

National University of Sciences & Technology

MASTER'S THESIS WORK

We hereby recommend that the project work carried under our supervision by: **Ansar Yasmin**
Registration # 2009-NUST-MS-M&S-09

Title: **Design of Solid Propellant Grain for Boost-Sustain-Boost Rocket Motor Profile Using Different Propellants** be accepted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master in Information Technology.

Guidance and Examination Committee

Advisor/Supervisor: **Capt. Dr. Khalid Parvez** Signature: _____

Member1: **Dr. Muhammad Bilal Khan** Signature: _____

Member 2: **Dr. Mushtaq Khan** Signature: _____

Member 3: **Engg. Tahir Mahmood Khalid** Signature: _____

Declaration

This is to certify that this dissertation submitted by **Ansar Yasmin** is accepted in its present form by the Department of **Research Center for Modeling and Simulations (RCMS)**, National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST), Islamabad, Pakistan, as satisfying the dissertation requirements for the degree of **Master in Science (MS) in Computational Sciences and Engineering.**

Supervisor: _____

Dr. Prof. Khalid Parvez
HOD, RCMS, (NUST),
Islamabad

Member: _____

Dr. Muhammad Bilal Khan
Dean, SCME, (NUST),
Islamabad

Member: _____

Dr. Mushtaq Khan
SMME, (NUST),
Islamabad

Member: _____

Engg. Tahir Mahmood Khalid
RCMS, (NUST),
Islamabad

**IN THE NAME OF ALLAH
THE BENEFICENT,
THE MERCIFUL**

“Allah bears witness that none has the right to be worshipped but He, and the angels, and those having knowledge (also bear witness to this); (He is always) maintaining His Creation in justice. None has the right to be worshipped but He, the Almighty the All Wise.”

(Al-Quran 3-18)

Dedicated to
My grand Parents, my Parents, my whole family, my
Teachers
&
My Fiends

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, my utmost gratitude to **Allah Almighty** who showered His blessings by helping me and who provided me the ability and skill to accomplish this daunting and promising goal.

Furthermore a very special note of thanks and appreciation goes to my parents, brothers, sisters and my friends who prayed for me, their prayers and suggestions gave me new energy and I worked with more enthusiasm.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Prof. Khalid Parvez because without his guidance it would have not been possible for me to complete this project. His valuable assistance was an asset for me during my project.

I am highly indebted to Principal RCMS Sikandar Hayat Mirza for his guidance, kind support during our course work and my research.

I sincerely thank for my project guidance; Dean of SCME department Dr. Muhammad Bilal Khan, SMME department Dr. Mushtaq Khan and Dr. Erum Shahnawaz from NESCOM for their kind co-operation to the completion of my thesis work.

I am sincerely thankful to my co-supervisor Air commodore Tahir (RCMS, NUST) for helping me during the completion of my project.

My thanks and appreciations go to PhD. Scholar Sarah Hafeez (SCME) who encouraged and support me whenever I get dejected and depressed during my project.

I would like to thanks Mr. Waqas Ahmed (SMME) and Mr. Ahsan (SCME) for their help during my project.

Abstract

Research conducted starts from the basics of Solid fuel rocket motors (SRM). As SRM are used for various applications for their high reliability and simplicity. Shaped mass of solid fuel is known as propellant grain. As the design of a propellant grain plays vital role in solid rocket motor operation. In this study grain configurations are designed to produce boost-sustain-boost (thrust-time) profile. Three different configurations are used to obtain the required thrust-time profile. Boost-sustain-boost profiles of grain configuration using grain having different burning areas with internal burning and grain configuration in which burning takes place in two steps are compared using four type of propellants (DB, MDB, CMDB, Composite) and a grain configuration in which a grain producing dual level of thrust is used with the combination of six different burning rate propellants.

In this study the 3-D grain burn back analysis of the propellant grain is performed. Solid modeling of the 3-D propellant grain is chosen as the design methodology for predetermined burn steps. Pro Engineering, Wildfire 4.0, CAD software is used as parametric modeling tool. By changing parameters (grain length, inner diameter, fin length etc) for each web step, new grain model is created and surface area is obtained which is used to compute chamber pressure and burn rate to predict performance.

Results show that grain configurations with two step burning and grain producing dual level of thrust with different burning rate propellants combination are preferable. Comparison of propellants shows CMDB propellant is more efficient than DB, MDB, composite propellants and MDB-CMDB combination is preferable.

Table of Contents

| | |
|------------------|-----|
| Declaration | ii |
| Acknowledgements | vi |
| Abstract | vii |

1. Introduction

| | |
|---|---|
| 1.1 History ----- | 1 |
| 1.2 Performance Prediction----- | 3 |
| 1.3 Research Contents and Technical approach----- | 4 |

2. Fundamentals of Rocket Motors

| | |
|--|----|
| 2.1 Types of Rocket Motors----- | 6 |
| 2.1.1 Solid rocket motors----- | 7 |
| 2.1.2 Liquid Rocket Motors----- | 8 |
| 2.1.3 Gaseous rocket motors----- | 8 |
| 2.1.4 Hybrid Rocket motors----- | 9 |
| 2.2 Components of Solid Rocket Motors----- | 9 |
| 2.2.1 Casing----- | 10 |
| 2.2.2 Nozzle----- | 10 |
| 2.2.3 Igniter----- | 11 |
| 2.2.4 Insulation----- | 11 |
| 2.2.5 Propellant----- | 11 |

3. Ballistic Parameter and Grain Design

| | |
|-------------------------------|----|
| 3.1 Basic Terminologies----- | 15 |
| 3.2 Ballistic Parameters----- | 15 |
| 3.2.1 Chamber pressure ----- | 16 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|----|
| 3.2.2 | Burn rate----- | 16 |
| 3.2.2.1 | Burn rate relation with temperature----- | 17 |
| 3.2.3 | Mass flow rate----- | 17 |
| 3.2.4 | Thrust----- | 18 |
| 3.2.5 | Characteristic velocity----- | 18 |
| 3.2.6 | Specific and total impulse----- | 19 |
| 3.2.7 | Actual exhaust velocity----- | 20 |
| 3.3 | Grain configurations----- | 20 |
| 3.3.1 | Grain configuration with single level of thrust----- | 21 |
| 3.3.1.1 | End burner----- | 21 |
| 3.3.1.2 | Tube grain ----- | 22 |
| 3.3.1.3 | Star grain ----- | 23 |
| 3.3.2 | Grain configuration with dual level of thrust----- | 24 |
| 3.3.2.1.1 | Finocyl grain----- | 24 |
| 3.3.2.1.2 | Wagon wheel----- | 24 |

4. Methodology Adopted

| | | |
|---------|--|----|
| 4.1 | Pro-ENGINEER Wildfire 4.0 modeling----- | 27 |
| 4.2 | GUIPEP----- | 30 |
| 4.3 | TEC Plot----- | 32 |
| 4.4 | Worked example----- | 32 |
| 4.5 | Adiabatic flame temperature calculation----- | 36 |
| 4.5.1 | Computational methodology----- | 37 |
| 4.5.1.1 | Kistiakosky rule (K_W rules----- | 37 |
| 4.5.1.2 | Modified Kistiakosky rule----- | 37 |
| 4.5.1.3 | Springall-Roberts rules----- | 38 |
| 4.5.1.4 | Keshavarz rules----- | 38 |

5. Results and Discussions

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 5.1 | First configuration----- | 44 |
| 5.2 | Second Configuration----- | 53 |
| 5.3 | Third Configuration----- | 55 |
| 5.4 | Boost-sustain-boost grain design for JPL nozzle----- | 59 |
| 5.5 | Adiabatic flame temperature results----- | 67 |

6. Conclusions and Future Recommendations-----69

| | |
|------------------------|----|
| References----- | 71 |
|------------------------|----|

| | |
|----------------------|----|
| Appendix----- | 73 |
|----------------------|----|

List of Figures:-

| | |
|---|-------|
| Fig. 2.1 Types of rocket motors----- | 6 |
| Fig. 2.2 Components of solid rocket motor----- | 9 |
| Fig. 2.3 Simplified diagrams of some grain configuration----- | 13 |
| Fig. 2.4 Classification of grain according to their pressure time curve----- | 14 |
| Fig. 3.1 Some grain configurations----- | 21 |
| Fig. 3.2 End burner ----- | 22 |
| Fig. 3.3 Cylindrical grain----- | 22 |
| Fig. 3.4 Star grain----- | 23 |
| Fig. 3.5 Single level-thrust produced by different grain configuration----- | 23 |
| Fig. 3.6 Finocyl grain----- | 24 |
| Fig. 3.7 (a) Wagon wheel grain producing dual thrust----- | 25 |
| Fig. 3.7 (b) Wagon wheel grain with dual composition producing two-step thrust----- | 25 |
| Fig. 4.1 (a) Initial finocyl grain model----- | 29 |
| Fig. 4.1 (b) Finocyl grain models at different burn steps----- | 29 |
| Fig. 4.2 Screen shot of GUIPEP input----- | 30 |
| Fig. 4.3 Screen shot of GUIPEP output----- | 31 |
| Fig. 4.4 Thrust coefficient as a function of pressure ratio----- | 35 |
| Fig. 5.1 Validation results----- | 42 |
| Fig. 5.2 Examples of first grain configuration----- | 45-46 |

| | |
|--|-------|
| Fig. 5.3 Results of different designed grain configuration----- | 47-52 |
| Fig. 5.4 Finocyl grain and cylindrical grain----- | 53 |
| Fig. 5.5 Results of second grain configuration----- | 54-55 |
| Fig. 5.6 Result of finocyl grain geometry having dual composition----- | 56-57 |
| Fig. 5.7 Grain burn back analysis for third configuration----- | 58 |

List of Figures:-

| | | |
|-----------------|---|----|
| Figure.5.1 (i) | Web step vs. burn area ----- | 41 |
| Figure 5.1(ii) | Pressure vs. time----- | 42 |
| Figure 5.1(iii) | Burn area vs. time----- | 42 |
| Figure 5.3 (a) | Star of varying length-cylinder-petal grain----- | 47 |
| | i) Pressure vs. time----- | 47 |
| | ii) Thrust vs. time----- | 47 |
| | iii) Specific impulse vs. time ----- | 48 |
| Figure5.3 (b) | Star of varying length-cylinder-star of constant length----- | 48 |
| | i) Pressure vs. time----- | 48 |
| | ii) Thrust vs. time----- | 49 |
| | iii) Specific impulse vs. time ----- | 49 |
| Figure5.3 (c) | Cylinder-star-cylinder----- | 50 |
| | i) Pressure vs. time----- | 50 |
| | ii) Thrust vs. time----- | 50 |
| | iii) Specific impulse vs. time ----- | 51 |
| Figure5.3 (d) | star-cylinder-star of varing length----- | 51 |
| | i) Pressure vs. time----- | 51 |
| | ii) Thrust vs. time----- | 52 |
| | iii) Specific impulse vs. time ----- | 52 |
| Figure 5.5(i) | Pressure vs. time (Finocyl grain and cylindrical grain configuration) ----- | 54 |
| Figure5.5 (ii) | Thrust vs. time (Finocyl grain and cylindrical grain configuration) ----- | 54 |

| | | |
|------------------|---|----|
| Figure5.5 (iii) | Specific impulse vs. time (Finocyl grain and cylindrical grain configuration) ----- | 55 |
| Figure 5.6(i) | Pressure vs. time (Finocyl grain with dual composition) ----- | 56 |
| Figure5.6 (ii) | Thrust vs. time (Finocyl grain with dual composition) ----- | 57 |
| Figure5.6 (iii) | Specific impulse vs. time (Finocyl grain with dual composition----- | |
| | | 58 |
| Figure 5.9 (i) | Results of JPL nozzle Finocyl grain ----- | 62 |
| Figure 5.9 (ii) | Results of JPL nozzle Finocyl grain ----- | 62 |
| Figure 5.9 (iii) | Results of JPL nozzle Finocyl grain ----- | 63 |
| Figure 5.9 (iv) | Results of JPL nozzle Finocyl grain ----- | 63 |
| Figure 5.9 (v) | Results of JPL nozzle Finocyl grain ----- | 64 |
| Figure 5.10(i) | Results of boost-sustain-boost grain configuration of JPL nozzle----- | |
| | | 65 |
| Figure 5.10(ii) | Results of boost-sustain-boost grain configuration of JPL nozzle----- | |
| | | 66 |
| Figure 5.10(iii) | Results of boost-sustain-boost grain configuration of JPL nozzle--- | 66 |
| Figure 5.11 | Results for DB propellant----- | 67 |
| Figure 5.12 | Results for CMDB propellant----- | 68 |

List of Tables:-

| | | |
|-----------|---|----|
| Table 4.1 | Excel spread sheet created in Pro/Engineer for finocyl grain----- | 28 |
| Table 4.2 | Pro/Engineer output----- | 32 |
| Table 4.3 | Values of burning characteristics----- | 33 |
| Table 5.1 | Propellant materials properties----- | 43 |

Nomenclature

| | |
|----------------|---|
| A_b | Burn area |
| C^* | Characteristic velocity |
| A_{th} | Throat area |
| P_c | Chamber pressure |
| r_b | Burn rate |
| a | Temperature coefficient |
| n | Pressure exponent |
| AP | Ammonium perchlorate |
| Al | Aluminum |
| HTPB | Hydroxyl terminated polybutadiene |
| DB | Double base |
| MDB | Modified double base |
| CMDB | Composite modified double base |
| F | Thrust |
| I_{sp} | Specific impulse |
| D_{port} | Port diameter |
| D_{out} | Outer diameter |
| ρ_p | Propellant density |
| $\delta \ln p$ | Percent change of chamber pressure |
| δT | Degree change in propellant temperature |
| $\delta \ln r$ | Percent change in burning rate |
| π_k | Temperature sensitivity of pressure |

| | |
|--------------|--|
| σ_p | Temperature sensitivity of burning rate |
| K | Ratio of burn area to throat area |
| C_f | Thrust coefficient |
| P_a | Atmospheric pressure |
| P_e | Nozzle exit pressure |
| A_e | Exit area |
| γ | Specific heat ratio |
| R | Universal gas constant |
| g_0 | Gravitational constant |
| V_e | Actual exhaust velocity |
| T_c | Combustion chamber temperature |
| R_g | Exhaust flow specific gas constant |
| L | Length |
| GUIPEP | Graphical user interface for propellant evaluation program |
| CO_2 | Carbon dioxide |
| N_2 | Nitrogen dioxide |
| CO | Carbon monoxide |
| H_2O | Water |
| ΔH_c | Heat of combustion |
| H_f | Heat of formation |
| T_d | Adiabatic flame temperature |
| C_p | Specific heat capacity at constant pressure |
| C_v | Specific heat capacity at constant volume |