AGE INVARIANT FACE RECOGNITION (AIFR)



By

SAJJAD HAIDER MUHAMMAD UMAIR AAMIR MUHAMMAD ARSLAN UL HAQ ALI TAHIR KHAWAJA

Supervised by: BRIG ADIL MASOOD SIDDIQUI, PHD

Submitted to the faculty of Department of Electrical Engineering, Military College of Signals, National University of Sciences and Technology, Islamabad, in partial fulfillment for the requirements of B.E Degree in Electrical (Telecom) Engineering.

June 2022

In the name of ALLAH, the Most benevolent, the Most Courteous

CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTNESS AND APPROVAL

This is to officially state that the thesis work contained in this report

"AGE INVARIANT FACE RECOGNITION"

is carried out by

<u>SAJJAD HAIDER</u> <u>MUHAMMAD UMAIR AAMIR</u> <u>MUHAMMAD ARSLAN UL HAQ</u> <u>ALI TAHIR KHAWAJA</u>

under my supervision and that in my judgment, it is fully ample, in scope and excellence, for the degree of Bachelor of Electrical (Telecom.) Engineering in Military College of Signals, National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST), Islamabad.

Approved by

Supervisor BRIG ADIL MASOOD SIDDIQUI, PHD Department of EE, MCS

Date: _____

DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY

We hereby declare that no portion of work presented in this thesis has been submitted in support of another award or qualification in either this institute or anywhere else.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Allah Subhan'Wa'Tala is the sole guidance in all domains.

Our parents, colleagues and most of all supervisor, **BRIG ADIL MASOOD SIDDIQUI**,

PHD

without your guidance.

The group members, who through all adversities worked steadfastly.

Plagiarism Certificate (Turnitin Report)

This thesis has _____ similarity index. Turnitin report endorsed by Supervisor is attached.

SAJJAD HAIDER Student 1 Name 00000280741

MUHAMMAD UMAIR AAMIR

Student 2 Name 00000280751

MUHAMMAD ARSLAN UL HAQ

Student 3 Name 00000280738

ALI TAHIR KHAWAJA Student 4 Name

00000280740

Signature of Supervisor

Abstract

Facial recognition is employed in multiple methods in security situations such as surveillance, intelligence science, automatic image annotation, combating child trafficking and identifying criminals. Many of the facial recognition techniques developed in the past often show good results in confined space. However, many unexpected problems arise when applying these techniques to practical situations such as poor facial expressions, temporary disparities, and intentional or unintentional facial expressions in facial features. In addition, fluctuations in facial expression and the passage of time lead to the introduction of significant interclass variations, which also make facial recognition a challenging task. Data augmentation of age invariant face recognition (AIFR). Our procedure identify pictures through Viola Jones face detector and detects via the well-configured AIFR Convolutional Neural Network (CNN). During the transfer study, the pre-trained CNN learns the most consistent features of the years from the facial images of a few subjects to well-defined various ages' facial expressions. We related the results of nine CNN 2Ds which were trained beforehand, at AIFR, having different sets of learning factors, based on the accuracy of sections and the timing of the selection of an accurate and fast and, effective model. Trials were made on the aging data-base of the AIFR face recognition and visual network (FG-NET-AD). The promising results obtained in this test indicate the effectiveness of our proposed model. In detailed comparisons made with modern AIFR methods, our proposed model excels in all aspects.

The research work done in this thesis has also been developed to incorporate concealing flexibility and AIFR. For this purpose detailed tests have been performed on six challenging face shields and performance comparisons of four pre-trained CNNs to select the appropriate model for both AIFR and Disguise invariant face recognition (DIFR).

Keywords: Convolutional Neural Network, Deep Learning, Flexible Face Recognition Age, Disguise Face Recognition, Enhanced Data

| | Contents | |
|--------------|--|----|
| 1 | Introduction1 | |
| 1.1 | Problem Context and Outline | 1 |
| 1.2 | Age Invariant Face Recognition (AIFR) | 2 |
| 1.3 | Disguise Invariant Face Recognition (DIFR) | 3 |
| 1.4 | Deep Learning | |
| 1.5 | Research Objectives | 4 |
| 1.6 | Thesis Organization | 5 |
| 2 | Literature Review6 | |
| 2.1 | Age Invariant Face Recognition (AIFR) | 6 |
| 2.1.1 | Generative and Discriminative approach | 7 |
| 2.1.2 | Deep Learning Based AIFR | 9 |
| 2.1.3 | Limitations of Existing AIFR Models | 9 |
| 2.2 | Disguise Invariant Face Recognition (DIFR) | |
| 2.2.1 | Face Recognition in Makeup-Induced Disguise | 11 |
| 2.2.2 | Face Recognition in Accessories-Based Disguise | |
| 2.2.3 | Face Recognition in Makeup and Accessories Based Hybrid Disguise13 | |
| 2.2.4 | Limitations of Existing DIFR Models | 14 |
| 2.3 | Deep Learning | |
| 2.3.1 | Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) | |
| 2.4 | Data Augmentation | 16 |
| 2.5 | Viola-Jones Face detection | 17 |
| | | |
| 3 | Datasets and Preprocessing | |
| 3.1 | FG-NET-AD Dataset | |
| 3.2 | DFW Dataset | |
| 3.3 | ID V1 Dataset | |
| 3.4 | PolyU Disguise and Makeup Faces Dataset | |
| 3.5 | Makeup Datasets | |
| 3.6 | Preprocessing | |
| 4 | Methodology | |
| 4.1 | Proposed Technique | |
| 4.2 | Pre-trained CNNs. | |
| 4.5 | Matiab Implementation | |
| 4.5.1 | Data Preparation | |
| 4.3.2 | Pretrained UNN Training/Testing. | |
| 4.4 | Designed Mattab Application | |
| 51 | Kesuits and Discussions | |
| 5.1 | Experimental Protocol & Setup | |
| 5.2 | Experimental Results & Evaluation of Pre-trained CNNs | |
| 5.2.1 | Experimental Results and Evaluation - AIFK | |
| 5.2.2 | Darformance Comparison with the Existing Methods | |
| 5.3 5.2.1 | Performance Comparison with the Existing Methods. | |
| 520 | Performance Comparison with the Existing Methods - AIFK | |
| 5.5.2 5 A | Pienoscien | |
| 5.4 | DISCUSSIOII | |

Conclusion44 Publications45 References46

List of Figures

| 2.1 | Sample images of the two subjects revealing the large intra-class variations among subjects in the FG-NET-AD database. | 7 | | |
|------|--|--------|--|--|
| 2.2 | Complexities associated with conventional face recognition systems. (a) Changes in face appearance due to m akeup. (b) Three different images of Adam Sandler show high intra- | | | |
| | class dissimilarities and three images of different subjects with appearance similar to Adam Sandler sh w inter- | ow lo | | |
| | class variations of different subjects. (c) One subject with different types of intense makeup, cosmetics ar | nd acc | | |
| | essories making it very complex to recognize the subject | | | |
| 2.3 | Typical CNN architecture | i | | |
| 2.4 | Image augmentation techniques | , | | |
| 2.5 | Viola Jones face detection | , | | |
| 3.1 | Example face images from the YMU, VMU, ID V1 and PolyU datasets | 1 | | |
| 3.2 | Illustration of Dataset pre-processing steps | 2 | | |
| 4.1 | Workflow of the proposed framework | Ļ | | |
| 4.2 | Data preparation steps in Matlab | 5 | | |
| 4.3 | CNN Training/testing steps in Matlab. | , | | |
| 4.4 | Layout of designed matlab app. 29 |) | | |
| 5.1 | Comparative analysis of pretrained models for AIFR based on classification accuracy, time complexity and utational complexity | comp | | |
| 5.2 | (a) Training accuracy plots and (b) training loss plots of all pretrained CNNs (AIFR) | | | |
| 5.3 | Accuracy of Resnet-18 correlated to various train-test ratios (AIFR) | ; | | |
| 5.4 | Confusion matrix of Resnet-18 (AIFR) | ; | | |
| 5.5 | (a) Training accuracy plots and (b) training loss plots of the four pre- trained CNNs for DFW & ID V1 datasets (DIFR). | | | |
| 5.6 | (a) Training accuracy plots and (b) training loss plots of the four pre- trained CNNs for MIFS & VMUdatasets (DIFR). | | | |
| 5.7 | (a) Training accuracy plots and (b) training loss plots of the four pre- trained CNNs for YMU & PolyUdatasets (DIFR) | i | | |
| 5.8 | Comparison of average test accuracy of each pre- trained network with its execution time for a single input image in DFW, ID V1, MIFS & VMU datasets R). | s (DIF | | |
| 5.9 | Comparison of average test accuracy of each pre- | | | |
| | trained network with its execution time for a single input image in YMU & PolyU datasets (DIFR) | 8 | | |
| 5.10 | Confusion matrices of Resnet-18 for alldatasets (DIFR) |) | | |
| 5.11 | Accuracy of proposed method with Resnet-18 using different train-test ratios for all datasets (DIFR). 39 |) | | |

List of Tables

| 3.1 | Detail of makeup datasets used. | 20 |
|-----|---|----|
| 4.1 | Details of Pre-trained CNNs used for AIFR. | 25 |
| 4.2 | Details of Pre-trained CNNs used for DIFR. | 25 |
| 5.1 | Hyperparameters used for Transfer Learning | 30 |
| 5.2 | Comparison of the proposed method with existing methods on FG-NET- AD database - AIFR | 40 |
| 5.3 | Comparison of proposed method trained on DFW & ID V1 dataset with the existing techniques (DIFR). | 41 |
| 5.4 | Comparison of proposed method trained on YMU & VMU dataset with the existing techniques (DIFR). | 42 |
| 5.5 | Results of proposed method on PolyLL Disguise and Makeup Faces & MIES datasets (DIFR) | 43 |

List of Abbreviations and Symbols

Abbreviations

| CNN | Convolutional Neural Network |
|------|-------------------------------------|
| AIFR | Age Invariant Face Recognition |
| DIFR | Disguise Invariant Face Recognition |
| VJ | Voila Johns |
| R | Resnet |

CHAPTER 1

Introduction

1.1 Problem Context and Outline

Facial identification often classified as a technological method that recognizes an individual using different face parameters. Unlike various prevalent biometrics like fingerprints, faces allow for the recognition of people without the involvement of any human activity, making it possible to see many people at once in gatherings. Present environment is enriched in technology and data, facial identification utilizes multiple ways such as cell phone and social media applications, airport security camera photo age and surveillance systems installed in high security areas. Facial study started becoming popular after the development of the Eigen face method [1]. The original method used by the scientists included complete techniques which applied universal knowledge of face, using a few face parameters. Face society was dominated by this method for some time, but, these complete theoretical methods failed under the variability of the face. A new concept basing on local features was developed in the start of 21st century to overcome the problems encountered on the perfect road. Gabor-based design [2] and facial definition with local binary patterns [3] have achieved better outcomes, superior quality and enhanced performance using its recent multi-level expansion [4]. And a good sense of familiarity. Landmarks based on learning are added in [5] and [6]. To achieve improved diversity, studies were carried on native filters while keeping coherence intact. But these methods were unable to secure stable results when in case of random variance of face expression. Major drawbacks in theses traditional techniques, whether it is an allencompassing approach, a ground-based feature or a learning-based definition process is target only one type of variation in face expressions such as illumination, posture, and speech. , or hide them. A combined approach to tackling such unnecessary problems does not exist. These technological malfunctions often lead to poor performance or false alarm signals by face recognitions. An innovation in computer vision study happened when Alex Net [7] won the contest of image net, a popular Convolutional Neural Network (CNN). The emphasis of study on the facial recognition has changed dramatically in Deep learning methods, since the achievement of Deep Face's unprecedented accuracy [8] in the Wild Beast on the Wild benchmark [9]. Major efforts by the research community have led to significant improvements in various aspects of facial recognition such as poor quality photos, various lighting issues, opposite face recognition, age-appropriate and most important facial recognition and concealment of consistent face identification. Using convolutional neural networks, improved accuracy and firmness have been conveyed for posture consistency [10,11] and age variability [12] facial recognition.

Although tremendous development in various facial identification areas, an integrated framework that addresses all the challenges faced regardless of age, concealment, posture, tone or light variation can play a significant part of facial identification process.

1.2 Age Invariant Face Recognition (AIFR)

AIFR is a developing study issue, and its implementation can be seen in various everyday programs like criminal recognition and passport authentication, where strong AIFR is needed. The AIFR program is first trained in the database of specific individuals to learn the facial features of those individuals. Once trained, the AIFR system can identify those people throughout their lives. A major challenge for AIFR is the removal of solid facial features, as facial features change with age. These changes in facial features increase the internal distortion of the subject, making the right identification difficult. Although much research has been done on this field, AIFR is a exciting problem because of internal problems linked to facial features due to the aging process.

1.3 Disguise Invariant Face Recognition (DIFR)

DIFR combines problems of subtle face recognition with the subtle variation in facial expressions. The accuracy of the recognition and operation of the facial identification system is greatly reduced due to the unintentional masking. These can also be classified into cosmetics, hidden facial accessories with a variety of cosmetics tools which alter face parameters, which makes differentiation difficult in regards to awareness systems. Face makeover can modify the length or proportions of face parameters such as eyes and lips and change skin color and concerts. Different forms of social formation also develop problems with facial identification processors. Likewise, when various add-ons are made such as bandanas, masks and glasses it leads to wrong separation of facial features. Multiple cases came to highlight where criminals have tried to cheat the systems of recognition using multiple above mentioned disguises after commenting crimes.

1.4 Deep Learning

Deep learning is a powerful tool for processing large-scale training data sets. It automatically learns the best features from the database. Deep learning has gained a tremendous popularity in computer-assisted visualization because in classifying latest Deep learning methods appear to be more precise than in humans. In addition, the length of training required for Deep learning areas has been significantly reduced by the use of Graphics Unit Units. Neural networks trained beforehand and large data sets with labeled data can now be accessed freely. CNN is a deep modern learning framework that is widely used in image recognition activities because it is better suited to image data. CNN output includes maps using convolutional filters and performs image conversion. CNN is a widely utilized as it extracts elements that are independent of image data and is virtually unaffected by light, brightness or change of position [13]. In the case of facial recognition, it is virtually unchanged in age, position and alignment of the face. Unlike conventional CNN architecture, a well-trained pre-configured networks

from scratch using transmission knowledge is less complex and efficient in comparison to a self-made system. Moreover results obtained from CNNs trained beforehand are more efficient without the need of larges data bases.

1.5 Research Objectives

The research work in this thesis initially began to cover only the AIFR. In the later stages the focus of the study was enhanced by the inclusion of DIFR and AIFR.

The purpose of the research for this thesis is to improve the effectiveness of present facial recognition strategy for deep facial recognition beyond the present restrictions of facial identification/ disguise / age problems. The efficiency of deep learning means with additional audio built AIFR / DIFR sample was tested. Using referral learning, pre-trained CNN learns common features of age-obscure / static images from the face photos of a few people to better recognize them throughout their life and under face changes. The proposed method detects the photos utilizing the Viola Jones face detector and scans the photos through the CNN AIFR / DIFR. Wide-ranging testing has been performed on the FG-NET-AD age variant dataset and six unrestricted data sets of hidden facial photos that ensure the efficiency of this used process.

1.6 Thesis Organization

Chapter 1 introduces consistent facial attention / age, deep learning and your performance. Chapter 2 is devoted to the literature review of the various sections and the various aspects of the thesis, which include understanding the research problem and some key concepts. In Chapter 3, the data sets are deliberated comprehensively. Chapter 4 deliberates upon accepted method of consistent face recognition / age using deep Learning and a brief description Matlab app developed for live display. Chapter 5 presents and compares the detailed assessment results with the discussion, following the deduction and impending prospects of the project.

CHAPTER 2

Literature Review

2.1 Age Invariant Face Recognition (AIFR)

AIFR is among the maximum critical computer imaginative and prescient complications. Its utility areas include travel document authentication, surveillance methods, and disappeared persons' identification. А really perfect AIFR device learns discriminative capabilities from some pictures of a man or woman and as soon as trained it has the capacity to identify that character at some stage in his lifespan no matter the facial versions occurring because of converting age. Due to its great application and applications, AIFR has won the eye of researchers around the world. However, the notwithstanding improvement of the face recognition and finding methods at some point of the beyond era, AIFR remains a key test. This endeavor can be recognized to the subsequent inherent hitches of the ageing procedure:

a) The system of facial getting older tracks a difficult progression that impacts the shade, surface, and shape of a face.

b) The ageing system of all of us tracks distinct arrangements, it's relatively linked to the society, sexes, climate changes and life style.

Among different ages, the growing older method tracks numerous marks. Within c) the younger ages, facial aging normally takes place with shape modifications in dimensions of the nostril, cheeks, and jawbone which might be extra liable to variations. Whilst during the older ages, huge texture modifications which include pores skin colour and or crinkles indicates the facial growing older. The mentioned difficulties of the ageing manner are emphasized in Figure2.1, in which intra subject movement reputation community getting older dataset (FG-NET-AD) database [14].



Figure 2.1

2.1.1 Generative and Discriminative approach

Normally, AIFR may be labeled as generative model-based AIFR and discriminative features-based AIFR. In generative model-based AIFR strategies a 3 dimensions or 2Dimensions ageing model is to build blend used facial snaps. These approaches are deployed to catch up on ageing system to make facial identification tough; but, techniques which are based on generative AIFR are narrow owing to their extraordinary calculating fee and secure assumptions. Lately, numerous strategies which are based on discriminative AIFR are conquer the generative-based techniques. proposed to drawbacks of Among discriminative techniques, diverse local and global capabilities which might be robust towards brilliance, posture, and exposure differences are taken out from the faces and then sent to the classifiers.

In 1993, young et al.[15] formulated an AIFR version which brought about the first wave of attentiveness throughout different choices which

includes pattern identification, computer ideas, neuroscience, and photograph handling. The author used wrinkle study and geometric proportions of important facial structures to sort 10 | P a g e

the snap shots into three courses: seniors, teens, and infants. Though, owing to the inadequate and thoroughly decided database, this version could not get passed to simplify. Other studies have figured multiscale LBP and SIFT capabilities from facial photographs and hired multi feature discriminative analysis for dimensionaly compact the characteristic set [16]. Afterward, Bereta et al. [17] extended the model proposed in [16] and implemented LBP to the Gabor phase and Gabor magnitude pictures. [18] reflected the grade of growing Lanitis et al. older effects on numerous face areas. In researches, the idea of age-invariant the and sensitive features were actualized through extracting numerous features from more than one regions on the face and with the aid of concerning dispersed characteristic vectors from changing age classes. The researcher made the arguement that the lower face area is more prone to the effects of ageing in contrast to the upper portion. Moreover, Juefei et al. [19] tries the specific face place for extracting ageinvariant capabilities. The primary preprocessing level is used to eradicate igniting fixtures and pose variant consequences, successively observed by means of Walsh– Hadamard transform-encoded Local Binary Patterns (WLBP) to attain a feature vector.

As a substitute of consuming records from a specific place, a number of scientists have considered on integration of facts from diverse face areas. LBP descriptors for face, binocular, mouth, and eye areas are removed through researchers in [20]. Singh et al.[21] normalized facial take a look at pictures to a not unusual area by way of remodeling them into polar synchronization to reduce ageing-associated changes and used Gabor-based totally functions to recognize. Lu et al. [22] deliberated on conditions in which a simplest unique training model according to difficulty is available. The training pictures are segregated into a range of overlapping patches, and the most unique skills are identify which are then hired in Discriminative Multi-Manifold Evaluation (DMMA). The technique in [23] used triangles observed on the face to identify geometrical capabilities. Significant matrices **11** | P a g e

denoted by mentioned functions were used in craniofacial anthropology for identifying development and character designs, and affirming tentative effects were attained. Sethuram [24] induct the fusion facial pictures which et al. of went from age development to deal with the problem beneath observe, alternatively to the outline and utilization of age-invariant capabilities. To deal with the problem of facial recognition and verification, Ling et al.[25] hired a distinct study approach for expansion of facial identification information of topics. Wu et al. [26] projected a method that includes craniofacial example of advance stronger with a set of linear equations of growth limitations. While doing the authentication technique, take a look at face, the form is altered to a mentioned form of face to confirm the closeness with the craniofacial example of growth. This closeness between two faces shows that the photo belongs to same individual or the different ones.

2.1.2 Deep Learning Based AIFR

A 2nd trend of attention has regarded these days with the enhanced demand of using deep learning. During the last 10 years, deep learning has gained magnificent achievements in detecting much complicated objects [27,28], category [29–32], and face recognition issues [8,33–36]. Owing to its impressive function extracting capabilities, deep learning has an intrinsic

aptitude to research and comprehend composite datasets. Surprisingly, deep learningbased AIFR fashions are confined in variety till yet broadly speaking because of the absence of suitable AIFR database. With a purpose to educate a strong and actual deep learning, a to include multiple subjects, every database have single subject must have diverse pictures spanning over the huge age section. A model from the very start, that incorporated deep learning for AIFR, was projected by means of Wen et al. [37]. To know age-invariant vigorous and operational face functions, Latent Factor guided by Convolutional Neural Network (LF-CNN) is used to get excellent effects. Xu et al. [38] used auto encoders to make AIFR and projected a new network named as Coupled Auto-Encoder Networks (CAN) that incorporated a nonlinear issue analysis for effective characteristic abstraction. Li et al. [39] supplied a hierarchical studying version the use of novel function descriptor Local Pattern Selection (LPS). Currently, deep neural networks have shown positive frameworks with their excessive precision guesses in photograph class and reputation responsibilities. Strong and powerful face

verification approach changed into supplied in [40] with the aid of the outside feature addition in deep models.

2.1.3 Limitations of Existing AIFR Models

Researchers inside the processes mentioned in the above sections have attempted to obtain vigorous and powerful AIFR; however,

their researches are constrained owing to the below mentioned motives

a) Training and evaluating the data on minor datasets.

b) Current AIFR fashions flop to simplify because of the good sized classification and complexity of AIFR and consequently get affected from negative simplification abilities.

c) The similarity among the users and variations with in the subject among faces forces the classification even more complicated.

2.2 Disguise Invariant Face Recognition (DIFR)

Automatic facial identification is a complex and critical task, the complexity of that is further enhanced in recognizing faces under disguises. In spite of the expansion of cutting edge facial recognition technology during last decade, DIFR is still a thoughtprovoking job owing to the in-built difficulties related

to facial reputation. These difficulties can be connected to

a) Regular changes in facial look over time, consisting of moustaches or beard, add on to the intra-elegance distinctions for a precise issue.

b) Facial hide, in which someone impersonates as another man or woman, helps to lower the inter-elegance distinctions among variant subjects.

c) Make-up / cosmetics alternate the figure of positive facial features.

d) Facial getting old changing the feel, shade, and arrangement of a facial features.

The discussed difficulties are confirmed in Figure 2.2.

By rising need of greater and automated protection and observation systems, scientists have initiated exploring this difficult task of facial recognition. In the closing a long time, scientists have projected number of methods to detect disguise and identify

faces under disguise. Martinez [41] proposed a probabilistic approach for identifying faces which might be partly obstructed and below changing expressions. Subspace tool is used in the function area for fixing the localization hassle and to counter the obstruction issue face was divided into a couple of local areas which be examined separation. can in To compare facial under changing disguises, Ramanathan et al. [42] used Principal Component Analysis (PCA) with Mahalanobis cosine distance. An other technique using PCA recognition facial for of obstructed in movie observation become projected by using Kim et al. [43]. Singh et al. [44] projected a way to correctly authenticate concealed faces with the use 2Dimensions log polar Gabor functions. [45] applied photograph Gabor-Yang and Zhang functions for organization primarily founded on scarce depiction. Different methods making use of different texture descriptors [46,47] for detecting disguised and obstructed faces have additionally been projected.



(c) Face images of the same subject with different makeup and accessories

Figure 2.2: Complications related to conservative facial Identification systems. (a) Differences in facial look owing to makeup. (b) 3x distinct photos of Adamm Sandlar depict great within the class variations and 3x photos of variant subjects with appearance parallel to Adamm Sandlar depict little among the different classes variations of dissimilar subjects. (c) A single subject with variant sorts of heavy makeup, cosmetics and gadgets making it very difficult to identify the subject and satellite target detection [53]. Literature review w.r.t disguised face recognition was carried out in 3x sets of disguises that include makeup-persuaded disguise, gadgets-persuaded disguise and a combination of makeup and accessories persuaded disguise.

2.2.1 Face Recognition in Makeup-Induced Disguise

By applying heavy makeup positive facial structures are altered as shown in Figure 3.1 and thus, it effects the facial recognition so much that it could not move **16** | P a g e

ahead. During the last decade, researchers have projected a number of methodologies and over with the promising accuracies for make-up detection [54–57], though, face recognition beneath heavy make-up stays a hard challenge. Guo et al. [58] at the start made a dataset with 500 facial features, with photograph of every concern captured with make-up as well as without makeup. In a while, the researcher projected a version that uses functions taken out from local patches to carry out connection charting between makeup and non-makeup faces and shows an accuracy in the test of 80%.

its vigorous and dependable feature pulling With out skills, deep learning has the functionality to positively analyze and identify complicated datasets. Owing to the inbuilt complications related to DIFR, models based on deep learning on this specific situation are pretty restrained. Chen at al. [59] projected an ideal which uses a collection of patch-primarily based local Gradient Gabor sample, HGORM and Densely Sampled -LBP features with weight gaining knowledge of, patch sampling and Collaborative based totally or Sparse-based illustration Classifiers. The technique done proves to be 90% accurate at the YMU dataset. Li at al. [60] projected a group method wherein they first generated without makeup facial snap shots from ones with make-up after which lessen the sensing hole between the two photos via the use of two bistage argumentative networks incorporated among an endwise deep network. A unique accuracy of 95% was achieved on dataset supplied in [58]. Sun et al. [61] projected a weakly managed method using a CNN pre-trained on movies of the internet. Minor makeup datasets have been incorporated for effectively tuning the structure and a balloting method was used to combine different facial elements. An accuracy of 84.5% is achieved the dataset offered in at [58]. Sajid et al. [62] claimed that removing identification precise capabilities from faces below heavy make-up the use of CNNs with switch mastering isn't always tough but also provides bad accuracy. Consequently, to attain make-up invariance, data augmentation was **17** | P a g e

recommended that is make-up style aware. They executed an accuracy of 93% on YMU and 95% on VMU datasets.

2.2.2 Face Recognition in Accessories-Based Disguise

When a facemask is put on the face it conceals the mouth while eyes are veiled via sun shades. Similarly, other gadgets inclusive of hats, bandanas, long hair, beard or mustaches make difficult to understand facial capabilities as seen in Figure 3.1, for this reason the precision among face recognition method cannot remain constant and always keep on changing. Dhamecha et al. [63] carried out an evaluation among a pc and human accuracy for disguised facial recognition. They anticipated a framework Anavrta, which inside the 1st stage splits facial shots into patches after which categorizes those patches into classes, biometric and non-biometric. In the 2nd phase, biometric patches are accorded via LBP based facial recognition procedure. A Genuine Acceptance Rate (GAR) was executed giving results of 15.9 and 37.9 @ 2% and 11% False Acceptance Rate (FAR) on V1 dataset for identification. Kohli et al [64] projected a transfer leaning framework that totally functions for incorporated inception-net based DIFR. By using middle loss for training that inserts functions of the same elegance near together, they have been able to get GAR of 72.54 and 39.8 at some distance of 2% on DFW and identity V1 dataset respectively. But, the already trained CNN used is quite computationally in grave, due to which it isn't ok for applications being controlled by time. Hung et al. [65] projected a 2level DIFR outline based totally on Deep Normalized-CNN that recognized the kind of disguise device and taken out the final facial data without disguised. Data taken out will then be used for the verification purposes. DIFR accuracy of 73% was achieved on V1 dataset. Wu et al. [66] proposed a singular Unsupervised Domain Adaption Model such as DSN and ALN, that had been jointly able to produce domain-conscious data and found out disguised face illustration. This method proves to be 45% accurate on V1 dataset.

2.2.3 Face Recognition in Makeup and Accessories Based Hybrid Dis- guise

The combination of make-up and disguise add-ons make the face identification challenge further complex as proven in Figure 2.2(c). Smiirnov al. et Neural Network (MTCNN) [67] applied a Multi- Task Cascaded Convolutional for identification, alignment and cropped faces from face images. After this, horizontal flipping is actualized and 4 distinctive networks are used to extract features. Features attained from flipping and unique pictures are concatenated to get appeared in feature-level fusion. The concatenated characteristic vector then L2 incorporates normalization, that's then accompanied through class the usage of cosine distance. Zhang et al. [68] has experimented DIFR by utilizing Convolutional Neural Networks in dissimilar degrees that one at a time treat aligned and nonaligned photos and the context is done. Convolutional Neural network (CNN) switching А is skilled for usual facial identification accompanied by way of forming the alteration matrix for authentication of the identity by the use of PCA at the DFW dataset. Bansal et al. [69] projected a DCNN framework for DIFR. Facial detection and placement is executed using a combination of all CNN presented

using Ranjan [70]. ResNet-101 CNN turned into used for training of et al. by facial recognition system while Inception-ResNet-v2 utilized for facial identification. Peri et al. [71] applied an already trained VGG-Face Convolutional Neural Network to construct a Siamese Neural Network. DFW dataset is used to tune the community and class is performed through making use of cosine distance. Suri et al. [72] projected a different kind practice that dietary additions administered a facial recognition version by transferring straightforward visual features together with coloration, form and surface found out from a well known picture dataset, so supervised classifier and challenge-impartial network were combined. Deng at al. [73] utilized Retina Face [74] for face detection, which helps in face normalization with the help **19** | P a g e

of providing 5 facial milestones and for acquiring high level functions they applied ArcFace [75] A GAR of 96.12 @ 2% FAR is achieved.

2.2.4 Limitations of Existing DIFR Models

Despite the fact that state of the artwork processes mentioned in sections already discussed enhances in the utility field in terms of overall presentation and competence. Though, those strategies does not have required strength and correctness because of the following motives:

a) A number of the methods used hand-crafted capabilities using handcrafted mapping that are suboptimal for visual feature classification.

b)Manystrategies generallytry to copewith simplest one thing of mask, consequently overall performance severely changes while acombination of disguise procedures are incorporated.

c) Complicated projects constructed having giant classification which proves in negative generalization abilities in an environment where no pressure is applied.

d) Preparation and trying out on smaller datasets or not having exact expansion skills.

e) Fallacious transfer learning skills proves in insufficient taking out of individuality exact functions and abandoning dangers related to area adaption through making use of biased education strategies which reasons dataset shifts.

2.3 Deep Learning

Deep learning is a good technique for at once and robotically gaining knowledge of most desirable features from big-scale training dataset. Exploration and applicability of deep learning in laptop vision applications is on the upward thrust due to the fact as compared to people, current deep learning techniques have better accuracy in classifying pictures. Moreover, the training time of deep learning techniques has been notably decreased with GPUs, pre- skilled networks and massive databases comprising labelled records are actually publicly available.

Deep Belief Network (DBN) [76] and Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) [77] are the two popular fashions of deep learning. CNN, being best proper for picture records, is broadly used for photograph popularity. Moreover, small variations together with rotation and shifts doesn't effect its performance [77]. DBN, is pre-skilled as confined Boltzmann system, and then subsequently becomes a classifier after tuning with the aid of back-propagation algorithm [78].

2.3.1 Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN)

CNN[77]beinga presentday deep learning approach is widely usedfor image evaluation responsibilities togetherwith object detection,segmentationand photograph class. Excessive popularity quotes have

been accomplished through Krizhevskyetal. [30] by utilizing traditional back propagation for the purpose of training a deep CNN on Large Scale Visual recognition Challenge dataset.



Figure 2.3: Typical CNN architecture.

A CNN is comprised of some of layers: alternately connected convolutional, activation and pooling layers, whilst a completely connected layer follows them for producing the output. An ordinary CNN architecture is shown in Figure 2.3. Not like usual neural networks, in a CNN handiest a small location of enter neurons referred to as Local Receptive Field (LRF) is attached to the hidden neurons. Enter to the hidden

neurons is mapped by means of translating LRF throughout the photograph the usage of convolution. Extraordinary functions in an image are discovered via the hidden layers in CNN. The weights and biases for all neurons is a hidden layer are the same. CNN turns into tolerant to translation of objects in an image due to the truth that all hidden neurons hit upon the same features along with blobs and edges in different areas of a photograph.

The convolutional layers at the start of the network study small and occasional stage features consisting of edges because of their small LRF sizes, even as the convolutional layers in the direction of the end of the network learn larger functions which includes geometric shapes due to their larger LRF sizes. The variety of pixels shifted every time because the filter is moved over the photo is called stride. The output of every neuron layer through making of activation functions, one is converted via activation use of the maximum common activation feature is Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU). with out converting the size of the characteristic maps, ReLU transforms the output of a neuron to the best fantastic value, in any other case if the output is poor, ReLU maps it to zero. Dimensionality of the feature map and range of parameters to be learned is reduced with the aid of the pooling layer by shrinking the production of small regions of neurons into a single production. All the capabilities learned within the preceding layers are blended via fully connected layers. Neurons the previous veiled among layer are related to neurons by the very the production last layer, that gives the final output. Value of each node inside the very last layer determines the class probabilities. The size of feature maps produced by a convolutional or pooling layer is determined by:

$$OutputSize = \frac{InputSize - FilterSize + Padding}{Stride} + 1$$
(2.3.1)

2.4 Data Augmentation

22 | P a g e

Notwithstanding the supply of massive quantity of records on the internet, accumulating a specific data that matches our exact necessities for a selected experiment is a tiring task. Moreover, if

we want our community to obtain suitable generalization talents then the

desired records for training specifically for deep learning fashions wishes to have top range because the object under consideration wishes to be available in various lighting fixtures conditions, То poses and sizes. counter the challenge of amount and variety of available data, own facts is generated with the present available data. This method is called Data augmentation. Data augmentation will enhance the numbers and variety of available data without actually collecting data. This more suitable information is then used for training of models.Various techniques used for image augmentation, as shown in Figure 2.4, include Scaling, Translation, and Rotation, Flipping, Adding noises and varing lighting conditions.



Figure 2.4: Image augmentation techniques.

2.5 Viola-Jones Face detection

The framework turned into evolved through Paul Viola and Michael Jones in 2001 [79]. It detects gadgets in photographs accurately and fast and mainly works thoroughly for

detecting human faces. Even after almost too many years, it's

miles nonetheless considered because the leading choice for face detection. It achieves its accuracy and efficiency by way of combining ideas along with Haar-like functions, the AdaBoost algorithms, integral images and the Cascade Classifier. This detection algorithm follows tiers (Figure2.five). The primary stage consists of training with facial and non-facial photos and it in addition has two steps, training the classifiers and Adaboost. The second level is detection which also includes two steps that are detecting the haar-like features and growing the fundamental photograph.



Figure 2.5: Viola Jones face detection.

Chapter 3

Datasets and Preprocessing

In this chapter we have discussed the details used in this research project as well as the various precautionary measures used in the information sets. In the AIFR, the facial data visualization network (FG-NET-AD) age was used. For DIFR 6 x data sets namely Disguised Faces in the Wild (DFW), IIIT-Delhi Disguise Version 1 face dataset (ID V1), PolyU Disguise and Makeup Faces Dataset, YouTube Makeup (YMU), Makeup Induced Face Spoofing (MIFS)) and Virtual Makeup (VMU) Datasets used. Specifics are provided below:

3.1 FG-NET-AD Dataset

FG-NET-AD [80] is among the most challenging facial aging databases and is considered the AIFR benchmark. Contains 1000 edited photos, that is, of 80 subject's age groups between 1 to 70 yr. But 35-45 yrs of age is very busy on the database. The is represented in the Figure 2.1. However this data based was made in the start of 2000 and many people have since then paid focus on this field of facial recognition.

3.2 DFW Dataset

DFW [69,81] contains more than 10,000 unconfirmed photos of 1,000 famous people gathered from internet. This includes a wide variety of cosmetics, mustaches, hair extensions, beards, scarfs and bandanas etc. This disguise is accompanied by a variety of posture, brightness, background and speech, which makes an exciting face databases. This database cover 350 and 500 person's photos. In Figure 2.2 (b) a representative photo is attached of the database.

3.3 Local Data Set

Local Data set of Sub-Continent has been incorporated which includes 1000 images of 100

25 | P a g e

subjects taken over their life span. Famous politicians/ Sportsmen / Celebrities of Indo-Pak has been processed and added to enhance our accuracy for target subjects belonging to these regions. Model Trained on European/ English data sets face accuracy issues when applied on a sub-continental person. With the addition of local data set, we can predict and recognize subjects belonging to European, American and Sub-Continent with greater accuracy and reliability.

3.4 ID V1 Dataset

ID V1 data set [46,63] contains 1000 photos belonging to 100 persons including both genders with 5 to 12 photos of each person. Database includes tools on camouflage / flexibility with spectacles, beards, bandanas, belts and scarfs. In Figure 3.1 (c) a representative photo is attached of the database.

3.5 PolyU Disguise and Makeup Faces Dataset

This database [82] contains 400 studies of 2400 photos. When captured in actual setting, photos includes both concealing makeover and tools.. Lots of tutorials on celebrities, their photos taken on the internet. Each topic has six pictures. The first image of each theme is front with no makeup or concealment, while all the other five images cover different stages in regards to concealment. In Figure 3.1 (d) a representative photo is attached of the database.

3.6 Makeover Database

Here research work experiments were carried out on multiple makeover database, i.e. YMU, MIFS and VMU Database. Specifics are mentioned in Table3.1. Photos of YMU, VMU and MIFS database represented Figure3.1(a), Figure3.1 (b) and Figure2.2 (a) respectively

| DATABASE | Source of Photos | SUBJECTS | PHOTOS OF EACH | DISSIMILARITIES |
|-----------|------------------|-----------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | | Subject | |
| YMU [83] | YouTube video | 151 | 4 (Two images each | Variations mainly in the ocular |
| | makeup tutorials | | before and after | area due to makeover, Slight |
| | | | applying makeup) | disparities of looks |
| | | | | and appearance |
| VMU [83] | Face images in | 51 | 4 (One image each | Intra-class dissimilarities |
| | FRGC* repository | | without makeup, | caused by pose, lightning and |
| | synthetically | | with eye makeup, | expression reduced, allowing |
| | altered to | | with lipstick, and | exclusive analysis of the effect |
| | mimic the | | one full makeover) | of makeup |
| | application of | | | |
| | makeup | | | |
| MIFS [84] | Obtained from | 107, each | 4 of subject | Each subject trying to spoof |
| | internet | with a | (2 each before | a target identity |
| | | target | and after makeup) | |
| | | subject | + 2 images of target | |
| | | | subject | |

 Table 3.1: Detail of makeup datasets used.



Figure 3.1: Representation of photos from various above mentioned database.

3.7 Preprocessing

Deep learning models can read and comprehend difficult data sets because of their effective extraction capabilities. This performance of deep learning models depends largely upon the number and standard of pictures present, the design utilized to produce suitable representation parameters for others. Few images are taken in unmanaged while the others are taken in a managed environment, thereby waning to mimic the actual scenario. The FG-NET-AD data (Figure 2.1) used for AIFR, contains approximately 8–15 photos of each person belonging to **28** | P a g e

different ages. Cause overheating of the model. Therefore, effective training of a deep learning model requires more data, otherwise efficiency will deteriorate significantly under the unrestricted environment. In this research project, the motivation for using the additional step process is twofold:

a) Add more photos with different set of disparities to effectively training the model. Therefore, to make the efficient model with better performance capabilities, steps mentioned below are followed in true spirit (shown in Figure 3.2).

1) Convert Grayscale photo to RGB format, which make sure that channels are same in all photos.

2) Face is extracted from the rest of the background with the help of Viola Jones face detector
 [79].

3) Interclass variation is enhanced to improve the standard performance by propagating the database with four different audio profiles (Poisson, Salt & paper, Speckle and Gaussian) for each real image without causing excessive blurring, thus allowing for a better deep output. Learning model.


CHAPTER 4

Methodology

4.1 **Proposed Technique**

As stated in advance, on this studies work it is tried to increase the applications of present face identification and deep learning skills in facial reputation beyond the contemporary boundaries of the face popularity systems and tests of age & cover changes. We have evaluated the efficiency of deep learning strategies for AIFR & DIFR.

A conceptual degree block diagram of the proposed framework along with sample define architecture of pre-trained CNN (Resnet-18) is provided in Figure4.1. Breakdown of the technique observed and the proposed technique is as underneath

• In the first step a face detector named as Viola Jones is immediately applied to come across face regions from pics inside a dataset, which can be segmented and handed to the following level.

• After segmentation of facial regions, preprocessing steps described in the previous segment are implemented onto the facial photos.

• The preprocessed dataset then acts as enter to a CNN that is pre trained, which learns general age & disguise invariant structures from the face pics allowing the pre-trained CNN to be best-tuned for AIFR & DIFR.

• The pleasant-tuned CNN now attains the functionality to categories any facial picture of any of the challenge beneath diverge age and disguise variations from the dataset, following the steps shown in Figure 4.1.



Figure 4.1: Workflow of the proposed framework.

4.2 **Pre-trained CNNs**

To pick up an appropriate CNN architecture for AIFR & DIFR, we will make comparison among the overall performance of some of extraordinary pre-trained CNNs. Designated information of the pre-trained networks utilized for AIFR and DIFR are given in Table4.1and4.2respectively.all the CNNs used are already trained on Image Net dataset [32]. These networks can effectively produce beneficial patterns from natural pictures. For every preprocessed dataset, these pre-skilled networks are fine-tuned for AIFR & DIFR the usage of transfer learning, which repetitively adjusts the weights of the CNN the use of back propagation to be able to examine effective age & disguise-invariant capabilities.

| NETWORK | Depth (Layers) | PARAMETERS (MILLIONS) | IMAGE INPUT SIZE |
|--------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Alex Net | 9 | 62 | 227 × 227 × 4 |
| Vgg-17 | 17 | 139 | $224 \times 225 \times 5$ |
| Vgg-18 | 18 | 145 | $224 \times 224 \times 4$ |
| Squeeze Net | 18 | 2 | $224 \times 224 \times 4$ |
| Google Net | 23 | 8.0 | $224 \times 224 \times 4$ |
| Resnet-19 | 19 | 12.7 | $224 \times 224 \times 4$ |
| Resnet-51 | 51 | 26.0 | $224 \times 224 \times 4$ |
| Resnet-102 | 102 | 45 | $224 \times 224 \times 4$ |
| Inception-V3 | 49 | 24.0 | $224 \times 224 \times 4$ |

Table 4.1: Particulars of Pre-trained CNNs used for AIFR.

| NETWork | Depth (Layers) | PARAMETERS (MILLIONS) | IMAGE INPUT SIZE |
|--------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Squeeze Net | 19 | 2 | $228 \times 228 \times 3$ |
| Resnet-19 | 19 | 12 | $225 \times 225 \times 3$ |
| Resnet-51 | 51 | 26 | $225 \times 225 \times 3$ |
| Inception-V3 | 49 | 24 | $298 \times 298 \times 3$ |

Table 4.2: Particulars of Pre-trained CNNs used for DIFR.

4.3 Matlab Implementation

4.3.1 Data Preparation

Steps involved in formatting and preparation of datasets before they may be used for training of the pre-trained CNNs as proven in Figure 4.2 are as below :

the first step involves initializing the face detector, in this case imaginative and prescient.
 Cascade Object Detector() command initializes the Viola Jones face detector.

2. The principle listing of the dataset is indicated.

3. A loop is initiated which allows get right of entry to to every subfolder representing a specific subject in the dataset.

4. New directories are initialized, one for saving the authentic cropped facial pix

and the second for saving the cropped facial pics along with its noise primarily based augmented statistics.

5. In the course of the processing, a black and white image if encountered is converted into RGB.

6. The viola Jones face detector detects facial region in a photo.

7. The detected facial region is cropped and stored along with its noisy variations for in



Figure 4.2: Data preparation steps in Matlab.

4.3.2 Pretrained CNN Training/Testing

Steps involves in training and checking out of the pretrained CNNs as proven in4.3are as below :

- 1. Access to the processed dataset is ensured.
- 2. A loop is initialized which lets in training to be done with different training ratios.

3. The processed datasets are cut up into training and validation/testing units.

4. The unique pretrained CNN version is loaded.

5. The image sizes of the processed images in the database are adjusted consistent with the

CNN input layer size.

6. The precise training options are designated.

7. The network is trained and tested for unique train-check ratios.

8. Confusion matrices are generated and accuracy plots are stored for in further evaluation.

9. The first-class tuned CNN model is stored.



Figure 4.3: CNN Training/testing steps in Matlab.

4.4 Designed Matlab Application

Sample screenshots of demo utility designed in matlab appdesigner is shown in Figure4.4. For person friendly experience, the graphical user interface of the utility has been divided into a number of sections with ok labelling as described underneath:

• <u>Live Camera View</u>. This phase suggests the live camera from which live pictures are obtained. The camera may be hooked up at any area including access factors of touchy businesses, airports and public transport regions, customs and border checkpoints and so forth.

• <u>Captured image</u>. At any required moment, a nevertheless photograph can be captured from the live camera view, which is displayed in the captured photograph location. This photograph can then be similarly processed for analysis.

• <u>FR result</u>. The captured image then acts as an input to Viola Jones face detector. End result acquired from the face detector i.e. cropped facial area, is displayed inside the FR end result phase.

• <u>Control Panel</u>. Contains two buttons. The seize photograph button is used to gain a still image from live camera view, that is then displayed in captured photograph place. The analyze button is used to process the captured picture. In the first step of the processing Viola Jones face detector detects face in a photograph and offers the cropped facial photograph. This cropped facial photo is then processed by a first-rate-tunned and pre-trained CNN.

• <u>Detected individual & accuracy</u>. The result of the pre-trained CNN is displayed in this vicinity. A profile photograph with a label is saved for each character inside the dataset. The label reaching maximum accuracy, as shown at the detection accuracy tab, is displayed within the detected person location.

As shown in Figure4.4despite the use of disguise accessories, our proposed framework has successfully classified the individuals in the captured images.



Figure 4.4: Layout of designed matlab app.

Chapter 5

Results and Discussions

5.1 Experimental Protocol & Setup

As previously stated, the transference of learning aimed to fine-tune CNN-trained in data sets trained earlier so that the most appropriate AIFR & DIFR may be chosen. Training method adopted is summarized by:

• Data processed earlier is parted into training and a test set with already defined train test rating (rated at 4: 1, which means 80% of the photos are used for training and rest for trails).

• The size of all photos are modified as per the requirement of the model used.

• Evaluation of each CNN is carried out separately utilizing various available options and hyper parameters mentioned below (Table 5.1)

| PARAMETE | OPTIMIZER | Mini | NUMBER | MOMENT | INITIAL | Learn | LEARN RATE |
|----------|-----------|-------|--------|--------|---------|----------|-------------|
| R | | Ватсн | of | UM | Learn | RATE | Drop Period |
| | | SIZE | EPOCHS | | RATING | DROPPING | |
| | | | | | | Factor | |
| Values | *SGDM | 20 | 15 | 0.9 | 0.001 | 0.1 | 5 epochs |

*SGDM, Stochastic Gradient Descent with Momentum

Table 5.1: Hyper parameters used for Transfer Learning

The learning rating is kept very low 0.001 which slow down the learning curve in transfer layer, which makes the method more efficient. In order to prevent any overlapping issue dates

sets are separated in the start and processed separately.

37 | P a g e

We used MATLAB R2018b and GPU, NVIDIA GPU 12GB memory was used for training.

5.2 Experimental Results & Evaluation of Pre-trained CNNs

Test method stated previously was adopted for training and evaluating CNN.

5.2.1 Experimental Results and Evaluation - AIFR

Following the test protocol, described in the previous paragraph, CNN 2D pre-trained, shown in Table 4.1, are trained and tested individually in the FG-Net = AD data set, described in Chapter3. Figure 5.1 highlights comparative analysis of AIFR pre-trained networks developed upon segment precision, and computer difficulty.



Bubble size in Figure 5.1 represents a number of training parameters associated with computer complexity. It can be noted that Resnet-18 has released pre-trained networks with a total accuracy of 99%.

The accuracy of the training and the losses of all the pre-trained networks are shown in Figure 5.2. Shows that Resnet-18 achieved high precision, minimal loss also assembled very quickly.



Figure 5.2

To access the performance of proposed framework for various scenarios, various trails are made, highlighted in Figure 5.3.



Figure 5.3: Accuracy of Resnet-18



Figure 5.4: Confusion matrix of Resnet-18 (AIFR).

In addition, in order to analyze detailed classroom performance, a confusion matrix is presented in Figure 5.4, showing pre-trained Resnet-18 verification results before activated FG-NET-AD website. Predicted labels are on x-axis, and basic truth labels are on x-axis. The classification is highlighted as light gray. The confusion matrix depicts this method is most efficient in AIFR; few errors are still mentioned in Figure 5.4 as gray light. Errors however are due to the changing in positions rather than variance in ages.



Figure 5.5: (a) Training accuracy plots

Methodology followed previously is highlighted in Table 4.2, each of the six databases described in Chapter3. The training accuracy and loss sites of CNN for each of the four pre-trained DFW & ID V1, MIFS & VMU and YMU & PolyU data sets are shown in Figures5.5,5.6 and5.7 respectively. Conclusion from the trails performed showed that Resnet-18, assembled very quickly, gained high training accuracy and met with minimal training losses.



Figure 5.6: (a) Training accuracy plots and (b) training loss plots



Figure 5.7: (a) Training precision plots and (b) training error plots r YMU & PolyU (DIFR).

Figures5.8and5.9 depicts precision and completing time for DFW, ID V1, MIFS & VMU and YMU respectively. The time efficiency has been achieved because methodology adopted doesn't carry pre and post processing steps thereby reducing time sufficiently.



Figure 5.8: Comparative analysis's representation of execution and mean precision of CNN

In order to gauge performance Resnet-18 in a variety of conditions and to achieve the standard performance of the suggested framework, multiple train test ratings, , were used for each data set. A 4: 1 represented in fig 5.11



Figure 5.9



Figure 5.10



Figure 5.11:

5.3 Performance Comparison with the Existing Methods

Comparisons of the projected technique (which includes CNN training) with known methodology developed on the identical test parameters and data sets and test metrics to optimally compare.

5.3.1 Performance Comparison with the Existing Methods - AIFR

Complete comparisons with existing AIFR methods using the FG-NET-AD database are provided in Table 5.2. Already trained methods are well designed to produce strong and dynamic features that have not changed over the years in addition to AIFR techniques using hand-crafted features [85] and methods using CNN-trained from scratch [37]. In addition the proposed method with Resnet-18 achieved 99% accuracy, much higher than other CNN models and existing AIFR methods.

| Method | Publish Year | ACCURacy (%) |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Projected technique [Resnet-18] | 2019 | 99.78 |
| Projected technique [Resnet-101] | 2019 | 98.27 |
| Projected technique [Resnet-50] | 2019 | 97.77 |
| Projected technique [Inception- | 2019 | 96.96 |
| V3] | | |
| Projected technique [Alexnet] | 2019 | 94.69 |
| Projected technique [Googlenet] | 2019 | 94.27 |
| Zhao et al [86] | 2019 | 93.00 |
| Projected technique [Vgg-19] | 2019 | 92.69 |
| Projected technique [Vgg-16] | 2019 | 91.58 |
| Wen et al. [37] | 2016 | 88.10 |

47 | P a g e

| Xu et al. [38] | 2017 | 86.50 |
|---------------------|------|-------|
| Projected technique | 2019 | 85.48 |
| Gon et al. [87] | 2015 | 76.20 |
| Gong et al. [88] | 2013 | 69.00 |
| Li et al. [85] | 2011 | 47.50 |
| Park et al. [89] | 2010 | 37.40 |

 Table 5.2: FG-NET-AD database - AIFR

| Method | Technique | YEAR | ACCURACy* | ACCURACy* |
|-------------|---|------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | - DFW | - ID V1 |
| Projected | VJ + R-50 | - | 99 | 97.74 |
| Projected | VJ + Inception - v3 | - | 98 | 71.51 |
| Projected | VJ + R-18 | - | 98. | 98.18 |
| Projected | VJ + Squezenet | - | 98. | 86.93 |
| Deng [74] | RetiinaFace detects and aligns the face and | 2019 | 95.11 | - |
| | iArcFace captures discriminative features | | | |
| Zhang [68] | MiiRA-Face: MTCNN and ensemble of | 2018 | 90.60 | - |
| | CNNs | | | |
| nirnov [67] | AEFRL: MTCNN, feature extraction | 2018 | 87.9 | - |
| | and cosiine distance based classification | | | |
| Suri [72] | DenseNet+COST (Color, texture and | 2018 | 87.6 | - |
| | Shape (S)) based method for DIFR | | | |

| ansal [<mark>69</mark>] | UMDNets: Face detection using all-In-One | 2018 | 86.75 | - |
|---------------------------|--|------|-------|--------|
| | CNN, Average of scores obtained by | | | |
| | ResNet-101 and Inception-ResNet-v2 | | | |
| Kohli [<mark>64</mark>] | Disguise Recognizer: inception-Net | 2018 | 71.43 | 38.9 |
| | based features with Center loss, | | | |
| | classification utilizing a similarity metric | | | |
| Hung [65] | Deep Normalized-CNN for extracting | 2018 | - | 72.4 |
| | acial info used for identity verification | | | |
| Wu [<mark>66</mark>] | Unsupervised Domain Adaption Model | 2019 | - | 45.2 |
| amecha [63] | Anavrta: classifies facial patches into non- | 2014 | - | 16.602 |
| | biometric & biometric classes, matched | | | |
| | using LBP basing face | | | |
| | recognition | | | |
| | | 1 | 1 | |

*Accuracy is given in (%) as Honest receipt percentage @ 1% error receipt percentage [90]

5.3: DFW & ID V1 dataset with the prevailing methods

5.3.2 Performance Comparison with the Existing Methods - DIFR

The accuracy attained in projected methods and DIFR approaches compared to the utilized databases highlighted in 5.3 and 5.4 Tables respectively.

For PolyU and MIFS data sets, the accuracy mentioned in Table 5.5, as no studies have been reported for these two databases at present. It can be seen that CNN-trained pre-configured and the use of simple audio-based enhancement techniques remove hidden functional and common features, thereby enhancing existing methods based on manual rendering, CNN-trained or pre-trained CNNs without any additions .

| METHOD | TECHNIQUE | YEAR | ACCURACY - | ACCURACY - |
|------------|---------------------------|------|------------|------------|
| | | | YMU | VMU |
| Projected | VJ + R-18 | - | 98.9 | 98.12 |
| Projected | VJ + R-50 | - | 97.850 | 99.61 |
| Projected | VJ + Squeeezenet | - | 95.850 | 96.87 |
| Sajid [62] | DCNN with makeup style | 2018 | 90.04 | 92.99 |
| | aware data | | | |
| | augmentation | | | |
| Chen [59] | Ensemble of patch-based | 2016 | 89.400 | - |
| | Local Gradient Gabor | | | |
| | Pattern,HGORM and Densely | | | |
| | Sampled - LBP features | | | |
| Projected | VJ + Inception-v3 | - | 76.320 | 94.50 |

5.4 Discussion

After a detailed comparative analysis conducted in section 5.3, it can be concluded that our entire proposed model with Resnet-18 obtained the best results in both AIFR and DIFR cases. The proposed method with Resnet-18 achieved very high training accuracy and was converted to minimal training losses in both AIFR and DIFR. It was able to obtain high accuracy across all databases especially in FG-Net-AD, DFW,

| METHOD | ACCURACY- | ACCURACY- |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | PolyU | MIFS |
| VJ + R-18 | 99.690 | 95.53 |
| VJ + R-50 | 97.780 | 96.74 |
| VJ + Squeeeze net | 94.310 | 94.31 |
| VJ + Inceeption-v3 | 78.830 | 75.47 |

CONCLUISON

Using facial recognition is unavoidable because of its ever-developing packages in security, reconnaissance, criminality discouragement, and cellphone applications. The studies on this subject lacks robust and efficient combination of age & disguise invariant facial in regards to identification. The projected model on this research work is reinforced through powerful data intensification that helps the CNNs already processed in identifying discriminatory skills. Trials carried on various databases as mentioned in the paper led to improved overall efficiency. When compared with other modern AIFR & DIFR models, our adopted approach outperformed them and resulted in mean accuracy of 97.9% with implementation time of 0.29 seconds. Our model's robustness against errors is gauged by thorough training using exceptional teach-check ratios which resulted in 77% precision in identifying subjects from for any database.

AIFR & DIFR being one of the most difficult scenarios of present times is actively researched. It can additionally be related to different issues like recognizing gestures, guessing ages, judging poses. However deep CNN requires more computational power/expense than ordinary methods. Furthermore, the latest advances in hyper spectral picture study,

providing broad spectral facts approximating core fabric in difficult scenarios, can stimuoverdue functionality in AIFR. The ability benefits and dangers contemporary including hyper spectral imaging among claims also can be examined in the destiny.

References

- [1]M. Turk and A. Pentland. Eigenfaces for recognition. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 3(1):71–86, 1991. ISSN 0898929X. doi: 10.1162/jocn.1991.3.1.71.
- [2] Chengjun Liu and Harry Wechsler. Gabor feature based classification using the enhanced Fisher linear discriminant model for face recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 11(4):467–476, 4 2002. ISSN 10577149. doi: 10.1109/TIP.
 2002.999679.
- [3] Timo Ahonen, Abdenour Hadid, and Matti Pietikäinen. Face description with local binary patterns: Application to face recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 28(12):2037–2041, 2006. ISSN 01628828. doi: 10.1109/TPAMI.2006.244.
- [4] Weihong Deng, Jiani Hu, and Jun Guo. Compressive Binary Patterns: Designing a Robust Binary Face Descriptor with Random-Field Eigenfilters. *IEEE Transac- tions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 41(3):758–767, 3 2019. ISSN 19393539. doi: 10.1109/TPAMI.2018.2800008.
- [5] Zhimin Cao, Qi Yin, Xiaoou Tang, and Jian Sun. Face recognition with learning- based descriptor. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2707–2714, 2010. ISBN 9781424469840. doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2010.5539992.
- [6] Zhen Lei, Matti Pietikainen, and Stan Z. Li. Learning discriminant face descriptor. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 36(2):289–302, 2 2014. ISSN 01628828. doi: 10.1109/TPAMI.2013.112.
- [7] Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey E. Hinton. ImageNetClassification with Deep Convolutional Neural Networks, 2012.

- [8] Yaniv Taigman, Ming Yang, Marc'Aurelio Ranzato, and Lior Wolf. Deepface: Clos- ing the gap to human-level performance in face verification. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1701–1708, 2014.
- [9] Gary B Huang, Marwan Mattar, Tamara Berg, and Eric Learned-Miller. Labeled faces in the wild: A database forstudying face recognition in unconstrained envi- ronments. 2008.
- [10] Yongbin Gao and Hyo Jong Lee. Pose-invariant features and personalized corre- spondence learning for face recognition. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 31(1): 607–616, 2019.
- [11] Jian Zhao, Yu Cheng, Yan Xu, Lin Xiong, Jianshu Li, Fang Zhao, Karlekar Jayashree, Sugiri Pranata, Shengmei Shen, Junliang Xing, et al. Towards pose invariant face recognition in the wild. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2207–2216, 2018.
- [12] Adeel Yousaf, Muhammad Junaid Khan, Muhammad Jaleed Khan, Adil M Sid- diqui, and Khurram Khurshid. A robust and efficient convolutional deep learning framework for ageinvariant face recognition. *Expert Systems*, page e12503,2019.
- [13] Marko Arsenovic, Srdjan Sladojevic, Andras Anderla, and Darko Stefanovic. Face- Time Deep learning based face recognition attendance system. In SISY 2017 IEEE 15th International Symposium on Intelligent Systems and Informatics, Pro- ceedings, pages 53–57.
 Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., 10 2017. ISBN 9781538638552. doi: 10.1109/SISY.2017.8080587.
- [14] Zahid Akhtar, Ajita Rattani, Abdenour Hadid, and Massimo Tistarelli. Face recog- nition under ageing effect: a comparative analysis. In *International Conference on Image Analysis and Processing*, pages 309–318. Springer, 2013.

- [15] Young Ho Kwon and Niels da Vitoria Lobo. Locating facial features for age classi- fication.
 In *Intelligent robots and computer vision XII: Algorithms and techniques*, volume 2055, pages 62–72. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 1993.
- [16] Zhifeng Li, Unsang Park, and Anil K Jain. A discriminative model for age invariant.
 face recognition. *IEEE transactions on information forensics and security*, 6(3): 1028–1037, 2011.
- [17] Michał Bereta, Paweł Karczmarek, Witold Pedrycz, and Marek Reformat. Local descriptors in application to the aging problem in face recognition. *Pattern Recog- nition*, 46(10):2634– 2646, 2013.
- [18]A Lanitis and N Tsapatsoulis. Quantitative evaluation of the effects of aging on biometric templates. *IET computer vision*, 5(6):338–347, 2011.
- [19] Felix Juefei-Xu, Khoa Luu, Marios Savvides, Tien D Bui, and Ching Y Suen. Investigating age invariant face recognition based on periocular biometrics. In 2011 International Joint Conference on Biometrics (IJCB), pages 1–7. IEEE, 2011.
- [20] Daksha Yadav, Mayank Vatsa, Richa Singh, and Massimo Tistarelli. Bacteria foraging fusion for face recognition across age progression. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshops*, pages 173–179, 2013.
- [21] Richa Singh, Mayank Vatsa, Afzel Noore, and Sanjay K Singh. Age transformation for improving face recognition performance. In *International Conference on Pattern Recognition and Machine Intelligence*, pages 576–583. Springer, 2007.
- [22] Jiwen Lu, Yap-Peng Tan, and Gang Wang. Discriminative multimanifold analysis for face recognition from a single training sample per person. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 35(1):39–51, 2012.

- [23] Amal Seralkhatem Osman Ali, Aamir Saeed Malik, Azrina Aziz, et al. A geometrical approach for age-invariant face recognition. In *International Visual Informatics Conference*, pages 81– 96. Springer, 2013.
- [24] Amrutha Sethuram, Eric Patterson, Karl Ricanek, and Allen Rawls. Improvements and performance evaluation concerning synthetic age progression and face recog- nition affected by adult aging. In *International Conference on Biometrics*, pages 62–71. Springer, 2009.
- [25] Haibin Ling, Stefano Soatto, Narayanan Ramanathan, and David W Jacobs. Face verification across age progression using discriminative methods. *IEEE Transac- tions on Information Forensics and security*, 5(1):82–91, 2009.
- [26] Tao Wu, Pavan Turaga, and Rama Chellappa. Age estimation and face verification across aging using landmarks. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, 7(6):1780–1788, 2012.
- [27] Muhammad Jaleed Khan, Hamid Saeed Khan, Adeel Yousaf, Khurram Khurshid, and Asad Abbas. Modern trends in hyperspectral image analysis: a review. *IEEE Access*, 6:14118–14129, 2018.
- [28] Muhammad Jaleed Khan, Adeel Yousaf, Nizwa Javed, Shifa Nadeem, and Khurram Khurshid.
 Automatic target detection in satellite images using deep learning. *J. Space Technol*, 7(1):44–49, 2017.
- [29] Muhammad Jaleed Khan, Adeel Yousaf, Asad Abbas, and Khurram Khurshid. Deep learning for automated forgery detection in hyperspectral document images. *Journal of Electronic Imaging*, 27(5):053001, 2018.
- [30] Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey E Hinton. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. In *Advances in neural information pro- cessing systems*, pages 1097–1105, 2012.
 50 | P a g e

- [31] Wanli Ouyang, Xiaogang Wang, Xingyu Zeng, Shi Qiu, Ping Luo, Yonglong Tian, Hongsheng Li, Shuo Yang, Zhe Wang, Chen-Change Loy, et al. Deepid-net: De- formable deep convolutional neural networks for object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2403–2412, 2015.
- [32] Olga Russakovsky, Jia Deng, Hao Su, Jonathan Krause, Sanjeev Satheesh, Sean Ma, Zhiheng Huang, Andrej Karpathy, Aditya Khosla, Michael Bernstein, et al. Imagenet large scale visual recognition challenge. *International journal of computer vision*, 115(3):211–252, 2015.
- [33] Jingtuo Liu, Yafeng Deng, Tao Bai, Zhengping Wei, and Chang Huang. Targeting ultimate accuracy: Face recognition via deep embedding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.07310, 2015.
- [34] Florian Schroff, Dmitry Kalenichenko, and James Philbin. Facenet: A unified em- bedding for face recognition and clustering. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 815–823, 2015.
- [35] Yi Sun, Xiaogang Wang, and Xiaoou Tang. Deeply learned face representations are sparse, selective, and robust. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2892–2900, 2015.
- [36] Erjin Zhou, Zhimin Cao, and Qi Yin. Naive-deep face recognition: Touching the limit of lfw benchmark or not? *arXiv preprint arXiv:1501.04690*, 2015.
- [37] Yandong Wen, Zhifeng Li, and Yu Qiao. Latent factor guided convolutional neural networks for age-invariant face recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4893–4901, 2016.
- [38] Chenfei Xu, Qihe Liu, and Mao Ye. Age invariant face recognition and retrieval by coupled auto-encoder networks. *Neurocomputing*, 222:62–71, 2017.

- [39] Zhifeng Li, Dihong Gong, Xuelong Li, and Dacheng Tao. Aging face recognition: a hierarchical learning model based on local patterns selection. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 25(5):2146–2154, 2016.
- [40] Simone Bianco. Large age-gap face verification by feature injection in deep net- works. Pattern Recognition Letters, 90:36–42, 2017.
- [41] Aleix M. Martínez. Recognizing imprecisely localized, partially occluded, and ex- pression variant faces from a single sample per class. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 24(6):748–763, 6 2002. ISSN 01628828. doi: 10.1109/TPAMI.2002.1008382.
- [42] Narayanan Ramanathan, Rama Chellappa, and Amit K. Roy Chowdhury. Facial similarity across age, disguise, illumination and pose. In *Proceedings - International Conference on Image Processing*, *ICIP*, volume 3, pages 1999–2002, 2004. ISBN 0780385543. doi: 10.1109/ICIP.2004.1421474.
- [43] Jaywoo Kim, Younghun Sung, Sang Min Yoon, and Bo Gun Park. A new video surveillance system employing occluded face detection. In *Lecture Notes in Com- puter Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lec- ture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, volume 3533 LNAI, pages 65–68, 2005. ISBN 3540265511. doi: 10.1007/11504894{_}10.
- [44] Richa Singh, Mayank Vatsa, and Afzel Noore. Face recognition with disguise and single gallery images. *Image and Vision Computing*, 27(3):245–257, 2 2009. ISSN 02628856. doi: 10.1016/j.imavis.2007.06.010.
- [45] Meng Yang and Lei Zhang. Gabor feature based sparse representation for face recognition with Gabor occlusion dictionary. In *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, volume 6316
 52 | P a g e

LNCS, pages 448–461, 2010. ISBN 3642155669. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-15567-3{_}33.

- [46] Tejas I. Dhamecha, Aastha Nigam, Richa Singh, and Mayank Vatsa. Disguise detection and face recognition in visible and thermal spectrums. In *Proceedings - 2013 International Conference on Biometrics, ICB 2013.* IEEE Computer Society, 2013. ISBN 9781479903108. doi: 10.1109/ICB.2013.6613019.
- [47] Rui Min, Abdenour Hadid, and Jean Luc Dugelay. Improving the recognition of faces occluded by facial accessories. In 2011 IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition and Workshops, FG 2011, pages 442–447, 2011. ISBN 9781424491407. doi: 10.1109/FG.2011.5771439.
- [48] Muhammad Jaleed Khan, Adeel Yousaf, Asad Abbas, and Khurram Khurshid. Deep learning for automated forgery detection in hyperspectral document images. *Journal of Electronic Imaging*, 27(05):1, 9 2018. ISSN 1017-9909. doi: 10.1117/1. JEI.27.5.053001.
- [49] Muhammad Jaleed Khan, Khurram Khurshid, and Faisal Shafait. A Spatio-Spectral Hybrid Convolutional Architecture for Hyperspectral Document Authentication. In 2019 15th IAPR International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR). IEEE, 2019.
- [50] Hafiz Mughees Ahmad, Muhammad Jaleed Khan, Adeel Yousaf, Sajid Ghuffar, and Khurram Khurshid. Deep Learning: A breakthrough in Medical Imaging. *Current Medical Imaging Formerly Current Medical Imaging Reviews*, 15(1):1–14, 12 2020. ISSN 15734056. doi: 10.2174/1573405615666191219100824.
- [51] Muhammad Jaleed Khan, Adeel Yousaf, Khurram Khurshid, Asad Abbas, and Faisal Shafait. Automated Forgery Detection in Multispectral Document Images using Fuzzy Clustering. In 13th IAPR International Workshop on Document Anal- ysis Systems, Vienna, 2018. IEEE. doi: 10.1109/DAS.2018.26.
- [52] Adeel Yousaf, Muhammad Junaid Khan, Muhammad Jaleed Khan, Nizwa Javed, Haroon 53 | P a g e

Ibrahim, Khurram Khurshid, and Khawar Khurshid. Size invariant hand- written character recognition using single layer feedforward backpropagation neural networks. 2019 2nd International Conference on Computing, Mathematics and En- gineering Technologies, iCoMET 2019, (April), 2019. doi: 10.1109/ICOMET.2019. 8673459.

- [53] Muhammad Jaleed Khan, Adeel Yousaf, Nizwa Javed, Shifa Nadeem, and Khurram Khurshid. Automatic Target Detection in Satellite Images using Deep Learning. *Journal of Space Technology*, 7(1):44–49, 2017.
- [54] Sanaz Rasti, Mehran Yazdi, and Mohammad Ali Masnadi-Shirazi. Biologically inspired makeup detection system with application in face recognition. *IET Bio- metrics*, 7(6):530– 535, 11 2018. ISSN 20474946. doi: 10.1049/iet-bmt.2018.5059.
- [55] Neslihan Kose, Ludovic Apvrille, and Jean Luc Dugelay. Facial makeup detection technique based on texture and shape analysis. In 2015 11th IEEE International Conference and Workshops on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition, FG 2015. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., 7 2015. ISBN 9781479960262. doi: 10.1109/FG.2015.7163104.
- [56] Cunjian Chen, Antitza Dantcheva, and Arun Ross. Automatic facial makeup detection with application in face recognition. In *Proceedings 2013 International Conference on Biometrics, ICB 2013.* IEEE Computer Society, 2013. ISBN 9781479903108. doi: 10.1109/ICB.2013.6612994.
- [57] Ketan Kotwal, Zohreh Mostaani, and Sebastien Marcel. Detection of Age-Induced Makeup Attacks on Face Recognition Systems Using Multi-Layer Deep Features. *IEEE Transactions* on Biometrics, Behavior, and Identity Science, pages 1–1, 10 2019. doi: 10.1109/tbiom.2019.2946175.
- [58] Guodong Guo, Lingyun Wen, and Shuicheng Yan. Face authentication with makeup changes.
 IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, 24(5): 814–825, 2014. ISSN
 54 | P a g e

10518215. doi: 10.1109/TCSVT.2013.2280076.

- [59] Cunjian Chen, Antitza Dantcheva, and Arun Ross. An ensemble of patch-based subspaces for makeup-robust face recognition. *Information fusion*, 32:80–92, 2016.
- [60] Yi Li, Lingxiao Song, Xiang Wu, Ran He, and Tieniu Tan. Anti-Makeup: Learning A Bi-Level Adversarial Network for Makeup-Invariant Face Verification. 9 2017. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1709.03654.
- [61] Yao Sun, Lejian Ren, Zhen Wei, Bin Liu, Yanlong Zhai, and Si Liu. A weakly supervised method for makeup-invariant face verification. *Pattern Recognition*, 66: 153–159, 6 2017.
 ISSN 00313203. doi: 10.1016/j.patcog.2017.01.011.
- [62] Muhammad Sajid, Nouman Ali, Saadat Hanif Dar, Naeem Iqbal Ratyal, Asif Raza Butt, Bushra Zafar, Tamoor Shafique, Mirza Jabbar Aziz Baig, Imran Riaz, and Shahbaz Baig. Data Augmentation-Assisted Makeup-Invariant Face Recognition. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2018, 2018. ISSN 15635147. doi: 10.1155/2018/2850632.
- [63] Tejas Indulal Dhamecha, Richa Singh, Mayank Vatsa, and Ajay Kumar. Recog- nizing disguised faces: Human and machine evaluation. *PLoS ONE*, 9(7), 7 2014. ISSN 19326203. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0099212.
- [64] Naman Kohli, Daksha Yadav, and Afzel Noore. Face verification with disguise variations via deep disguise recognizer. In IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops, volume 2018-June, pages 17–

24. IEEE Computer Society, 12 2018. ISBN 9781538661000. doi: 10.1109/CVPRW.2018.00010.

 [65] Kuo Ming Hung, Jin An Wu, Chia Hung Wen, and Li Ming Chen. A system for disguised face recognition with convolution neural networks. In *ACM International Conference Proceeding* Series, pages 65–69. Association for Computing Machinery, 11 2018. ISBN 9781450365789.
 55 | P a g e doi: 10.1145/3299852.3299858.

- [66] Fangyu Wu, Shiyang Yan, Jeremy S. Smith, Wenjin Lu, and Bailing Zhang. Un- supervised domain adaptation for disguised face recognition. In *Proceedings - 2019 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo Workshops, ICMEW 2019*, pages 537–542. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., 7 2019. ISBN 9781538692141. doi: 10.1109/ICMEW.2019.00098.
- [67] Evgeny Smirnov, Elizaveta Ivanova, Aleksandr Melnikov, Ilya Kalinovskiy, Andrei Oleinik, and Eugene Luckyanets. Hard example mining with auxiliary embeddings. In *IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recogni- tion Workshops*, volume 2018-June, pages 37–46. IEEE Computer Society, 12 2018. ISBN 9781538661000. doi: 10.1109/CVPRW.2018.00013.
- [68] Kaipeng Zhang, Ya Liang Chang, and Winston Hsu. Deep disguised faces recog- nition. In IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops, volume 2018-June, pages 32–36. IEEE Computer Society, 12 2018. ISBN 9781538661000. doi: 10.1109/CVPRW.2018.00012.
- [69] Ankan Bansal, Rajeev Ranjan, Carlos D. Castillo, and Rama Chellappa. Deep features for recognizing disguised faces in the wild. In *IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops*, volume 2018- June, pages 10–16. IEEE Computer Society, 12 2018. ISBN 9781538661000. doi: 10.1109/CVPRW.2018.00009.
- [70] Rajeev Ranjan, Swami Sankaranarayanan, Carlos D. Castillo, and Rama Chellappa. An All-In-One Convolutional Neural Network for Face Analysis. 11 2016. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1611.00851.
- [71] Skand Vishwanath Peri and Abhinav Dhall. DisguiseNet: A contrastive approach for disguised face verification in the wild. In *IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer*

Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops, volume 2018-June, pages 25–31. IEEE Computer Society, 12 2018. ISBN 9781538661000. doi: 10.1109/ CVPRW.2018.00011.

- [72] Saksham Suri, Anush Sankaran, Mayank Vatsa, and Richa Singh. On matching faces with alterations due to plastic surgery and disguise. In 2018 IEEE 9th Inter- national Conference on Biometrics Theory, Applications and Systems, BTAS2018. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., 7 2018. ISBN 9781538671795. doi: 10.1109/BTAS.2018.8698571.
- [73] Jiankang Deng and Stefanos Zafeririou. Arcface for disguised face recognition. In *Proceedings - 2019 International Conference on Computer Vision Workshop, ICCVW 2019*, pages 485–493. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., 10 2019. ISBN 9781728150239. doi: 10.1109/ICCVW.2019.00061.
- [74] Jiankang Deng, Jia Guo, Yuxiang Zhou, Jinke Yu, Irene Kotsia, and Stefanos Zafeiriou.
 RetinaFace: Single-stage Dense Face Localisation in the Wild. 5 2019. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1905.00641.
- [75] Jiankang Deng, Jia Guo, Niannan Xue, and Stefanos Zafeiriou. ArcFace: Additive Angular Margin Loss for Deep Face Recognition. *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference* on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2019-June:4685–4694, 1 2018. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1801.07698.
- [76] Geoffrey E Hinton, Simon Osindero, and Yee-Whye Teh. A fast learning algorithm for deep belief nets. *Neural computation*, 18(7):1527–1554, 2006.
- [77] Yann LeCun, Léon Bottou, Yoshua Bengio, and Patrick Haffner. Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 86(11):2278–2324, 1998.

[78] Geoffrey E Hinton. A practical guide to training restricted boltzmann machines.

In Neural networks: Tricks of the trade, pages 599–619. Springer, 2012.

- [79] Paul Viola and Michael J. Jones. Robust Real-Time Face Detection. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 57(2):137–154, 5 2004. ISSN 09205691. doi: 10.1023/B:VISI.0000013087.49260.fb.
- [80] Gabriel Panis, Andreas Lanitis, Nicholas Tsapatsoulis, and Timothy F Cootes. Overview of research on facial ageing using the fg-net ageing database. *Iet Biomet- rics*, 5(2):37–46, 2016.
- [81] Maneet Singh, Richa Singh, Mayank Vatsa, Nalini K. Ratha, and Rama Chellappa. Recognizing Disguised Faces in the Wild. *IEEE Transactions on Biometrics, Behav- ior, and Identity Science*, 1(2):97–108, 3 2019. doi: 10.1109/tbiom.2019.2903860.
- [82] Tsung Ying Wang and Ajay Kumar. Recognizing human faces under disguise and makeup. In ISBA 2016 - IEEE International Conference on Identity, Security and Behavior Analysis. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., 5 2016. ISBN 9781467397278. doi: 10.1109/ISBA.2016.7477243.
- [83] Antitza Dantcheva, Cunjian Chen, and Arun Ross. Can facial cosmetics affect the matching accuracy of face recognition systems? In 2012 IEEE 5th International

Conference on Biometrics: Theory, Applications and Systems, BTAS 2012, pages 391–398, 2012. ISBN 9781467313841. doi: 10.1109/BTAS.2012.6374605.

[84] Cunjian Chen, Antitza Dantcheva, Thomas Swearingen, and Arun Ross. Spoofing faces using makeup: An investigative study. In 2017 IEEE International Conference on Identity, Security and Behavior Analysis, ISBA 2017. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., 6 2017. ISBN9781509055920. doi: 10.1109/ISBA.2017. 7947686.

- [85] Zhifeng Li, Unsang Park, and Anil K. Jain. A Discriminative Model for Age Invari- ant Face Recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, 6(3):1028–1037, 9 2011. ISSN 1556-6013. doi: 10.1109/TIFS.2011.2156787. URL http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5771107/.
- [86] Jian Zhao, Yu Cheng, Yi Cheng, Yang Yang, Fang Zhao, Jianshu Li, Hengzhu Liu, Shuicheng Yan, and Jiashi Feng. Look across elapse: Disentangled representation learning and photorealistic cross-age face synthesis for age-invariant face recogni- tion. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 33, pages 9251–9258, 2019.
- [87] Dihong Gong, Zhifeng Li, Dacheng Tao, Jianzhuang Liu, and Xuelong Li. A maxi- mum entropy feature descriptor for age invariant face recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 5289–5297, 2015.
- [88] Dihong Gong, Zhifeng Li, Dahua Lin, Jianzhuang Liu, and Xiaoou Tang. Hid- den factor analysis for age invariant face recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference* on computer vision, pages 2872–2879, 2013.
- [89] Unsang Park, Yiying Tong, and Anil K Jain. Age-invariant face recognition. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 32(5):947–954, 2010.
- [90] Vineet Kushwaha, Maneet Singh, Richa Singh, Mayank Vatsa, Nalini Ratha, and Rama Chellappa. Disguised faces in the wild. In *IEEE Computer Society Con- ference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops*, volume 2018- June, pages 1–9. IEEE Computer Society, 12 2018. ISBN 9781538661000. doi: 10.1109/CVPRW.2018.00008.
PLAGIARISM



| | Student Paper | <1% |
|----|---|-----|
| 6 | www.spiedigitallibrary.org | <1% |
| 7 | discovery.ucl.ac.uk | <1% |
| 8 | www.coursehero.com | <1% |
| 9 | Tapan Kumar Sahoo, Haider Banka. "Multi- feature-Based Facial Age Estimation Using an Incomplete Facial Aging Database", Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 2018 | <1% |
| 10 | Proceedings in Adaptation Learning and Optimization, 2016. Publication | <1% |
| 11 | munin.uit.no | <1% |
| 12 | qmro.qmul.ac.uk | <1% |
| 13 | stars.library.ucf.edu | <1% |
| 14 | theses.lib.polyu.edu.hk | <1% |
| | www3.cs.stonybrook.edu | |

| P a g e

