacterial horizontal gene transfer and evolution. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't Review]. *FEMS Microbiol Rev*, 33(2), 376-393. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2008.00136.x
- Kaas, R. S., Leekitcharoenphon, P., Aarestrup, F. M., & Lund, O. (2014). Solving the problem of comparing whole bacterial genomes across different sequencing platforms. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *PLoS One*, 9(8), e104984. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0104984
- Komp Lindgren, P., Karlsson, A., & Hughes, D. (2003). Mutation rate and evolution of fluoroquinolone resistance in Escherichia coli isolates from patients with urinary tract infections. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 47(10), 3222-3232.
- Korzybski, T., Gindifer, Z. K., & Kurylowicz, W. (2013). *Antibiotics:Origin, Nature and Properties.* Warszwa: Pergamon Press.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., & Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. *Mol Biol Evol*, 33(7), 1870-1874. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw054
- Larsen, M. V., Cosentino, S., Rasmussen, S., Friis, C., Hasman, H., Marvig, R. L., . . . Lund, O. (2012). Multilocus sequence typing of total-genome-sequenced bacteria. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *J Clin Microbiol*, 50(4), 1355-1361. doi: 10.1128/JCM.06094-11
- Levison, M. E., & Levison, J. H. (2009). Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of antibacterial agents. [Review]. *Infect Dis Clin North Am*, 23(4), 791-815, vii. doi: 10.1016/j.idc.2009.06.008
- Levy, S. B. (1992). Active efflux mechanisms for antimicrobial resistance. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't Research Support, U.S. Gov't, P.H.S. Review]. Antimicrobial Agents Chemother, 36(4), 695-703.
- Li X-Z., P. P., Nikaido H. (2015). The challenge of efflux-mediated antibiotic resistance in Gram-negative bacteria. *Clinical Microbiology Review*, 28(2), 337-418. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00117-14
- Li, X. Z., & Nikaido, H. (2004). Efflux-mediated drug resistance in bacteria. [Research Support, U.S. Gov't, P.H.S. Review]. *Drugs*, 64(2), 159-204.

- Ligon, B. L. (2004). Penicilin: its discovery and early development. Seminars in Pediatric Infectious Diseases, 15(1), 52-57.
- Lipman, J., Wallis, S. C., & Rickard, C. (1999). Low plasma cefepime levels in critically ill septic patients: pharmacokinetic modeling indicates improved troughs with revised dosing. [Clinical Trial Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 43(10), 2559-2561.
- Liu, B., & Pop, M. (2009). ARDB--Antibiotic Resistance Genes Database. [Research Support, U.S. Gov't, Non-P.H.S.]. Nucleic Acids Res, 37(Database issue), D443-447. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn656
- Luzzaro, F. (2008). [Fluoroquinolones and Gram-negative bacteria: antimicrobial activity and mechanisms of resistance]. [Review]. *Infez Med, 16 Suppl2*, 5-11.
- Magiorakos, A. P., Srinivasan, A., Carey, R. B., Carmeli, Y., Falagas, M. E., Giske, C. G., ... Monnet, D. L. (2012). Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrugresistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. [Consensus Development Conference]. *Clin Microbiol Infect, 18*(3), 268-281. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x
- Maheshwari, M., Yaser, N. H., Naz, S., Fatima, M., & Ahmad, I. (2016). Emergence of ciprofloxacin-resistant extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing enteric bacteria in hospital wastewater and clinical sources. J Glob Antimicrob Resist, 5, 22-25. doi: 10.1016/j.jgar.2016.01.008
- Makendi, C., Page, A. J., Wren, B. W., Le Thi Phuong, T., Clare, S., Hale, C., . . . Dougan, G. (2016). A Phylogenetic and Phenotypic Analysis of Salmonella enterica Serovar Weltevreden, an Emerging Agent of Diarrheal Disease in Tropical Regions. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*, 10(2), e0004446. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0004446
- Marti, E., Variatza, E., & Balcazar, J. L. (2014a). Bacteriophages as a reservoir of extendedspectrum beta-lactamase and fluoroquinolone resistance genes in the environment. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Clin Microbiol Infect*, 20(7), O456-459. doi: 10.1111/1469-0691.12446
- Marti, E., Variatza, E., & Balcazar, J. L. (2014b). The role of aquatic ecosystems as reservoirs of antibiotic resistance. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't Review]. *Trends Microbiol*, 22(1), 36-41. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2013.11.001
- Martinez-Martinez, L., Pascual, A., & Jacoby, G. A. (1998). Quinolone resistance from a transferable plasmid. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't Research Support, U.S. Gov't, Non-P.H.S.]. *Lancet*, 351(9105), 797-799. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(97)07322-4
- Mayrhofer, S., Domig, K. J., Mair, C., Zitz, U., Huys, G., & Kneifel, W. (2008). Comparison of broth microdilution, Etest, and agar disk diffusion methods for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Lactobacillus acidophilus group members. [Comparative Study Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, 74(12), 3745-3748. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02849-07
- McArthur, A. G., Waglechner, N., Nizam, F., Yan, A., Azad, M. A., Baylay, A. J., . . . Wright, G. D. (2013). The comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 57(7), 3348-3357. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00419-13

- McArthur, A. G., & Wright, G. D. (2015). Bioinformatics of antimicrobial resistance in the age of molecular epidemiology. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't Review]. Curr Opin Microbiol, 27, 45-50. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2015.07.004
- Miriagou, V., Carattoli, A., & Fanning, S. (2006). Antimicrobial resistance islands: resistance gene clusters in Salmonella chromosome and plasmids. [Review]. *Microbes Infect*, 8(7), 1923-1930. doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2005.12.027
- Miriagou, V., Tassios, P. T., Legakis, N. J., & Tzouvelekis, L. S. (2004). Expanded-spectrum Cephalosporin Resistance Mechanism in Non-typhoid Salmonella. *International Journal* of Antimicrobial Agents, 23(6), 547-555.
- Moon, D. C., Seol, S. Y., Gurung, M., Jin, J. S., Choi, C. H., Kim, J., . . . Lee, J. C. (2010). Emergence of a new mutation and its accumulation in the topoisomerase IV gene confers high levels of resistance to fluoroquinolones in Escherichia coli isolates. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. Int J Antimicrob Agents, 35(1), 76-79. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2009.08.003
- Moremi, N., Claus, H., & Mshana, S. E. (2016). Antimicrobial resistance pattern: a report of microbiological cultures at a tertiary hospital in Tanzania. *BMC Infect Dis*, 16(1), 756. doi: 10.1186/s12879-016-2082-1
- Morgan-Linnell, S. K., & Zechiedrich, L. (2007). Contributions of the combined effects of topoisomerase mutations toward fluoroquinolone resistance in Escherichia coli. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 51(11), 4205-4208. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00647-07
- Morita, M., Takai, N., Terajima, J., Watanabe, H., Kurokawa, M., Sagara, H., . . . Izumiya, H. (2010). Plasmid-mediated resistance to cephalosporins in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 54(9), 3991-3992. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00225-10
- Munir, T., Lodhi, M., Ansari, J. K., Andleeb, S., & Ahmed, M. (2016). Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamase producing Cephalosporin resistant Salmonella Typhi, reported from Rawalpindi, Pakistan. [Case Reports]. J Pak Med Assoc, 66(8), 1035-1036.
- Munita, J. M., & Arias, C. A. (2016). Mechanisms of Antibiotic Resistance. *Microbiol Spectr*, 4(2). doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.VMBF-0016-2015
- Munshi, M. H., Sack, D. A., Haider, K., Ahmed, Z. U., Rahaman, M. M., & Morshed, M. G. (1987). Plasmid-mediated resistance to nalidixic acid in Shigella dysenteriae type 1. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Lancet*, 2(8556), 419-421.
- Neu, H. C. (1992). The Crisis in Antibiotic Resistance. Science, 257(5073), 1064-1073.
- Nigro, S. J., Farrugia, D. N., Paulsen, I. T., & Hall, R. M. (2013). A novel family of genomic resistance islands, AbGRI2, contributing to aminoglycoside resistance in Acinetobacter baumannii isolates belonging to global clone 2. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. J Antimicrob Chemother, 68(3), 554-557. doi: 10.1093/jac/dks459
- Ong, S. Y., Pratap, C. B., Wan, X., Hou, S., Abdul Rahman, A. Y., Saito, J. A., . . . Alam, M. (2012). Complete genome sequence of Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhi P-stx-12. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *J Bacteriol, 194*(8), 2115-2116. doi: 10.1128/JB.00121-12
- Overbeek, R., Olson, R., Pusch, G. D., Olsen, G. J., Davis, J. J., Disz, T., . . . Stevens, R. (2014). The SEED and the Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystems Technology (RAST). [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Research Support, U.S.

Gov't, Non-P.H.S.]. Nucleic Acids Res, 42(Database issue), D206-214. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkt1226

- Parkhill, J., Dougan, G., James, K. D., Thomson, N. R., Pickard, D., Wain, J., . . . Barrell, B. G. (2001). Complete genome sequence of a multiple drug resistant Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi CT18. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Nature*, 413(6858), 848-852. doi: 10.1038/35101607
- Parsley, L. C., Consuegra, E. J., Kakirde, K. S., Land, A. M., Harper, W. F., Jr., & Liles, M. R. (2010). Identification of diverse antimicrobial resistance determinants carried on bacterial, plasmid, or viral metagenomes from an activated sludge microbial assemblage. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, 76(11), 3753-3757. doi: 10.1128/AEM.03080-09
- Patel, S. N., McGeer, A., Melano, R., Tyrrell, G. J., Green, K., Pillai, D. R., & Low, D. E. (2011). Susceptibility of Streptococcus pneumoniae to fluoroquinolones in Canada. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 55(8), 3703-3708. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00237-11
- Pesesky, M. W., Hussain, T., Wallace, M., Wang, B., Andleeb, S., Burnham, C. A., & Dantas, G. (2015). KPC and NDM-1 genes in related Enterobacteriaceae strains and plasmids from Pakistan and the United States. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Emerg Infect Dis*, 21(6), 1034-1037. doi: 10.3201/eid2106.141504
- Pfeifer, Y., Matten, J., & Rabsch, W. (2009). Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi with CTX-M beta-lactamase, Germany. [Letter Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Emerg Infect Dis*, 15(9), 1533-1535. doi: 10.3201/eid1509.090567
- Poirel, L., Cattoir, V., & Nordmann, P. (2012). Plasmid-Mediated Quinolone Resistance; Interactions between Human, Animal, and Environmental Ecologies. *Front Microbiol*, 3, 24. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2012.00024
- Ramirez, M. S., & Tolmasky, M. E. (2010). Aminoglycoside modifying enzymes. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Review]. Drug Resist Updat, 13(6), 151-171. doi: 10.1016/j.drup.2010.08.003
- Rao, G. G. (1998). Risk Factors for the Spread of Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria. *Drugs*, 55(3), 323-330.
- Rawat, D., & Nair, D. (2010). Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in Gram Negative Bacteria. J Glob Infect Dis, 2(3), 263-274. doi: 10.4103/0974-777X.68531
- Rawlings, D. E., & Tietze, E. (2001). Comparative biology of IncQ and IncQ-like plasmids. [Comparative Study Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't Review]. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev*, 65(4), 481-496, table of contents. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.65.4.481-496.2001
- Redgrave, L. S., Sutton, S. B., Webber, M. A., & Piddock, L. J. (2014). Fluoroquinolone resistance: mechanisms, impact on bacteria, and role in evolutionary success. [Review]. *Trends Microbiol*, 22(8), 438-445. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2014.04.007
- Robicsek, A., Jacoby, G. A., & Hooper, D. C. (2006). The worldwide emergence of plasmidmediated quinolone resistance. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't Review]. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 6(10), 629-640. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(06)70599-0

- Robicsek, A., Strahilevitz, J., Jacoby, G. A., Macielag, M., Abbanat, D., Park, C. H., . . . Hooper, D. C. (2006). Fluoroquinolone-modifying enzyme: a new adaptation of a common aminoglycoside acetyltransferase. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural]. *Nat Med*, *12*(1), 83-88. doi: 10.1038/nm1347
- Rotimi, V. O., Jamal, W., Pal, T., Sovenned, A., & Albert, M. J. (2008). Emergence of CTX-M-15 type extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Salmonella spp. in Kuwait and the United Arab Emirates. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *J Med Microbiol*, 57(Pt 7), 881-886. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.47509-0
- Rowe, B., Ward, L. R., & Threlfall, E. J. (1997). Multidrug-Resistant Salmonella tyhpi: A worldwide eipdemic. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 24(1), S106-S109.
- Ruiz, J. (2003). Mechanisms of resistance to quinolones: target alterations, decreased accumulation and DNA gyrase protection. [Review]. J Antimicrob Chemother, 51(5), 1109-1117. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkg222
- Sampol, E., Jacquet, A., Viggiano, M., Bernini, V., Manelli, J. C., Lacarelle, B., & Durand, A. (2000). Plasma, urine and skin pharmacokinetics of cefepime in burns patients. [Clinical Trial]. J Antimicrob Chemother, 46(2), 315-317.
- Schmieder, R., & Edwards, R. (2011). Fast identification and removal of sequence contamination from genomic and metagenomic datasets. [Research Support, U.S. Gov't, Non-P.H.S.]. *PLoS One*, 6(3), e17288. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0017288
- Seemann, T. (2014). Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Bioinformatics*, *30*(14), 2068-2069. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153
- Sen, B., Bhattacharya, M., & Niyogi, S. K. (2008). In Vitro Activity of Cefpodoxime, an Expanded-Spectrum Cephalosporin, against Salmonella enterica Serotype Typhi. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 52(2), 802-803.
- Shohel, M., Rajia, S., & Hasan, I. (2014). A Cross Sectional Study on Antibiotic Resistance Pattern of Salmonella Typhi Clinical Isolates from Bangladesh. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine, 4(4), 306-311.
- Sjolund-Karlsson, M., Howie, R. L., Crump, J. A., & Whichard, J. M. (2014). Fluoroquinolone susceptibility testing of Salmonella enterica: detection of acquired resistance and selection of zone diameter breakpoints for levofloxacin and ofloxacin. [Evaluation Studies]. J Clin Microbiol, 52(3), 877-884. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02679-13
- Sneath, P. H., & Sokal, R. R. (1973). Numerical taxonomy. The principles and practice of numerical classification.
- Strahilevitz, J., Jacoby, G. A., Hooper, D. C., & Robicsek, A. (2009). Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance: a multifaceted threat. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't Research Support, U.S. Gov't, P.H.S. Review]. *Clin Microbiol Rev*, 22(4), 664-689. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00016-09
- Tamura, K., Nei, M., & Kumar, S. (2004). Prospects for inferring very large phylogenies by using the neighbor-joining method. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of* the United States of America, 101(30), 11030-11035.
- Tanwar, J. D., S.; Fatima, Z.; Hameed, S. (2014). Multidrug Resistance: An Emerging Crisis. Interdisciplinary Perspective on Infectious Diseases, 541340, 7. doi: dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/541340
- Van Meervenne, E., Van Coillie, E., Kerckhof, F. M., Devlieghere, F., Herman, L., De Gelder, L. S., . . . Boon, N. (2012). Strain-specific transfer of antibiotic resistance from an environmental plasmid to foodborne pathogens. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural

Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *J Biomed Biotechnol*, 2012, 834598. doi: 10.1155/2012/834598

- Verraes, C., Van Boxstael, S., Van Meervenne, E., Van Coillie, E., Butaye, P., Catry, B., . . . Herman, L. (2013). Antimicrobial resistance in the food chain: a review. [Review]. Int J Environ Res Public Health, 10(7), 2643-2669. doi: 10.3390/ijerph10072643
- Vo, A. T., van Duijkeren, E., Fluit, A. C., & Gaastra, W. (2007). Characteristics of extendedspectrum cephalosporin-resistant Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates from horses. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Vet Microbiol*, 124(3-4), 248-255. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2007.04.027
- Wain, J., & Kidgell, C. (2004). The Emergence of Multidrug Resistance to antimicrobial Agents for the Treament of Typhoid Fever. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine & Hygiene*, 98(7), 423-430.
- Wattam, A. R., Davis, J. J., Assaf, R., Boisvert, S., Brettin, T., Bun, C., . . . Stevens, R. L. (2017). Improvements to PATRIC, the all-bacterial Bioinformatics Database and Analysis Resource Center. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 45(D1), D535-D542. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1017 WHO. (1996). The World Health Report, Report of the Director General: WHO.
- Wiegand, I., Hilpert, K., & Hancock, R. E. (2008). Agar and broth dilution methods to determine the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antimicrobial substances. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Nat Protoc*, 3(2), 163-175. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2007.521
- Woodward, T. E., Smadel, J. E., Ley Jr., H. L., Green, R., & Mankikar, D. S. (1948). Preliminary Report on the Beneficial Effect of Chloromycetin in The Treatment of Typhoid Fever. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 29(1), 131-134.
- Zaman, M. A., Pasha, M. H., & Akhter, M. Z. (2010). Plasmid Curing of Escherichia coli Cells with Ethidium Bromide, Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate and Acridine Orange. [Short Communication]. Bangladesh Journal of Microbiology, 27(1), 28-31.
- Zankari, E., Hasman, H., Cosentino, S., Vestergaard, M., Rasmussen, S., Lund, O., . . . Larsen, M. V. (2012). Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. [Evaluation Studies Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. J Antimicrob Chemother, 67(11), 2640-2644. doi: 10.1093/jac/dks261
- Zhang, W. H., Zhang, C. Z., Liu, Z. J., Gu, X. X., Li, W., Yang, L., . . Jiang, H. X. (2017). In Vitro Development of Ciprofloxacin Resistance of Salmonella enterica Serovars Typhimurium, Enteritidis, and Indiana Isolates from Food Animals. *Microb Drug Resist*. doi: 10.1089/mdr.2016.0119