

**ASSESSING THE PHOTOLYTIC DEGRADATION
OF SULFAMETHOXAZOLE (SMX) USING FISH AS A MODEL
ORGANISM**



By

Laraib Shahid

(Reg# 00000276590)

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

In

Environmental Sciences

Institute of Environmental Sciences and Engineering (IESE)

School of Civil and Environmental Engineering (SCEE)

National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST)

Islamabad, Pakistan

(2021)

**ASSESSING THE PHOTOLYTIC DEGRADATION
OF SULFAMETHOXAZOLE (SMX) USING FISH AS A MODEL
ORGANISM**

By

Laraib Shahid

(Reg#00000276590)

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

In

Environmental Sciences

Institute of Environmental Sciences and Engineering (IESE)

School of Civil and Environmental Engineering (SCEE)

National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST)

Islamabad, Pakistan

(2021)

Annex A To. NUST Letter

No 0972/102/Exams/Thesis

Cert Dated _____ July, 2021

THESIS ACCEPTANCE CERTIFICATE

Certified that final copy of MS/MPhil thesis written by Miss **Laraib Shahid**, (Registration No. **00000276590**), of IESE-SCEE has been examined by undersigned, found complete in all respects as per NUST Statutes / Regulations, is free of plagiarism, errors, and mistakes and is accepted as partial fulfilment for award of MS/MPhil degree. It is further certified that necessary amendments as pointed out by GEC members of the scholar have also been incorporated in the said thesis.

Name of Supervisor: **Dr. Imran Hashmi**

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Signature (HOD): _____

Date: _____

Signature (Dean/Principal): _____

Date: _____

It is certified that the contents and forms of the thesis entitled

**ASSESSING THE PHOTOLYTIC DEGRADATION
OF SULFAMETHOXAZOLE (SMX) USING FISH AS A MODEL
ORGANISM**

Submitted by
Laraib Shahid

Has been found satisfactory for the requirements of the degree of Master of Science in
Environmental Sciences

Supervisor: _____

Dr. Imran Hashmi
Professor IESE, SCEE, NUST

Member: _____

Dr. Muhammad Arshad
Assistant Professor IESE, SCEE, NUST

Member: _____

Dr. Habib Nasir
Professor SNS, NUST

*I dedicate this thesis to my beloved parents
and siblings for their endless support and
encouragement*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful, all praises to Him for the strengths and blessing in completing this thesis.

*I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor, **Dr. Imran Hashmi**, Professor, IESE, SCEE, NUST for his consistent support, patience, guidance, appreciation and motivation throughout my research work. I have been extremely lucky to have a supervisor who cared so much about my work, and who responded to my questions and queries promptly.*

*I owe my heartfelt thanks to all my Guidance and Examination Committee members (GEC), **Dr. Muhammad Arshad**, Associate Professor, IESE, SCEE, NUST and **Dr. Habib Nasir**, Professor, SNS, NUST for their motivation and insightful comments.*

*In particular I would also like to acknowledge lab demonstrator Environmental Microbiology lab, **Ms. Mahwish Khalid**, and lab demonstrator Environmental Toxicology lab **Ms. Romana Khan** and Lab Technician **Mr. Muhammad Basharat**, IESE for their kind support and cooperation. My special thanks to **Ms. Nazish Iftikhar** (PhD Scholar) and **Ms. Rabeea Zafar** (PhD Scholar), for assisting me time to time whenever I needed their guidance and help.*

*And at the last but not the least, I would like to express my gratitude to my friends **Huda Kamal**, **Hina Ishaq** and **Momina Durrani** for moral support and being there for practical support whenever I needed it and for helping me to keep things in perspective.*

LARAIB SHAHID

Table of Contents

List of Tables	
List of Figures	
Abstract.....	1-2
Introduction.....	3-6
1.1 Present Study.....	5
1.2 Aims and Objectives.....	6
Literature Review.....	7-13
2.1 Background.....	7
2.2 Situation in Pakistan.....	7
2.3 The lifecycle of pharmaceuticals.....	8
2.4 Antibiotics as pollutants and their infestation in aquatic environment.....	8
2.5 Release and toxicity in the environment.....	9
2.6 Antibiotics a threat to aquaculture.....	10
2.7 Target antibiotic.....	10
2.8 Fate of SMX in environment.....	11
2.9 SMX degradation.....	12
2.10 Degradation product toxicity.....	12
2.11 Model organism Grass carp (Ctenopharyngodon idella).....	13
Materials and Methods.....	14-24
3.1 Research design.....	14
3.2 Chemicals.....	15
3.3 Sampling.....	15

3.4 Acclimation and maintenance.....	16
3.5 Experimental protocol.....	16
3.6 Dose preparation.....	17
3.7 Exposure concentrations and period.....	17
3.8 SMX degradation by direct photolysis.....	18
3.9 Physicochemical parameters of experimental tanks.....	19
3.10 Blood collection.....	19
3.11 Determination of lethal dose (LD50).....	20
3.12 Sub-Lethal toxicity of sulfamethoxazole in fish.....	20
3.13 Analytical method.....	20
3.13.1 Determination of SMX in water samples.....	20
3.14 Biochemical analysis.....	21
3.15 Toxicity assessment through Histopathology.....	22
3.15.1 Histopathology of tissues.....	22
3.15.2 Preparation of samples.....	22
3.16 In-Silico toxicity analysis.....	22
3.16.1 Selection of fish proteins.....	22
3.16.2 Protein preparation.....	23
3.16.3 Ligand preparation.....	23
3.16.4 Binding site prediction.....	24
3.16.5 Virtual screening.....	24
3.16.6 Molecular docking.....	24
3.16.7 Structural analysis and visualization.....	24

Results and Discussion.....	25-68
4.1 Lethal dose (LD50) determination.....	25
4.2 Sulfamethoxazole degradation and percent removal.....	26
4.3 Physicochemical parameters.....	28
4.3.1 pH.....	28
4.3.2 Temperature.....	28
4.3.3 Turbidity.....	29
4.3.4 Dissolved Oxygen.....	30
4.3.5 Hardness.....	31
4.4 Biochemical parameters.....	32
4.4.1 Glucose.....	32
4.4.2 Total protein.....	33
4.4.3 Triglycerides.....	35
4.4.4 Albumin.....	36
4.4.5 Calcium.....	37
4.4.6 Urea.....	38
4.5 Histopathological analysis.....	39
4.5.1 Gills.....	39
4.5.2 Liver.....	45
4.5.3 Kidney.....	50
4.5.4 Brain.....	54
4.5.5 Muscle.....	59
4.6 In-silico molecular docking.....	63

4.6.1 Protein preparation.....	63
4.6.2 Ligand preparation.....	65
Conclusions and Recommendations.....	69-70
5.1 Conclusions.....	69
5.2 Recommendations.....	70
References.....	71-79

List of Tables

Table 3.1: Morphometric parameters of selected fish.....	15
Table 3.2: Specifications of UV lamp.....	18
Table 3.3: Physiochemical parameters of the experimental tanks, lake and hatchery water.....	19
Table 3.4: Proteins selected for in-silico analysis.....	23

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Sulfamethoxazole chemical structure.....	4
Figure 2.1: The lifecycle of pharmaceuticals from production to release into the aquatic environment.....	8
Figure 2.2: Sources and contamination of sulfamethoxazole in environment.....	11
Figure 2.3: Grass carp (<i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i>).....	13
Figure 3.1: General layout of research plan.....	14
Figure 3.2: Research methodology phases.....	15
Figure 3.3: Experimental setup.....	16
Figure 3.4: SMX suspension.....	17
Figure 3.5: Sonication.....	17
Figure 3.6: UV lamp.....	18
Figure 3.7: Lamp placement in tank.....	18
Figure 3.8: UV-Vis spectrophotometer.....	21
Figure 3.9: Procedure for biochemical analysis.....	21
Figure 3.10: Fish tissues for histopathology.....	22
Figure 4.1: SMX lethal dose (LD50 mg/L) for grass carp.....	25
Figure 4.2: SMX % removal after 15 min UV degradation.....	26
Figure 4.3: SMX % removal after 30 min UV degradation.....	27
Figure 4.4: SMX % removal after 60 min UV degradation.....	27
Figure 4.5: pH of water at 100, 300 and 500 mg/L of SMX with different degradation time.....	28
Figure 4.6: Temperature of water at 100, 300 and 500 mg/L of SMX with different	

degradation time.....	29
Figure 4.7: Turbidity of water at 100, 300 and 500 mg/L of SMX with different degradation time.....	30
Figure 4.8: Dissolved oxygen in water at 100, 300 and 500 mg/L of SMX with different degradation time.....	31
Figure 4.9: Hardness of water at 100, 300 and 500 mg/L of SMX with different degradation time.....	32
Figure 4.10: Glucose (GLU) level of sulfamethoxazole (SMX) exposed fish at 100, 300 and 500 mg/L with different degradation time.....	33
Figure 4.11: Total Protein (TP) level of sulfamethoxazole (SMX) exposed fish at 100, 300 and 500 mg/L and different degradation time.....	35
Figure 4.12: Triglyceride (TRIG) level of sulfamethoxazole (SMX) exposed fish at 100, 300 and 500 mg/L and different degradation time.....	36
Figure 4.13: Albumin level of sulfamethoxazole (SMX) exposed fish at 100, 300 and 500 mg/L and different degradation time.....	37
Figure 4.14: Calcium level of sulfamethoxazole (SMX) exposed fish at 100, 300 and 500 mg/L and different degradation time.....	38
Figure 4.15: Urea level of control and sulfamethoxazole (SMX) exposed fish at 100, 300 and 500 mg/L with different degradation time.....	39
Figure 4.16: Photomicrographs of gill tissues of grass carp exposed to 100mg/L concentration of SMX.....	42
Figure 4.17: Photomicrographs of gill tissues of grass carp exposed to 300mg/L concentration of SMX.....	43
Figure 4.18: Photomicrographs of gill tissues of grass carp exposed to 500mg/L concentration of SMX.....	44

Figure 4.19: Photomicrographs of liver tissues of grass carp exposed to 100mg/L concentration of SMX.....	47
Figure 4.20: Photomicrographs of liver tissues of grass carp exposed to 300mg/L concentration of SMX.....	48
Figure 4.21: Photomicrographs of liver tissues of grass carp exposed to 500mg/L concentration of SMX.....	49
Figure 4.22: Photomicrographs of kidney tissues of grass carp exposed to 100mg/L concentration of SMX.....	51
Figure 4.23: Photomicrographs of kidney tissues of grass carp exposed to 300mg/L concentration of SMX.....	52
Figure 4.24: Photomicrographs of kidney tissues of grass carp exposed to 500mg/L concentration of SMX.....	53
Figure 4.25: Photomicrographs of brain tissues of grass carp exposed to 100mg/L concentration of SMX.....	56
Figure 4.26: Photomicrographs of brain tissues of grass carp exposed to 300mg/L concentration of SMX.....	57
Figure 4.27: Photomicrographs of brain tissues of grass carp exposed to 500mg/L concentration of SMX.....	58
Figure 4.28: Photomicrographs muscle tissues of grass carp exposed to 100mg/L concentration of SMX.....	60
Figure 4.29: Photomicrographs muscle tissues of grass carp exposed to 300mg/L concentration of SMX.....	61
Figure 4.30: Photomicrographs muscle tissues of grass carp exposed to 500mg/L concentration of SMX.....	62
Figure 4.31: 3-D structure of Heat Shock Protein 70 (HSP-70).....	63
Figure 4.32: 3-D structure of protein Tumor Necrosis Factor-Alpha (TNF- α).....	63

Figure 4.33: 3-D structure of protein Complement C3.....	64
Figure 4.34: 3-D structure of protein Interleukin-6 (IL-6).....	64
Figure 4.35: 3-D structure of protein Interleukin-10 (IL-10).....	64
Figure 4.36: 2-D structure of sulfamethoxazole.....	65
Figure 4.37: 3-D structure of sulfamethoxazole.....	65
Figure 4.38: SMX 3-D(right) and 3-D(left) interaction of SMX with the binding pockets of Heat Shock Protein-70.....	66
Figure 4.39: SMX 3-D(right) and 3-D(left) interaction of SMX with the binding pockets of Interleukin 10 protein.....	66
Figure 4.40: SMX 3-D(right) and 3-D(left) interaction of SMX with the binding pockets of Tumor Necrosis Factor Alpha.....	67
Figure 4.41: SMX 3-D(right) and 3-D(left) interaction of SMX with the binding pockets of Complement C3.....	67
Figure 4.42: SMX 3-D(right) and 3-D(left) interaction of SMX with the binding pockets of Interleukin-10.....	68