# MANAGING THE INDUSTRY/UNIVERSITY COOPERATIVE RESEARCH CENTER

### A Guide for Directors and Other Stakeholders

DENIS O. GRAY, Ph.D. DITORS

CONTRIBUTORS

Department of Psychology, North Carolina State University, NC

S. GEORGE WALTERS, M.S., M.B.A., Ph.D.

Management Studies, Rutgers University, NJ

MITCHELL FLEISCHER, Industrial Technology Institute, Ann Arbor, MI

ELIEZER GEISLER, University of Wisconsin, Whitewater, WI

HOWARD LEVINE, California College of Arts and Crafts, San Francisco, CA

KAY LOVELACE, University of North Carolina, Greensboro, NC

VIRGINIA SHAW-TAYLOR, Evaluator Emeritus, Consultant, Golden, CO

LOUIS TORNATZKY, Southern Technology Council, Research Triangle, NC



#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Managing the industry/university cooperative research center : a guide for directors and other stakeholders / edited by Denis O. Gray,

S. George Walters

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 1-57477-053-5 (pbk. : alk. paper)

- 1. Research institutes—Management—Handbooks, manuals, etc.
- University and colleges—Research—Management—Handbooks, manuals, etc.
   Research, Industrial—Management—Handbooks, manuals, etc.
   Gray, Denis O. II. Walters, S. George.
   Q180.57.M36
   1998
   001.4 '068—dc21

98-23189 CIP

#### Printed in the United States of America

Copyright © 1998 Battelle Memorial Institute. All rights reserved. This document, or parts thereof, may not be reproduced in any form without the written permission of Battelle Memorial Institute.

Battelle Press 505 King Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43201-2693, USA 614-424-6393 or 1-800-451-3543

Fax: 614-424-3819 E-mail: press@battelle.org

Website: www. battelle.org/bookstore

## CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	XV
PREFACE	xvii
CHAPTER 1	
Creating Win-Win Partnerships: Background and	
Evolution of Industry/University Cooperative Research	_
Centers Model	1
Introduction	1
Cooperative Research: An Historical Perspective I/UCRC Program and Model: A Brief History of	3
the I/UCRC Program	9
Contemporary Development	10
I/UCRC Formula for Success	12
I/UCRC Model	12
Building a Foundation: NSF's Role	15
Summary	16
References	18
CHAPTER 2	
Getting Started: Planning and Initiating a New Center	21
Introduction	21
The Center Development Process	23
Government Funding	23

-	The NSF I/UCRC Program and Model	23
		25
1	Small Business	$\frac{23}{27}$
j	· ·	28
	Beginning Self Assessment.	
1	Concept Paper	29
J	Pre-Planning Stage: Developing a Preliminary	31
	Business Plan	31
	Who's Involved in Developing a Pre-Planning	2.1
	Grant/Meeting Proposal	31
	Preparing the Pre-Planning Proposal	32
,	Review Criteria	34
]	Planning Stage: Refining and Implementing the	2.5
	Business and Marketing Plan	35
	Getting Ready: Developing Materials	35
	Preliminary Marketing	35
	The Pre-planning and Recruiting Meetings	36
	The Operations Proposal	39
	Summary	41
	Exploratory Stage	41
	Preplanning Stage	42
	Planning Stage	43
	Next Steps	44
	References	44
	Appendix 2-1: Checklist for Organizing an On-Campus	
	Symposium for Industry	46
1	Appendix 2-2: Project Proposal or Progress	
	Report Form	47
	Appendix 2-3: Directions and Sample LIFE Form Appendix 2-4: Level of Interest Feedback	48
1	Evaluation Form	50
	Appendix 2-5: Guide for Research Discussion Sessions .	51
	Appendix 2-6: I/UCRC Organization Feedback Form	52
	Appendix 2-7: Debriefing an I/UCRC Research Planning	32
1	Meeting for a New Center	53
	Appendix 2-8: List of I/UCRCs 1996–1997	54
	Appendix 2-9: Information Flow for New Research	34
1	Missions, Themes, Projects	57
	wiissions, Themes, Projects	37
CHAPTER 3		50
	Centers: Principles for Effective Organizational Structure	59 50
]	Introduction	59
	What Is Structure?	60
	Design Principle for I/UCRC Structure	61

Center Functions, Roles and Responsibilities	64
	64
	66
	68
	70
Industrial Advisory Board	71
	72
	72
	72
,	73
,	, o 74
	78
	, o 79
,	, 80
Appendix 3-1: Issues Addressed in Typical	50
	81
	83
Appendix 5-2. Sample FOCKC Membership Agreement.	33
CHAPTER 4	
	37
·	s / 87
0, 0	88
	00 89
O	งว 89
<b>1</b>	งว 90
<b>1</b>	90 90
8	90
	91
O	91
	91
	92
	93
	95
O Company of the comp	95
	96
	98
.,	98
0 0	98
	99
<u> </u>	99
	99
	00
	00
	01
Acquainting	01

Acknowledging	101
Appreciating	102
Analyzing	102
Acting	102
Learn from Failure	103
References	103
CHAPTER 5	
Planning the Cooperative Research Program	105
Strategic Planning	105
Planning and the Industry/University Relationship.	106
What Is Planning?	107
Defining a Soft Planning Approach	108
The Planning Process	110
Formulating and Programming a Research Strategy	111
Vision and Mission	111
Techniques for Developing Vision Statements	113
The Mission Statement	113
Strategy Programming	115
Defining Research Thrusts	118
Goals and Objectives	120
Pulling It All Together: The Strategic Planning Meeting.	122
Guidelines for a Successful IUC Strategic	
Planning Meeting	122
Summary and Conclusions	125
References	126
Appendix 5-1: Information Gathering for Planning	126
Appendix 5-2: Sample Agenda for Strategic	
Planning Workshop	127
· •	
CHAPTER 6	
Implementing the Cooperative Research Program	129
Introduction	129
Recruiting Colleagues: Soliciting Proposals	130
The Request For Proposal (RFP)	131
Developing Virtual Research Teams	133
Motivation	134
Summary	134
Review, Evaluation, and Selection	134
Project Review and Feedback	136
Evaluation	137
Selection	139
Structured Approach: Allocating 1,000 Points	
of Light	141

Project Management: Back to the Future.  Projects and Critical Paths on the Roadmap.  Projects, Structure, and Partnerships.  Termination of Projects.  Summary and Conclusions.  References.  Appendix 6-1: Sample Standard RFP Format.	<ul><li>142</li><li>142</li><li>142</li><li>143</li><li>143</li></ul>
CHAPTER 7	
Communications	. 145
Cooperation Requires Communication	
Communicating Within an Organization	
Information Flow Within the Organization	
Requirements for Internal Communications	
Message Quality Checklist	
Summary	
The Semi-Annual Meeting	
Planning and Preparation	
Technical Presentations	
Operational Activities	. 158
Industry Feedback	
Conducting the Meeting	. 159
The Informal Meeting	. 160
Formal Reporting Requirements	. 161
Internal Communications	. 162
External Communications	. 163
Summary	. 165
References	
Appendix 7-1: Communications—Master Calendar	. 167
Appendix 7-2: Center Semi-Annual IAB Meeting	
Checklist	
Appendix 7-3: Facility Checklist for IAB Meetings	
Appendix 7-4: Presentation Guidelines and Checklist fo	
Graduate Students	. 172
Appendix 7-5: Executive Summary for Technical	
Presentation	
Appendix 7-6: Poster Session Feedback	
Appendix 7-7: Outline for a Typical Closed Meeting	. 177
Appendix 7-8: Outline of a Typical IAB Business	
Meeting Agenda	
Appendix 7-9: Outline for New Company Interview	
Appendix 7-10: Project Proposal Form	
Appendix 7-11: Quarterly Progress Report Format	
Appendix 7-12: Appual Report Format	183

Appendix 7-13: Outlines for Contents of Center	
Brochure	185
CHAPTER 8	
Managing an I/UCRC: Control, Budgeting and Evaluation	187
Control	188
The Control Model	189
Select the Control Variables	189
Define the Appropriate Units of Measure	189
Establish a Performance Standard Based on the	
Unit of Measurement	190
Create and Implement the Measurement Tool(s)	191
Compare Actual Performance to the Established	
Standard and Interpret the Results	191
Decide on the Action Required and Follow Through	192
Summary	192
Financial Control: Budget Driven Model	192
Principles of Budgeting	193
Budgeting in an I/UCRC	194
Center Revenue: Fund Accounts	194
Center Expenses	197
Fixed Expenses: Administration	197
Research Expenses	197
The Accounting Function	200
Comparing and Interpreting Financial Activity	201
A Balance Sheet Report	201
Cost Analysis Report	201
Control Systems and Decision Making	204
Go/No-Go Control: Budgets as Planning Documents	204
Steering Control.	204
Post-action Control	204
Applying Controls for Operational Issues: Using Internal	203
Administrative Data	205
Selecting the Variable	205
Defining the Units of Measure	205
Establish Standards	206
Create and Implement Measurement Tools	206
Comparing and Interpreting	207
Actions	207
Control and Evaluation Research	208
Evaluation Research in an I/UCRC	208
The I/UCRC Evaluator	208
Using the Process and Outcome Questionnaire	
for Management Control	210

Cummary	213
Summary	213
References	214
Appendix 8-1: Evaluator's Report	215
ripportain o 1. Evaluator o report	210
CHAPTER 9	
Knowledge and Technology Transfer in Cooperative Research Settings	217
Knowledge and Technology Transfer	217
Changes in the Basis of Economic Competition	217
Is It Knowledge or Technology?	219
Planning an Operational Strategy	222
Ensuring a Meaningful Voice for Industry	222
Benefits-Sharing vs. Proprietary Interests	223 224
The Role of Communication	224
Development	226
Transfer and Use Issues in Proposal Review	220
and Project Selection	226
Transfer and Use Issues in Project Execution,	220
Review, and Oversight.	227
Transfer Issues in Communication of Findings	228
Transfer Issues in Supplementals and	
Enhancements	228
Transfer and Use Issues in Center Evaluation	229
Tactics and Implementation	229
Center Roles and Responsibilities	229
Staff Training and Development	230
Procedures	231 231
Tools for Knowledge Use and Technology Transfer.  Inventions and Intellectual Property	232
Emerging Issues	235
Next Generation Media and Communication	236
New Approaches to Project Selection and Execution	237
The New Public Policy Environment	237
New University Culture	237
Summary	238
References	239
CHAPTER 10	0.41
Center Leadership: Putting It All Together	241
Introduction	241
What Is Leadership in a Cooperative Research Center?	242
Summary	242
Outilitiary	<b>∠</b> F∪

Contents ix

Acting as an Intrapreneur	. 246
Developing a Technical Vision	
Spanning Multiple Boundaries	249
Creating the Center Research Team	251
Leadership as the I/UCRC Develops	254
Routinization of Center Operations	
Shared Leadership	
Succession	
The Importance of Self-Knowledge	. 257
Summary	
Annotated Bibliography	
References	
CHAPTER 11	
Expanding and Diversifying the Center Resource Base	
Introduction	
Life Cycles, Growth and Cooperative Research	
Strategies for Growth	
Selecting a Strategy	265
Strategies and Tactics for Center Growth and	
Diversification	. 267
Expansion of Volume	. 267
Geographic Dispersion—Multi-University	
Partnerships	
Vertical Integration	. 281
Organizational Adaptations	
Increased Size	
Multi-University Partnerships	
Different Missions, Services, and Formats	
Summary	
References	
Appendix 11-1: Subcontract Agreement	. 295
Appendix 11-2: Memorandum of Understanding	
Between My University and Your University	. 297
Appendix 11-3: Overview—Pros and Cons of Various	
Organizationally Compatible Strategies	300
ENVOI	305
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	. 309
INDEX	311

## **FIGURES**

rigure 1-1	Research Linkage Mechanisms	6		
Figure 1-2	Indicators of Success for the I/UCRC Program (as of FY1996)			
Figure 1-3	I/UCRC Faculty and Student Awards and Achievements	13		
Figure 1-4	I/UCRC Commercial Success Stories			
Figure 1-5	A Hybrid Form of IU Linkage: The I/UCRC Model	14		
Figure 2-1	I/UCRC Program Funding Criteria	25		
Figure 2-2	Building Blocks for Successful I/UCRC Start-up	26		
Figure 2-3	Concept Paper Outline	30		
Figure 2-4	Preparing the Pre-Planning Proposal	33		
Figure 2-5	NSF I/UCRC Pre-planning Grant/			
	Meeting Criteria	34		
Figure 2-6	Targeting Industry Prospects	35		
Figure 2-7 37	Typical Agenda for a Pre-planning Conference.			
Figure 2-8	Center Proposal Format	39		
Figure 2-9	Evaluation Criteria for Operations Proposal	40		

Figure 3-1	Organizational Chart for Typical NSF I/UCRC	62		
Figure 3-2	Design Principles for an I/UCRC			
Figure 3-3	Sample Job Description—Center Director			
Figure 3-4	Typical I/UCRC Research Areas			
Figure 3-5	Elements of Typical Center Membership Agreement			
Figure 3-6	Typical Publication Procedure in Center Bylaws	76		
Figure 3-7	Elements of Typical Center Bylaws	77		
Figure 3-8	Suggestions for Center Policies and Procedures Manual	79		
Figure 5-1	The Center Planning Process	108		
Figure 5-2	The Soft Planning Process	109		
Figure 5-3	Center Data-Gathering Environment	116		
Figure 5-4	Electronic Business and Technology Data Bases	118		
Figure 5-5	Sample I/UCRC Research Themes	119		
Figure 5-6	Sample Research Descriptions	121		
Figure 5-7	Sample Statement of Goals and Objectives	121		
Figure 5-8	Techniques for Developing Research Ideas, Goals and Objectives into Roadmaps	123		
Figure 6-1	Advantages and Disadvantages of Proposal Solicitation Strategies	130		
Figure 6-2	Center for Innovation Management Studies (CIMS)—A Center Without Walls	131		
Figure 6-3	Information Provided by Planning Products	132		
Figure 6-4	Review, Evaluation and Selection Process	135		
Figure 6-5	The Graphic Program for LIFE, and Meeting Effectiveness Data	138		
Figure 7-1	Information Flow Matrix	149		
Figure 7-2	The Seven Components of Communication	151		
Figure 7-3	Semi-Annual Meeting Communications			
	Matrix	156		
Figure 7-4	Typical Semi-Annual Meeting Outline	157		
Figure 7-5	Formal Reporting Communications Matrix	161		

Figure	7-6	Internal Communications Matrix	162
Figure	7-7	External Communications Matrix	164
Figure	7-8	Contents of Typical Newsletter	164
Figure	8-1	The Control Process	190
Figure	8-2	Billing Center Members	195
Figure	8-3	Typical I/UCRC Revenue Accounts	195
Figure	8-4	Sample I/UCRC Estimated Revenue Budget	196
Figure	8-5	Typical Hierarchy of I/UCRC Funds and Accounts	198
Figure	8-6	Sample Memorandum of Acknowledgment	199
Figure	8-7	Sample Center-Level Balance Sheet Budget	202
Figure	8-8	Sample Project-Level Monthly Cost Analysis.	203
Figure	8-9	Member Communication Log	206
Figure	8-10	Typical Process/Outcome Feedback Display	212
Figure	9-1	Technology Life Cycle	221
Figure	9-2	Technology Transfer Procedures Manual	231
Figure	10-1	Situations Calling for Directive, Administrative Skills	243
Figure	10-2	Participatory Leadership Situations	245
Figure	11-1	I/UCRC Life-Cycle	264
Figure	11-2	Strategies for Growth and Diversification	267
Figure	11-3	NSF-Supported Partnerships: TIE Projects and Other Awards	.278
Figure	11-4	Guidelines for an NSF-Supported Partnership	279
Figure	11-5	Educational and Human Resource Funding in NSF	282
Figure	11-6	Simple and Informal Structures	286
Figure	11-7	Multi-University Structure	287
Figure	11-8	Complex and Hybrid Structure	288
Figure	11-9	Surviving As a Contract Research Center	289
Figure	11-10	Organization Chart—Center for Environmental Engineering and Science	290

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Many colleagues in industry, government and academia were very enthusiastic in providing suggestions that were helpful in formulating the objectives and content of this book. All these individuals, including National Science Foundation (NSF) Industry/ University Cooperative Research Center (I/UCRC) program managers, 50 center directors, and 35 evaluators, have our appreciation and thanks. A list of the NSF I/UCRCs can be found in Appendix 2-8.

Special acknowledgment must be made of the support given by the National Science Foundation under award EEC-9222356 and by Dr. Alex Schwarzkopf, Program Coordinator of the NSF-I/UCRC program for the development of an earlier version of this book.

Contributions were also made by an Editorial Review Board which reviewed individual chapters. The Board included Dr. Schwarzkopf and seven I/UCRC directors: Dr. Alden Bean, Lehigh University, Center for Innovation Management Studies; Dr. Jerome Congleton, Texas A&M, Center in Ergonomics; Dr. Arlene Garrison, University of Tennessee, Center for Measurement and Control Engineering; Professor Edward Haug, University of Iowa, Center for Virtual Proving Ground Simulation: Mechanical and Electro-Mechanical Systems; Dr. Dale Niesz, Rutgers University, Center for Ceramic Research; Dr. Ira Pense, Director Emeritus, Georgia Institute of Technology, Center for Material Handling/

Logistics; Dr. Daniel Watts, New Jersey Institute of Technology, Center for Emission Reduction Research.

Other editorial assistance was provided by Courtland Lewis, Melbourne, Florida; Arlene Horowitz, Editor Emeritus, New Jersey Institute of Technology/HSMRC Newsletter; Professor Jim Swindall, Director, Questor Centre for Environmental Research, Queens University, Belfast, Northern Ireland; and Cheryl Cathey, NSF I/UCRC Program.

Research assistance was provided by graduate students Mark Linblad and Stephanie Tarant, at North Carolina State University, Raleigh, and Robert Mastice at the New Jersey Institute of Technology.

Additionally, we express our grateful appreciation to Dr. Saul K. Fenster, President, New Jersey Institute of Technology (NJIT) and Dr. Gary Thomas, Provost, NJIT for delivery of the copyright to the editors, and to Dr. Richard Magee, Executive Director of the I/UCRC for Hazardous and Toxic Substances at New Jersey Institute of Technology. He provided insights on growing and managing centers. He also made available research space and resources for this project, as well as the expertise of center Assistant Director Maggie Griscavage, who managed the coordination, assembly and preparation of our original manuscript.

Any opinions, findings, conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the project sponsors.

### PREFACE

DENIS O. GRAY, North Carolina State University, Raleigh
S. GEORGE WALTERS, Professor Emeritus, Rutgers University, NJ

In the early 1980s we became involved in the evaluation of a small initiative supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF), the Industry/University Cooperative Research Centers (I/UCRC) Program. A primary objective of the program was to see if NSF could replicate the successful industry-university partnership it had produced in the pioneering Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) Polymer Processing Center. Several years ago, we looked back on this humble beginning and marveled at what this modestly funded program had accomplished.

More than 80 cooperative research centers involving over 100 universities had been created and 55 of those centers were still in operation! With \$4 million in NSF funding annually, these centers supported 600 faculty and 1,000 students, attracted 600 industrial members, and generated an annual budget of \$60 million per year. Remarkably, feedback indicated both industry and university participants felt they benefitted from these partnerships. We also found that individual industry members can leverage their own typical \$30,000 membership fee at least ten, or even 30 times or more in funded center research.

More importantly, I/UCRC surveys revealed that the research knowledge generated by these centers triggers an additional \$100 million in new industry research activities. This represents a 1.66 precompetitive research dollar multiplier and shows IUCRC research results are good enough to invest in.

The I/UCRC program has also helped pave the way for several larger center-based programs, including Engineering Research Centers, Science and Technology Centers, and state I/UCRCs. As we were quoted in *Chemical Engineering News* (January 1994) the NSF I/UCRC program is one of "the most significant pieces of social technology for managing cooperative research to emerge from the National Science Foundation."

Consistent with this view, Richard Cyert (1993), President Emeritus of Carnegie Mellon University, has said these centers have had a major impact on the "relevance to competitiveness" of academic research in the U.S. and "are models that could be used in the management area to a great advantage."

As we shared our observations on the I/UCRC model with our colleagues, we realized there was great interest both inside and outside the I/UCRC program in understanding what factors accounted for the I/UCRC model's effectiveness and, more importantly, how to emulate that success. Center Directors appeared to have an insatiable interest in learning how to improve their performance to the level achieved by "the best in class" centers. At the same time, other stakeholders including university, industry and government representatives from across the U.S. and abroad continually approached I/UCRC participants with questions about how to start their own centers. Persuaded that there would be an interest in learning how to build and manage lasting "win-win" partnerships between industry and university, we set out to write this book.

In preparing this volume we have gone to great lengths to incorporate input from a variety of relevant sources and perspectives. Detailed chapter outlines were reviewed by 30 I/UCRC evaluators and 50 Center Directors. Seven evaluators with six to 15 years of program experience co-authored the chapters. These contributors had complete access to some 14 years of NSF industrial and faculty center participants surveys and historical profiles. They also held discussions with company members, directors, faculty and student researchers. After several iterations, individual chapters were reviewed by an Editorial Review Board of seven successful Center Directors. The NSF-I/UCRC Senior Program Director reviewed all chapters. Additional reviews were provided by Battelle Press.

While our strategy emphasized experience-based knowledge and principles accumulated over 20 years of NSF I/UCRC experimentation, each of our eleven chapters begins with an introduction which provides a literature-based conceptual framework for the principles and practices which follow. The chapters include: (1) The Background and Evolution of the I/UCRC model, (2) Planning and Establishing an I/UCRC, (3) The Structure and Protocols of an I/UCRC, (4) Recruiting and Maintaining Membership in an I/UCRC, (5) Planning Center Research, (6) Implementing the Cooperative Research Program, (7) Knowledge and Technology Transfer, (8) Control, Budgeting, and Evaluation, (9) Communication, (10) Leadership, and (11) Growth and Diversification. All of the chapters have been written to meet the needs of university, industry and government stakeholders.

It is our belief that a university science or engineering professor with entrepreneurial skill and committed faculty and industry colleagues can achieve successful, lasting and mutually-beneficial partnerships by building and sustaining I/UCRC-type centers. We hope that this book will facilitate and support such efforts.

#### **REFERENCES**

Cyert, R.M. *Universities, competitiveness, and TQM: A plan of action for the year 2000.* Public Administration Quarterly, Spring, 1993.